# Spectral Properties of a Magnetic Quantum Hamiltonian on a Strip

Philippe Briet, Georgi Raikov, Eric Soccorsi

Dedicated to the memory of Volodya Geyler (1943 - 2007)

### Abstract

We consider a 2D Schrödinger operator  $H_0$  with constant magnetic field, on a strip of finite width. The spectrum of  $H_0$  is absolutely continuous, and contains a discrete set of thresholds. We perturb  $H_0$  by an electric potential V which decays in a suitable sense at infinity, and study the spectral properties of the perturbed operator  $H = H_0 + V$ . First, we establish a Mourre estimate, and as a corollary prove that the singular continuous spectrum of H is empty, and any compact subset of the complement of the threshold set may contain at most a finite set of eigenvalues of H, each of them having a finite multiplicity. Next, we introduce the Krein spectral shift function (SSF) for the operator pair  $(H, H_0)$ . We show that this SSF is bounded on any compact subset of the complement of the threshold set, and is continuous away from the threshold set and the eigenvalues of H. The main results of the article concern the asymptotic behaviour of the SSF at th thresholds, which is described in terms of the SSF for a pair of effective Hamiltonians.

AMS 2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35J10, 81Q10, 35P20

**Keywords:** Schrödinger operators, magnetic field, Mourre estimates, spectral shift function

## 1 Introduction

In the present article we consider the 2D Schrödinger operator  $H_0$  with constant magnetic field b>0 defined in a strip  $\mathcal{S}_L$  of width 2L. The spectrum of  $H_0$  is absolutely continuous, coincides with the interval  $[\mathcal{E}_1, \infty)$  with  $\mathcal{E}_1>0$ , and contains a countable set of thresholds  $\mathcal{Z}$ . This model is related to some aspects of the quantum Hall effect (see e.g. [2], [10]). We perturb  $H_0$  by an electric potential V which decays in a suitable sense at infinity, and study some basic spectral properties of the perturbed operator H. First we establish a Mourre estimate (see [20]) with an appropriate conjugate operator, and as a consequence we show that the singular continuous spectrum of H is empty, and any compact subset of  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathcal{Z}$  may contain at most a finite number of eigenvalues of H,

each of them having a finite multiplicity. Similar Mourre estimates for other magnetic Hamiltonians have been obtained in [7] and [12].

Further, we introduce the Krein spectral shift function (SSF) for the operator pair  $(H, H_0)$  and prove that it is bounded on every compact subset of  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathcal{Z}$ , and is continuous on  $\mathbb{R} \setminus (\mathcal{Z} \cup \sigma_p(H))$  where  $\sigma_p(H)$  is the set of the eigenvalues of H. The main results of the article concern the asymptotic behaviour of the SSF near the thresholds of the spectrum of  $H_0$ . We show that this asymptotic behaviour is similar to the asymptotics near the origin of the SSF for a pair of effective Hamiltonians which are 1D Schrödinger operators. As a corollary we show that if the decay rate  $\alpha$  of V is on the interval (1,2), then the SSF has a singularity at each threshold, and describe explicitly the leading term of this singularity; if  $\alpha > 2$ , then the SSF remains bounded at the thresholds. The threshold behaviour of the SSF for a pair of 3D Schrödinger operators with constant magnetic fields has been investigated in [9] (see also [23]). In that case the thresholds coincide with the Landau levels, and the threshold singularities of the SSF have different nature, related to the spectral properties of compact Berezin-Toeplitz operators.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce some basic notations, describe the operators  $H_0$  and H, formulate our main results, and briefly comment on them. Section 3 contains the proof of our results related to the Mourre estimates, while the proofs of the results concerning the SSF can be found in Section 4.

## 2 Main Results

**2.1.** In this subsection we introduce some basic notations used throughout the section. Let  $X_1, X_2$  be two separable Hilbert spaces. We denote by  $\mathcal{B}(X_1, X_2)$  (resp., by  $S_{\infty}(X_1, X_2)$ ) the class of bounded (resp., compact) operators  $T: X_1 \to X_2$ . Further, we denote by  $S_p(X_1, X_2), p \in [1, \infty)$ , the Schatten-von Neumann class of compact operators  $T: X_1 \to X_2$  for which the norm  $||T||_p := (\text{Tr}\,|T|^p)^{1/p}$  is finite (see e.g. [25]). In this paper we will use only the trace class  $S_1$  and the Hilbert-Schmidt class  $S_2$ . If  $X_1 = X_2 = X$  we write  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  or  $S_p(X)$  instead of  $\mathcal{B}(X, X)$  or  $S_p(X, X), p \in [1, \infty]$ . Also, if the indication of the Hilbert space where the corresponding operators act is irrelevant, we omit it in the notations of the classes  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $S_p, p \in [1, \infty]$ .

