

# **Equivariant Landau-Lifshitz equation of degree two**

Stephen Gustafson, Tai-Peng Tsai (UBC)  
Kenji Nakanishi (Kyoto University)

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Long-time dynamics of the *Landau-Lifshitz* equation

$$\vec{u}(t, x) : [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow S^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3, \quad a_1 \geq 0, \quad a_2 \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\vec{u}_t = (a_1 P^u + a_2 J^u) \Delta \vec{u}, \quad (1.1) \quad \square$$

$P^u \vec{v} := \vec{v} - \vec{u}(\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v})$ : projection to  $T_{\vec{u}}S^2 = \vec{u}^\perp$ ,  
 $J^u \vec{v} := \vec{u} \times \vec{v}$ : rotation of  $T_{\vec{u}}S^2$ .  $\mathbf{a} := a_1 + ia_2$ .

$$\begin{aligned} a = 1 & : \text{Harmonic map heat flow,} \\ a = i & : \text{Schrödinger map.} \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

Space-time evolution of spin: A model of ferromagnetism.

$$E(\vec{u}) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \vec{u}|^2 dx \quad (\text{energy}), \quad (1.3)$$

$$\partial_t E(\vec{u}) = -a_1 \|P^u \Delta \vec{u}\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 \leq 0.$$

We study **global behavior** of **solutions around** the simplest harmonic maps  $\mathbb{R}^2 \cong \mathbb{C} \ni z \mapsto \mu z^m \in \overline{\mathbb{C}} \cong S^2$  ( $\mu \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ), under the symmetry (equivariance)

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{u}(x) &= e^{m\theta\Omega} \vec{v}(r), \quad z = x_1 + ix_2 = re^{i\theta}, \quad \Omega := \vec{k} \times, \\ \vec{v}(r=0) &= -\vec{k}, \quad \vec{v}(r=\infty) = \vec{k} := (0, 0, 1). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\Sigma_m = \{\text{such } \vec{u}(x) \mid E(\vec{u}) < \infty\}$ .  $\mu z^m$  is given by

$$\vec{u}(x) = e^{m\theta\Omega} \vec{h}[\mu], \quad \vec{h}[\mu] := e^{\alpha\Omega} \vec{h}(r/s), \quad (1.4)$$

where  $\mu := e^{i\alpha} s^{-m}$  and

$$\vec{h}(r) := \left( \frac{2}{r^m + r^{-m}}, \quad 0, \quad \frac{r^m - r^{-m}}{r^m + r^{-m}} \right). \quad (1.5)$$

$\{e^{m\theta\Omega}\vec{h}[\mu]\}_{\mu\neq 0}$  are the **ground states** of  $\Sigma_m$

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{\varphi} \in \Sigma_m &\implies E(\vec{\varphi}) \geq 8m\pi, \\ E(\vec{\varphi}) = 8m\pi &\implies \vec{\varphi} \in \{e^{m\theta\Omega}\vec{h}[\mu]\}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

Main Question:

Is the set  $\{e^{m\theta\Omega}\vec{h}[\mu]\}$  **asymptotically stable**?

If so, **how the solutions converge** to them?

Special Feature:

**Slow spatial decay** of  $\partial_\mu\vec{h}[\mu]$  (as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ ) for **lower  $m$**  enhances the long-time interaction with the remainder.

Result:

$t$ -asymptotic depends on  $x$ -decay of  $\partial_\mu\vec{h}[\mu]$  (i.e.  $m$ ).

**Non-trivial asymptotic** arises when  $m = 2$  for the heat flow.

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

**Thm 1.** *(higher  $m$ )*

Let  $m \geq 3$ ,  $a_1 \geq 0$ ,  $a_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$\exists \delta > 0$ , for any  $\vec{u}(0) \in \Sigma_m$  with  $E(\vec{u}(0)) \leq 8m\pi + \delta^2$ ,  
 $\exists ! \vec{u}(t, x)$  solving (1.1) and

$$\vec{u} \in C([0, \infty); \Sigma_m), \quad \nabla \vec{u} \in L_{loc}^2((0, \infty); L_x^\infty). \quad (2.1)$$

Moreover  $\exists \mu \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ , s.t., as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\vec{u}(t) - e^{m\theta\Omega} \vec{h}[\mu]\|_{L_x^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} &\rightarrow 0, \\ a_1 E(\vec{u}(t) - e^{m\theta\Omega} \vec{h}[\mu]) &\rightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

*Remark 1.* This result for  $m \geq 4$  had been proved by Gustafson-Kang-Tsai, Guan-Gustafson-Tsai ('09).

