# Schrödinger operators with oscillating potentials \*

Itaru Sasaki Department of Mathematics, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-0810, Japan e-mail: i-sasaki@math.sci.hokudai.ac.jp

December 10, 2004

#### Abstract

Schrödinger operators H with oscillating potentials such as  $\cos x^2$  are considered. Such potentials are not relatively compact with respect to the free Hamiltonian. But we show that they do not change the essential spectrum. Moreover we derive upper bounds for negative eigenvalue sums of H.

Key words: Schrödinger operator; oscillating potentials; eigenvalue sum;

<sup>\*</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 35J10, 35P15, 81Q10.

### 1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider Schrödinger operators H with oscillating potentials such as  $\cos |x|^2$ . To our knowledge, the spectral analysis of such Schrödinger operators H has no antecedent.

First we show that a class of oscillating potentials V does not change the essential spectrum of the free Hamiltonian (i.e.  $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(-\Delta + V) = [0, \infty)$ ). This means that the negative part of the  $-\Delta + V$  is compact operator. We remark that the potentials we consider are not compact with respect to the free Hamiltonian.

It is well known that the moment of the eigenvalues of the Schrödinger operator  $-\Delta_d + V(\text{on } L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$  has the following estimate:

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |e_j|^{\gamma} \le L_{\gamma,d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |V(x)|_{-}^{\gamma+d/2} \mathrm{d}x, \quad (d=1,2,3,\cdots),$$
(1)

where  $|V(x)|_{-} := -\min\{0, V(x)\}, e_0 \le e_1 \le e_2 \le \cdots$  are negative eigenvalues of  $-\triangle + V$  and  $L_{\gamma,d}$  is a universal constant ([4, Theorem 12.4],[5]). For the potential  $V(x) = \cos(|x^2|)$ , the left hand side of (1) can be defined by compactness of the negative part of H,  $|V(x)|_{-}^{\gamma+d/2}$  is not  $integrable(d = 1, 2, \ldots)$ :

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |V(x)|_{-}^{\gamma+d/2} \mathrm{d}x = \infty, \quad V(x) = \cos|x|^2,$$

but we show that  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |e_j|^{\gamma}$  is *finite* in the following cases:

$$\begin{cases} \gamma \ge \frac{1}{2}, & \text{for } d = 1, \\ \gamma > 0, & \text{for } d = 2, 3, \dots \end{cases}$$
(2)

Moreover in a general case we give new criteria for  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |e_j|^{\gamma} < \infty$  and derive upper bounds for negative eigenvalue sums of H.

In analysis of the Schrödinger operator with an oscillating potential, the positive part of the potential is essential. Because, for a low energy state u, the expectation value  $|\langle u, Vu \rangle|$  becomes small by the oscillation of the potential. But  $|\langle u, Vu \rangle|$  does not become small if the positive part of V is cut off.

## 2 Essential Spectrum

We consider the Schrödinger operator on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ :

$$H := H_0 + V, \quad H_0 = -\Delta_d, \tag{3}$$

where  $\Delta_d$  is the *d*-dimensional Laplacian and  $V \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is a real-valued function. Let  $S_d$  be the *d*-dimensional unit sphere, and let  $\Theta$  be the stantard measure on  $S_d$ . We write  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  as  $x = r\theta$ ,  $r = |x|, \theta \in S_d$ . We denote the Laplace-Beltrami operator on  $S_d$  by  $\Lambda_d$ .

Throughout this section, we assume that the potential V has the following properties:

[V.1]  $V : \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  is bounded Borel measurable, and for d = 1,

$$\lim_{R \to \infty} \sup_{x \in [R,\infty)} \left| \int_R^x V(y) \mathrm{d}y \right| = 0, \quad \lim_{R \to -\infty} \sup_{x \in (-\infty,R]} \left| \int_x^R V(y) \mathrm{d}y \right| = 0; \quad (4)$$

for  $d \geq 2$ 

$$\lim_{R \to \infty} \sup_{r \in [R,\infty)} \sup_{\theta \in S_d} \left| \int_R^r V(r\theta) \mathrm{d}r \right| = 0.$$
 (5)