**Thm 2.** ( $m = 2$ , dissipative case)

Let  $m = 2$ ,  $a_1 > 0$ ,  $a_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$\exists \delta > 0$ , for any  $\vec{u}(0) \in \Sigma_m$  with  $E(\vec{u}(0)) \leq 8m\pi + \delta^2$ ,  
 $\exists ! \vec{u}(t, x)$  solving (1.1) and

$$\vec{u} \in C([0, \infty); \Sigma_m), \quad \nabla \vec{u} \in L_{loc}^2((0, \infty); L_x^\infty). \quad (2.3)$$

$\exists \mu : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ , s.t., as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\vec{u}(t) - e^{m\theta\Omega} \vec{h}[\mu(t)]\|_{L_x^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} &\rightarrow 0, \\ E(\vec{u}(t) - e^{m\theta\Omega} \vec{h}[\mu(t)]) &\rightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

$\mu(t)$  is locally bounded by

$$\int_0^T |\partial_t \log \mu(t)| dt \lesssim \delta \log(e + T/s(0)^2). \quad (2.5)$$

If in addition  $a = 1$  and  $v_2 \equiv 0$ , then  $\alpha \equiv 0$  and

$$(1 + o(1)) \log s(t) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_1^{\sqrt{t}} \frac{v_1(0, r)}{r} dr + O_c(1), \quad (2.6)$$

where  $o(1) \rightarrow 0$ , and  $O_c(1)$  converges. Moreover, each of the following (1)-(7) is realized by some initial data:

$$(1) s \rightarrow \exists s_\infty \in (0, \infty) \quad (2) s \rightarrow 0 \quad (3) s \rightarrow \infty$$

$$(4) 0 < \liminf s < \limsup s < \infty$$

$$(5) 0 = \liminf s < \limsup s < \infty$$

$$(6) 0 < \liminf s < \limsup s = \infty$$

$$(7) 0 = \liminf s < \limsup s = \infty$$

Each of (1)-(7) is stable for spatially local perturbation of the initial data (if the perturbation of  $v_1$  is integrable for  $dr/r$ ,  $r \rightarrow \infty$ ).

### 3. RELATED KNOWN RESULTS

For heat flow ( $a = 1$ )

- Struwe: Global weak solution for finite energy data, with at most finite points of singularity, due to concentration of harmonic maps. Uniqueness proved by Freire.
- Chang-Ding-Ye: Concentration actually occurs for  $m = 1$  and  $v_2 \equiv 0$  on a disk. (Guan-Gustafson-Tsai on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ )
- Angenent-Hulshof: Concentration as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  for  $m = 2$  and  $v_2 \equiv 0$  on a disk.

For Landau-Lifshitz ( $a_1 > 0, a_2 \neq 0$ )

- Guo-Hong : Global weak solutions of Struwe-type.

For Schrödinger map ( $a = i$ )

- Chang-Shatah-Uhlenbeck: Global wellposedness under the symmetry for  $m \geq 0$  and  $E \ll 1$ .
- Bejenaru-Ionescu-Kenig-Tataru:  
Globally wellposed without symmetry for  $E \ll 1$ .

For wave map ( $u_{tt} = P^u \Delta u$ )

- Sterbenz-Tataru: Singularity is concentration of Lorentz transformed harmonic maps. Globally wellposed for  $E < 8\pi$ .
- Krieger-Schlag-Tataru, Rodnianski-Sterbenz, Raphael-Rodnianski: Concentration for  $m \geq 1$ ,  $v_2 \equiv 0$ .

For semilinear heat ( $u_t = \Delta u + |u|^\alpha u$ ,  $u : \mathbb{R}^{1+d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ )

- Poláčik-Yanagida: construct solutions with asymptotes (1)-(7) for  $\alpha \geq 4/[d - 4 - 2\sqrt{d - 1}]$  ( $d \geq 11$ ).