**Example 2.1.** The following functions  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  satisfy condition [V.1]:

$$V_1(r) := a \sin(br^{\ell}), \quad V_2(r) := a \cos(br^{\ell}) \quad a, b \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\},$$
  

$$r = |x|, \quad d \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \ell \ge 2.$$
(6)

Under condition [V.1], H is self-adjoint with  $D(H) = D(H_0)$  and bounded below. For a self-adjoint operator A, we denote by  $A_+$ ,  $A_-$  the positive and negative part of A respectively:

$$A_{+} = \int_{[0,\infty)} \lambda dE_{A}(\lambda), \quad A_{-} = \int_{(-\infty,0)} \lambda dE_{A}(\lambda), \tag{7}$$

where  $E_A(\cdot)$  is the spectral measure associated with A. When A is bounded from below, we set

$$\Sigma(A) := \inf \sigma_{\rm ess}(A). \tag{8}$$

**Theorem 2.2.** Assume that V satisfies condition [V.1]. Then

$$\sigma_{\rm ess}(H) = [0, \infty). \tag{9}$$

In particular  $H_{-}$  is compact.

Remark. The potentials  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  with  $\ell \geq 2$  in Example 2.1 are not  $H_0^n$ compact(n = 1, 2, ...), and  $|V_1|$  and  $|V_2|$  are not  $H_0$ -form compact. Indeed, if  $\cos bx^{\ell}(H_0^n + 1)^{-1}$  is compact, then  $\sin bx^{\ell} \cdot \cos bx^{\ell} = (\sin 2bx^{\ell})/2$ is  $H_0^n$ -compact. Hence  $\sin bx^{\ell}(H_0^n + 1)^{-1}$  is compact. Therefore  $[(\sin bx^{\ell})^2 + (\cos bx^{\ell})^2](H_0^n + 1)^{-1} = (H_0 + 1)^{-1}$  is compact, but  $(H_0^n + 1)^{-1}$  is not compact
which is a contradiction. Therefore  $V_2$  is not  $H_0^n$ -compact. Similarly we can
show that  $V_1$  is not  $H_0^n$ -compact. Therefore Theorem 2.2 is nontrivial.

*Remark.* Let  $V = V_1$  (or  $V_2$ ). If d = 1 and

$$\lim_{L \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} V_1(x) e^{-|x|/L} \mathrm{d}x < 0, \quad \left( \text{or } \lim_{L \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} V_2(x) e^{-|x|/L} \mathrm{d}x < 0 \right), \tag{10}$$

then  $H_{-} \neq 0$ . Indeed, for  $\psi_{L}(x) := \exp(-|x|/2L) \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ , we have

$$\lim_{L \to \infty} \langle \psi_L, H \psi_L \rangle < 0.$$
(11)

In particular, in the case l = 2,  $H_{-} \neq 0$  for all a < 0, b > 0. If  $d \ge 2$ , there exist a constants  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\beta < 0$  such that for all  $|a| > \alpha$  and  $|b| > \beta$ ,  $H_{-} \neq 0$  (see [1, Lemma 4.3]).

Proof of Theorem 2.2. For  $R \ge 0$ , we denote by  $\chi_R$  the characteristic function of  $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d | |x| \le R\}$ . Then  $\chi_R V$  is  $H_0$ -compact([8, p.117, Example 6]). For all  $u \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , we have

$$\langle u, Vu \rangle = \langle u, \chi_R Vu \rangle + \int_{S_d} d\Theta(\theta) \int_{[R,\infty)} r^{d-1} dr V(r\theta) |u(r\theta)|^2.$$
(12)

Let

$$W(R,r;\theta) := \int_{[R,r]} V(s\theta) \mathrm{d}s.$$
(13)

Then, for almost every  $\theta \in S_d$ ,

$$\int_{[R,\infty)} r^{d-1} \mathrm{d}r V(r\theta) |u(r\theta)|^2 = -\int_{[R,\infty)} W(R,r;\theta) \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} \left( |u(r\theta)|^2 r^{d-1} \right) \mathrm{d}r.$$
(14)

Therefore

$$|(l.h.s(14))| \leq \left(1 + \frac{d-1}{R}\right) \sup_{r \geq R} |W(R,r;\theta)| \int_{[0,\infty)} |u(r\theta)|^2 r^{d-1} dr + \sup_{r \geq R} |W(R,r;\theta)| \int_{[0,\infty)} \left|\frac{\mathrm{d}u(r\theta)}{\mathrm{d}r}\right|^2 r^{d-1} \mathrm{d}r,$$
(15)

By the definition of  $\Lambda_d$  we have

$$\langle u, H_0 u \rangle = \int_{S_d} \mathrm{d}\Theta(\theta) \int_0^\infty \left[ \left| \frac{\mathrm{d}u(r\theta)}{\mathrm{d}r} \right|^2 - \frac{u(r\theta)^*}{r^2} (\Lambda_d u)(r\theta) \right] r^{d-1} \mathrm{d}r,$$

and

$$-\int_{S_d} \mathrm{d}\Theta(\theta) \int_0^\infty u(r\theta)^* (\Lambda_d u)(r\theta) r^{d-1} \mathrm{d}r \ge 0.$$
(16)

Therefore, for all  $u \in D(H_0)$  and R > 0, we have

$$\langle u, Vu \rangle | \le |\langle u, \chi_R Vu \rangle| + a(R) ||u||^2 + b(R) \langle u, H_0 u \rangle, \tag{17}$$

where

$$a(R) := \left(1 + \frac{d-1}{R}\right) \sup_{\substack{r \ge R \\ \theta \in S_d}} |W(R, r; \theta)|,$$
$$b(R) := \sup_{\substack{r \ge R \\ \theta \in S_d}} |W(R, r; \theta)|.$$

By condition [V.1],

$$\lim_{R \to \infty} a(R) = \lim_{R \to \infty} b(R) = 0.$$
(18)

Hence, the following operator inequality on  $D(H_0)$  holds:

$$H \ge (1 - b(R))H_0 - |\chi_R V| - a(R), \quad (R > 0).$$
(19)

By the min-max principle,

$$\Sigma(H) \ge -a(R),\tag{20}$$

for all R with  $1 \ge b(R)$ . Taking  $R \to \infty$ , we have  $\Sigma(H) \ge 0$ . Therefore  $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(H) \subset [0, \infty)$ . This means that  $H_{-}$  is compact.

Next we show that  $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(H) \supset [0,\infty)$ . Let  $u \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  be a normalized vector and set

$$u_L(x) := u(x/L)/\sqrt{L^d}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$
(21)

It is easy to see that

$$||u_L|| = 1, \quad u_L \xrightarrow{W} 0 \ (L \to \infty), \quad \langle u_L, H_0 u_L \rangle \to 0 \ (L \to \infty).$$
 (22)

Using (17), one can show that

$$\lim_{L \to \infty} \langle u_L, V u_L \rangle = 0.$$
<sup>(23)</sup>

Hence

$$\|H_{+}^{1/2}u_{L}\|^{2} = \langle u_{L}, Hu_{L} \rangle - \langle u_{L}, H_{-}u_{L} \rangle \to 0, \quad (L \to \infty),$$
(24)

where we have used the fact that  $H_{-}$  is compact. Therefore  $0 \in \sigma_{\text{ess}}(H_{+}^{1/2})$ . This means that  $0 \in \sigma_{\text{ess}}(H)$ . Therefore there exists a sequence  $\{v_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \subset C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  such that

$$\|v_n\| = 1, \quad v_n \xrightarrow{W} 0(n \to \infty), \quad \|Hv_n\| \to 0(n \to \infty).$$
(25)

It is easy to see that  $\langle v_n, H_0 v_n \rangle$  is uniformly founded. By this fact, a suitable subsequence  $\{H_0^{1/2}v_{n_j}\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$  has a weak limit. Since  $v_n \xrightarrow{W} 0$ , we obtain  $H_0^{1/2}v_{n_j} \xrightarrow{W} 0 (j \to \infty)$ . Thus, by using [4, Theorem 8.6],  $\chi_R v_{n_j}$  converges in norm. By (17), we have

$$(1-b(R))\langle v_{n_j}, H_0v_{n_j}\rangle \le |\langle v_{n_j}, Hv_{n_j}\rangle| + |\langle v_{n_j}, \chi_R Vv_{n_j}\rangle| + a(R), \quad (R>0).$$

Therefore,  $H_0^{1/2} v_{n_j} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{S}} 0 \ (j \to \infty)$ . For each  $k \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , we set

$$w_j(x) = e^{ik \cdot x} v_{n_j}(x), \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$
 (26)