#### 4. STANDARD ARGUMENT, DIFFICULTY AND IDEAS

Decompose the solution  $\vec{v}(t, r) = e^{-m\theta\Omega}\vec{u}(t, x)$

$$\vec{v}(t) = \vec{h}[\mu(t)] + \check{v}(t) \quad (4.1)$$

such that

- (1)  $\check{v}(t)$  decays by dispersion/dissipation.
- (2)  $\mu(t)$  converges due to integrability of nonlinear terms.

$$\text{span} \left\{ \frac{\partial \vec{h}}{\partial \mu} \right\} = \text{Kernel of the linearized op. for } \check{v} \quad (4.2)$$

Let  $\dot{\mu}(t) =$  the component of the nonlinear interaction in the  $\partial_{\mu}\vec{h}[\mu]$  direction. Then

- (1)  $\check{v}$  consists of continuous spectrum ( $\implies$  dispersion)
- (2)  $\dot{\mu}$  is at least quadratic in  $\check{v}$  (integrable if  $\check{v} \in L_t^2$ )

4.1. **Difficulty.** Compatibility of the decomposition and the dispersive/dissipative estimate.

Time decay must be with weaker spatial decay than  $L_x^2$

↑

can be **destroyed by the orthogonal decomposition**  
if the eigenfunction decays slowly (i.e. **lower  $m$** )

The decay order is determined by **the scale invariance**:

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{u}(t, x) : \text{sol.} &\implies \vec{u}_\lambda(t, x) := \vec{u}(\lambda^2 t, \lambda x) : \text{sol.}, \\ E(\vec{u}_\lambda(0)) &= E(\vec{u}(0)). \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Decay estimate must be invariant for this, and we want  $L_t^2$  for  $\check{v}$ . Then the spatial order is given by

$$\check{v}/r \in L_t^2 L_x^\infty. \quad (4.4)$$

The kernel  $\partial_\mu \vec{h}[\mu] = h_1/\mu \cdot e^{\alpha\Omega}(-h_3, 0, h_1)(r/s)$ ,

$$h_1 = \frac{2}{r^m + r^{-m}} = O(r^{-m}) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty), \quad (4.5)$$

whose orthogonal projection preserves the above space  $rL_x^\infty$

$$\text{if and only if } rh_1(r) \in L_x^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \quad (\text{i.e. } m > 3). \quad (4.6)$$

4.2. **Idea 1.** Localize the orthogonality:

Fix  $\varphi(r) \in C_0^\infty(0, \infty)$  s.t.  $(h_1|\varphi) = 1$ , and decompose

$$L_x^2 = (h_1) \oplus \varphi^\perp \quad (4.7)$$

(with the rescaling by  $s(t)$ ). Then

Merit: it preserves decay estimates.

Demerit: it is not preserved by the linearized evolution.

Hence  $\dot{\mu}$  gets a linear term in  $\check{v} \notin L_t^1$ .

### 4.3. Hasimoto transform.

$\partial_{\bar{z}}\vec{v}$  behaves “more linearly” in some orthonormal frame, satisfying a NLS-like equation and time decay.

**4.4. Idea 2.** Partial integrations in space and in time, of the term  $O(\check{v})$  in the  $\mu$  equation, using the equation of  $\partial_{\bar{z}}\vec{v}$ . This gives the leading term of  $s(t)$  if  $m = 2$  and  $\alpha \equiv 0$ .

Problem: a term with  $\dot{\alpha}$  cannot be integrated if  $m = 2$ .

**4.5. Idea 3.** A priori bound on  $\int |\partial_t \log \mu| dt$  on dyadic time intervals  $[T_j, 2T_j]$ ,  $T_j = 2^j s(0)^2$ , using weighted  $L^p$  estimates for the linearized operator around  $h[\mu(T_j)]$ .

The slow move  $s(t) = O(t^{C\delta})$  does not affect much the main interaction around  $r^2 \sim t$ .

Demerit: The weighted estimates require  $a_1 > 0$ .

## 5. MORE DETAILS

### 5.1. Decomposition and localized orthogonality.

Let  $\mathbf{f}(t, r) \in \mathbb{C}^3 \perp \vec{h}[\mu]$  be the orthonormal frame

$$\mathbf{f} := e^{\alpha\Omega}(h^s \times \vec{j} + i\vec{j}), \quad \vec{j} := (0, 1, 0), \quad (5.1)$$

where  $h^s(r) = h(r/s)$ ,  $\mu = e^{i\alpha}s^{-m}$ .