Then,  $\{w_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  satisfy following:

$$\{w_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \subset C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad \|w_j\| = 1, \quad w_j \xrightarrow{W} 0(j \to \infty).$$

$$(27)$$

It is not so hard to see that

$$\|(H-k^2)w_j\| = \|Hv_{n_j}\| + 2|k| \|H_0^{1/2}v_{n_j}\| \to 0 \ (j \to \infty).$$
(28)

Since  $k \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is arbitrary, we obtain  $\sigma_{ess}(H) \supset [0, \infty)$ .

## 3 Bounds for Eigenvalue Sums

We assume the following:

[V.2] In the case d = 1, there exist constants  $R_2 < R_1$  such that

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \int_{\mathsf{R}_1}^x V(y) \, \mathrm{d}y \in [0, \infty), \quad \lim_{x \to -\infty} \int_x^{\mathsf{R}_2} V(y) \, \mathrm{d}y \in [0, \infty).$$
(29)

In the case  $d \ge 2$ , there exists a constant  $\mathsf{R} \ge 0$  such that for almost every  $\theta \in S_d$ ,

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \int_{\mathsf{R}}^{r} V(r\theta) \mathrm{d}r \in [0,\infty).$$
(30)

**Example 3.1.** The functions  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  in Example 2.1 satisfy [V.2].

*Proof.* It is enough to show [V.2] in the case  $d \ge 2$ . If  $d \ge 2$ ,  $\ell = 2$ , and a, b > 0, by Fresnel's formula, we have

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \int_0^r a \sin(bs^2) ds = \lim_{r \to \infty} \int_0^r a \cos(bs^2) ds = \sqrt{\frac{\pi a^2}{8b}} > 0.$$
(31)

Therefore [V.2] holds with  $\mathsf{R} = 0$ . In the case a < 0, b > 0, it is easy to see that

$$-\int_{\sqrt{\pi/b}}^{\infty} \sin br^2 \mathrm{d}r > 0, \qquad (32)$$

$$-\int_{\sqrt{\pi/2b}}^{\infty} \cos br^2 \mathrm{d}r > 0.$$
(33)

Therefore [V.2] holds with  $\mathsf{R} = \sqrt{\pi/b}$  or  $\mathsf{R} = \sqrt{\pi/2b}$ . In the case  $\ell > 2$ , it is not so hard to see that

$$\int_0^\infty \sin r^\ell \mathrm{d}r \ge 0, \qquad \qquad \int_{(2\pi)^{1/\ell}}^\infty \sin r^\ell \mathrm{d}r \le 0 \qquad (34)$$

$$\int_{(\pi/2)^{1/\ell}}^{\infty} \cos r^{\ell} dr \le 0, \qquad \qquad \int_{(3\pi/2)^{1/\ell}}^{\infty} \cos r^{\ell} dr \ge 0.$$
(35)

This means that [V.2] holds with  $\mathsf{R} = 0, (2\pi)^{1/\ell}, (\pi/2)^{1/\ell}, (3\pi/2)^{1/\ell}$ .

For  $d \geq 2$ , V, and R satisfying [V.2], we define

$$\bar{W}(\theta) := \lim_{r \to \infty} W(\mathsf{R}, r; \theta), \tag{36}$$

$$\widetilde{V}(r\theta) := |\overline{W}(\theta) - W(\mathsf{R}, r; \theta)|(1 - \chi_{\mathsf{R}}).$$
(37)

For a self-adjoint operator T, we set

$$E_{n}(T) := \sup_{\phi_{1},...,\phi_{n-1}} \inf_{\substack{\psi \in D(T); \|\psi\|=1\\\psi \in [\phi_{1},...,\phi_{n-1}]^{\perp}}} \langle \psi, T\psi \rangle,$$
(38)

where  $[\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_{n-1}]^{\perp}$  is a shorthand for  $\{\psi | \langle \psi, \phi_i \rangle = 0, i = 1, \ldots, n-1\}$ . By the min-max principle([8, Theorem XIII.1]),  $E_n(T)$  is *n*th eigenvalues below the bottom of the essential spectrum of T or the bottom of the essential spectrum.