Let  $\tilde{v}(t, r) := \vec{v} \cdot \mathbf{f} \in \mathbb{C}$  be the component of  $\vec{v}$  in  $\vec{h}[\mu]^\perp$ .

$$v = [1 + O(|\tilde{v}|^2)]\vec{h}[\mu] + \text{Re}(\tilde{v}\bar{\mathbf{f}}), \quad (5.2)$$

i.e.  $\tilde{v} \approx \check{v}$ . We choose  $\mu$  by the localized orthogonality

$$0 = (\tilde{v}|\varphi^\sharp) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \tilde{v}(x)\overline{\varphi^\sharp(x)}dx, \quad (5.3)$$

where  $\varphi^\sharp(r) = s^{-2}\varphi(r/s)$  and  $\varphi(r) \in C_0^\infty(0, \infty)$  is fixed such that  $(\varphi|h_1) = 1$ .

## 5.2. Generalized Hasimoto transform.

(Chang-Shatah-Uhlenbeck) Let  $\mathbf{e}(t, r) \in T_v \oplus iT_v$  be the orthonormal frame  $i\mathbf{e} = v \times \mathbf{e}$ , solving

$$P^v \mathbf{e}_r = 0, \quad \mathbf{e}(r \rightarrow \infty) = (-1, i, 0). \quad (5.4)$$

Define  $q(t, r), \nu(t, r) \in \mathbb{C}$ , and  $\vec{w}(t, r) \in \vec{v}^\perp$  by

$$\vec{w} = \vec{v}_r - \frac{m}{r} P^v \vec{k}, \quad q = \vec{w} \cdot \mathbf{e}, \quad \nu = P^v \vec{k} \cdot \mathbf{e}. \quad (5.5)$$

Then  $E(u) = 8\pi m + \|q\|_{L^2}^2$  and from the  $v$  equation

$$q_t + iSq = -aL_v L_v^* q, \quad L_v := \partial_r + \frac{m\nu_3}{r}, \quad (5.6)$$

$$S = \operatorname{Re} \int_{\infty}^r \left( q + \frac{m}{r} \nu \right) \overline{iaL_v^* q} dr.$$

Decay estimate on  $q$ : The energy identity

$$\|q(T)\|_{L_x^2}^2 + 2a_1 \|L_v^* q\|_{L_{t,x}^2(0 < t < T)}^2 = \|q(0)\|_{L_x^2}^2 \quad (5.7)$$

gives  $q \in L_t^2 L_x^\infty$  (via Sobolev) if  $a_1 > 0$ . If  $a_1 = 0$ , we use the Strichartz estimate in  $L_t^2 L_x^\infty$  for  $e^{itL_h L_h^*}$ .

From  $q$  to  $\check{v}$ : Let  $L^s := L_{h^s} = \partial_r + mh_3^s/r$  then

$$L^s \tilde{v} = \vec{w} \cdot \mathbf{f} + O(\delta \tilde{v}/r), \quad (5.8)$$

where  $|\check{v}| \lesssim |\tilde{v}| + |\tilde{v}|^2$  and  $|\vec{w}| = |q|$ . We can invert  $L^s$  by integration, using the **localized orthogonality**  $(\tilde{v}|\varphi^s) = 0$ . Thus we get

$$\|\tilde{v}/r\|_{L_x^2} \lesssim \|\varphi\|_{L_x^1} \|q\|_{L_x^2}, \quad \|\tilde{v}/r\|_{L_x^\infty} \lesssim \|r\varphi\|_{L_x^1} \|q\|_{L_x^\infty}.$$

If  $\varphi$  is replaced with  $h_1 = O(r^{-m})$ , then these require  $m > 2$  and  $m > 3$ , respectively.

5.3. **Equation for  $\mu(t)$ .** From  $\partial_t(\tilde{v}|\varphi^{\cancel{s}}) = 0$  we get

$$\dot{\mu}/\mu = -ae^{i\tilde{\alpha}}(L_v^*q|\varphi^{\cancel{s}}) + L_t^1, \quad (\tilde{\alpha}_t = \alpha_t + L_t^1). \quad (5.9)$$

$(L_v^*q|\varphi^{\cancel{s}})$  remains because  $(\tilde{v}|\varphi^{\cancel{s}}) = 0$  is not preserved by the equation.  $e^{i\tilde{\alpha}}$  is due to the rotation of  $\mathbf{f}$ .

Partial integrations: Using the equation of  $q$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mu}/\mu &= -ae^{i\tilde{\alpha}}(L_v L_v^*q|\psi^s/s) + L_t^1 \\ &= e^{i\tilde{\alpha}}(q_t|\psi^s/s) + L_t^1, \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

for some  $\psi(r) = O(r^{1-m})$  obtained by integration in  $r$ . Integration in  $t$  gives us

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_t \left[ \log \mu - e^{i\tilde{\alpha}}(q|\psi^s/s) \right] \\ &= -is\tilde{\alpha}_t e^{i\tilde{\alpha}}(q|\psi^{\cancel{s}}) - s_t e^{i\tilde{\alpha}}(q|(r\partial_r + 1)\psi^{\cancel{s}}) + L_t^1. \end{aligned} \quad (5.11) \quad \square$$

If either  $m \geq 3$  or  $m = 2$  and  $\alpha \equiv 0$ , we can prove the whole RHS is in  $L_t^1$ , i.e.

$$\int_0^\infty |\partial_t[\log \mu - e^{i\tilde{\alpha}}(q|\psi^s/s)]| dt \lesssim \delta. \quad (5.12)$$

The leading term is bounded if  $m \geq 3$ .

If  $m = 2$ ,  $a = 1$ , and  $v_2 \equiv 0$ , then we can derive

$$[(q|\psi^s/s)]_0^t \approx \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{s(0)}^{\sqrt{t}} q(0, r) dr = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{s(0)}^{\sqrt{t}} \frac{2v_1(0, r)}{r} dr,$$

approximating  $q$  by the heat equation.

But if  $m = 2$  and  $\alpha \not\equiv 0$ , then the term  $\tilde{\alpha}_t e^{i\tilde{\alpha}}(q|\psi^s)$  in  $\dot{\mu}/\mu$  cannot be bounded, since  $(q|\varphi^s)$  is unbounded and we have only  $s\tilde{\alpha}_t \in L_t^2$ .

5.4. **A priori bound on  $\mu$ .** If  $m = 2$  and  $a_1 > 0 \neq a_2$ , then instead of the parital integrations, we estimate

$$\int_0^T |\dot{\mu}/\mu| dt = \int_0^T |(L^{s*}q|\varphi^{\not{s}})| dt + O(\delta), \quad (5.13)$$

where  $L^s = \partial_r + mh_3^s/r$ ,

$$|(L^{s*}q|\varphi^{\not{s}})| = |(q|L^s\varphi^{\not{s}})| \lesssim \min(\|q\|_{L_x^2}/s^2, \|q/r\|_{L_x^\infty}).$$

On each interval  $[T_j, T_{j+1}]$ ,  $T_j = 2^j s(0)^2$ , we use weighted estimates for  $H^j := L^{s(T_j)} L^{s(T_j)*}$  such as

$$\|e^{-atH^j}\varphi\|_{L_t^1(T_1, T_2; rL_x^\infty)} \lesssim \|\min(r^2/T_1, 1, \sqrt{T_2}/r)\varphi\|_{rL_x^1},$$

and the Duhamel formula

$$q(t) = e^{a(T_j-t)H^j} q(T_j) + \int_{T_j}^t e^{a(t'-t)H^j} (q_t + aH^j q)(t') dt'.$$

The error term from the moving potential

$$[H^j - L^s L^{s*}]q = -2mar^{-2}[h_3^{s(T_j)} - h_3^{s(t)}]q \quad (5.14)$$

is bounded on  $[T_j, T_{j+1}]$  by

$$\|\log(s/s(T_j))\|_{L_t^\infty} \|q/r\|_{L_x^\infty} \lesssim \|\dot{\mu}/\mu\|_{L_t^1} \|q/r\|_{L_x^\infty}. \quad (5.15)$$

Thus we obtain on each interval  $[T_j, T_{j+1}]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\dot{\mu}/\mu\|_{L_t^1} &\lesssim \|q/r\|_{L_t^1 L_x^\infty} + \delta \\ &\lesssim \|\dot{\mu}/\mu\|_{L_t^1} \|q/r\|_{L_t^1 L_x^\infty} + \delta, \end{aligned} \quad (5.16)$$

and hence  $|\log \mu(t)| \lesssim \delta j$  for  $t \sim 2^j s(0)^2$ .

We can improve the bound to

$$\|\dot{\mu}/\mu\|_{L_t^1(T_j, T_{j+1})} \lesssim \delta + \|\min(r^2/T_j, \sqrt{T_j}/r)q(0)\|_{rL_x^1}.$$

But the weighted estimates do not hold in the Schrödinger case  $a = i$ .