Our main theorem is:

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $d \ge 2$ . Suppose that V satisfies condition [V.1] and [V.2]. Assume that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \widetilde{V}/r \right|^{\gamma+d/2} \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \widetilde{V} \right|^{2\gamma+d} \mathrm{d}x < \infty, \tag{39}$$

where  $\gamma > 0$  for d = 2 and  $\gamma \ge 0$  for  $d \ge 3$ . Then,

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} |E_n(H)|^{\gamma}$$

$$\leq L_{\gamma,d} \inf_{0<\epsilon<1} (1-\epsilon)^{-d/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left[ |\chi_{\mathsf{R}}V_-|^{\gamma+d/2} + \left| \frac{d-1}{r} \widetilde{V} + \frac{\widetilde{V}^2}{\epsilon} \right|^{\gamma+d/2} \right] \mathrm{d}x.$$
(40)

where  $L_{\gamma,d}$  is a universal constant (given in [2], [3], [4, Theorem 12.4], and references therein).

In the case d = 1, we define

$$\widetilde{V}(x) := \begin{cases} \left| \lim_{r \to \infty} \int_{x}^{r} V(y) dy \right|, & x \ge \mathsf{R}_{1}, \\ 0, & \mathsf{R}_{1} < x < \mathsf{R}_{2}, \\ \left| \lim_{r \to -\infty} \int_{r}^{x} V(y) dy \right|, & x \le \mathsf{R}_{2}. \end{cases}$$
(41)

**Theorem 3.3.** Let d = 1. Assume [V.1] and [V.2]. For a  $\gamma \ge 1/2$ , we assume  $\widetilde{V} \in L^{2\gamma+1}(\mathbb{R})$ . Then

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |E_n(H)|^{\gamma} \le L_{\gamma,1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ |V_-(x)|^{\gamma+1/2} \chi_{[\mathsf{R}_1,\mathsf{R}_2]}(x) + |\widetilde{V}|^{2\gamma+1}(x) \right] \mathrm{d}x, \quad (42)$$

where  $L_{\gamma,1}$  is a universal constant (given in [4, Theorem 12.4]).

**Example 3.4.** In the case d = 1, potentials  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  in Example 2.1 satisfy the condition

$$\widetilde{V} \in L^{2\gamma+1}(\mathbb{R}), \quad \gamma \ge \frac{1}{2},$$
(43)

for all  $\ell \geq 2$ . In the case  $d \geq 2$  and  $\ell = 2$ ,  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  satisfy the condition (39) for  $\gamma > 0$ . In the case  $d \geq 2$  and  $\ell > 2$ ,  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  satisfy (39) for all  $\gamma \geq 0$ .

*Proof.* We give proof only in the case where  $V = V_1$  and a = b = 1. If  $d \ge 2$ , we have

$$|\widetilde{V}(r\theta)| = (1 - \chi_{\mathsf{R}}(r)) \Big| \int_{r}^{\infty} \cos s^{\ell} ds \Big| = (1 - \chi_{\mathsf{R}}(r)) \Big| \int_{r}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\ell s^{\ell-1}} \frac{\mathrm{d}(\sin s^{\ell})}{\mathrm{d}s} \mathrm{d}s \Big|.$$

By integration by parts, we obtain

$$|\widetilde{V}(r\theta)| \le (1 - \chi_{\mathsf{R}}(r))\frac{2}{\ell r^{\ell - 1}}.$$
(44)

Therefore

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\widetilde{V}/r|^{\gamma+d/2} \mathrm{d}x \le \left(\frac{2}{\ell}\right)^{\gamma+d/2} \Theta(S_d) \int_{\mathsf{R}}^\infty \left(\frac{1}{r}\right)^{\ell(\gamma+d/2)-d+1} \mathrm{d}r,$$
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}-d} |\widetilde{V}|^{2\gamma+d} \mathrm{d}x \le \left(\frac{2}{\ell}\right)^{2\gamma+d} \Theta(S_d) \int_{\mathsf{R}}^\infty \left(\frac{1}{r}\right)^{(\ell-1)(2\gamma+d)-d+1} \mathrm{d}r.$$

Since  $\mathsf{R} = (3\pi/2)^{1/\ell}$ , we obtain the desired result.

Proof of Theorem 3.2. For almost every  $\theta \in S_d$  and for all  $u \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , we have

$$\begin{split} &-\int_{\mathsf{R}}^{\infty} W(\mathsf{R},r;\theta) \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} (r^{d-1}|u(r\theta)|^2) \mathrm{d}r \\ &= \int_{\mathsf{R}}^{\infty} (\bar{W}(\theta) - W(\mathsf{R},r;\theta)) \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} (r^{d-1}|u(r\theta)|^2) \mathrm{d}r + \bar{W}(\theta) \mathsf{R}^{d-1}|u(\mathsf{R}\theta)|^2 \\ &\geq \int_{\mathsf{R}}^{\infty} (\bar{W}(\theta) - W(\mathsf{R},r;\theta)) \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} (r^{d-1}|u(r\theta)|^2) \mathrm{d}r. \\ &\geq -\int_{\mathsf{R}}^{\infty} \widetilde{V}(r\theta) \left[ (d-1)r^{d-2}|u(r\theta)|^2 + 2r^{d-1}|\mathrm{d}u(r\theta)/\mathrm{d}r||u(r\theta)| \right] \mathrm{d}r, \end{split}$$

where we have used condition [V.2]. By using equation (12) and (14), for any  $\epsilon>0$  we obtain

$$\langle u, Vu \rangle \ge \langle u, \chi_{\mathsf{R}} Vu \rangle - \epsilon \langle u, H_0 u \rangle - \left\langle u, \left[ \frac{d-1}{r} \widetilde{V} + \frac{\widetilde{V}^2}{\epsilon} \right] u \right\rangle.$$
 (45)

Therefore, for all  $u \in D(H_0)$ , we have

$$\langle u, Hu \rangle \ge (1-\epsilon) \langle u, H_0 u \rangle - \left\langle u, \left[ \chi_{\mathsf{R}} |V_-| + \frac{d-1}{r} \widetilde{V} + \frac{V^2}{\epsilon} \right] u \right\rangle.$$
 (46)

Thus we can apply [4, Theorem 12.4] to obtain

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} |E_n(H)|^{\gamma}$$

$$\leq (1-\epsilon)^{\gamma} \sum_{n\geq 0} \left| E_n \left( H_0 - \frac{1}{1-\epsilon} \left[ \chi_{\mathsf{R}} |V_-| + \frac{d-1}{r} \widetilde{V} + \frac{\widetilde{V}^2}{\epsilon} \right] \right) \right|^{\gamma}$$

$$\leq L_{\gamma,d} (1-\epsilon)^{-d/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left[ |\chi_{\mathsf{R}} V_-|^{\gamma+d/2} + \left| \frac{d-1}{r} \widetilde{V} + \frac{\widetilde{V}^2}{\epsilon} \right|^{\gamma+d/2} \right] \mathrm{d}x,$$

for any  $0 < \epsilon < 1$ .

Proof of Theorem 3.3. Similar to the proof of Theorem 3.2

#### Acknowledgements

The author is grateful to Professor A. Arai of Hokkaido university for discussions and helpful comments.

#### References

- [1] A. Arai, Kunimitsu Hayashi, and Itaru Sasaki, Spectral Properties of a Dirac Operator in the Chiral Quark Soliton Model, preprint, 2004.
- [2] D. Hundertmark, A. Laptev, and T. Weidl, New bounds on the Lieb-Thirring constants, *Invent. math.* **140** (2000), 693-704.
- [3] A. Laptev, and T. Weidl, Sharp Lieb-Thirring inequalities in high dimensions, *Acta Math.* **184** (2000), 87-111.
- [4] E. H. Lieb and M. Loss, Analysis, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, American Mathematical Society, 2nd edition, 2001.
- [5] E. H. Lieb and W. Thirring, Inequalities for the moments of the eigenvalues of the Schrödinger Hamiltonian and their relation to Sobolev inequalities, Studies in Math. Phys, Essays in Honor of Valentine Bargmann, Princeton, (1976), 269-303.
- [6] M. Reed and B. Simon, Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics Vol. I, Academic Press, New York, 1972.
- [7] M. Reed and B. Simon, Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics Vol. II, Academic Press, New York, 1975.
- [8] M. Reed and B. Simon, Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics Vol. IV, Academic Press, New York, 1978.