Let  $T = T^*$ . We denote by  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{O}}(T)$  the spectral projection of T associated with the Borel set  $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{R}$ .

Finally, if  $T \in \mathcal{B}$ , we define the self-adjoint operators  $\text{Re } T := \frac{1}{2}(T + T^*)$  and  $\text{Im } T := \frac{1}{2i}(T - T^*)$ .

**2.2.** In this subsection we introduce the operators  $H_0$  and H, and summarize some of their spectral properties which will play a crucial role in the sequel.

For L > 0 put  $I_L = (-L, L)$ ,  $S = I_L \times \mathbb{R}$ . Let

$$H_0 := -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \left(-i\frac{\partial}{\partial y} - bx\right)^2$$

be the 2D Schrödinger operator with constant scalar magnetic field b > 0, defined on  $\{u \in H^2(\mathcal{S}_L) \mid u_{|\partial \mathcal{S}_L} = 0\}$  where  $H^2(\mathcal{S}_L)$  denotes the corresponding second-order Sobolev space. Then we have

$$\mathcal{F}H_0\mathcal{F}^* = \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{\oplus} \hat{H}(k)dk,$$

where  $\mathcal{F}$  is the partial Fourier transform with respect to y, i.e.

$$(\mathcal{F}u)(x,k) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-iyk} u(x,y) dy, \quad (x,k) \in \mathcal{S}_L,$$

and

$$\hat{H}(k) := -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + (bx - k)^2, \quad k \in \mathbb{R},$$

is the operator defined on  $D(\hat{H}) := \{w \in H^2(I_L) | w(-L) = w(L) = 0\}$ . In what follows, we will consider  $D(\hat{H})$  as a Hilbert space equipped with the standard scalar product of  $H^2(I_L)$ .

The spectrum  $\sigma(\hat{H}(k))$  of the operator  $\hat{H}(k)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{R}$ , is discrete and simple. Let  $\{E_j(k)\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  be the increasing sequence of the eigenvalues of  $\hat{H}(k)$ , which are even real analytic functions of  $k \in \mathbb{R}$  (see [15]). Further, the minimax principle easily implies

$$E_j(k) = k^2(1 + o(1)), \quad k \to \pm \infty.$$
 (2.1)

Finally, by [10, Theorem 2] we have

$$kE'_{j}(k) > 0, \quad k \neq 0,$$
 (2.2)

$$E_j(k) = \mathcal{E}_j + \mu_j k^2 + O(k^4), \quad k \to 0,$$
 (2.3)

with

$$\mathcal{E}_j = E_j(0) > (2j-1)b, \quad \mu_j = \frac{1}{2}E_j''(0) > 0.$$
 (2.4)

Thus  $\sigma(H_0) = \sigma_{ac}(H_0) = [\mathcal{E}_1, \infty)$ , and  $\mathcal{E}_j$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, \ldots\}$ , are thresholds in  $\sigma(H_0)$ . Set  $\mathcal{Z} := \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \{\mathcal{E}_j\}$ .

Let  $V: S_L \to \mathbb{R}$  be the electric potential such that the operator  $|V|^{1/2}H_0^{-1/2}$  is compact. We define the perturbed operator  $H:=H_0+V$  as a sum in the sense of the quadratic forms. Then we have  $\sigma_{\rm ess}(H)=\sigma_{\rm ess}(H_0)=\sigma(H_0)=[\mathcal{E}_1,\infty)$ .

**2.3** In this subsection we formulate our result concerning the absence of singular continuous spectrum of H, and some generic properties of its eigenvalues.

Theorem 2.1. (i) Assume

$$VH_0^{-1} \in S_{\infty},\tag{2.5}$$

$$H_0^{-1} y \frac{\partial V}{\partial y} H_0^{-1} \in S_{\infty}. \tag{2.6}$$

Then any compact subinterval of  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathcal{Z}$  may contain at most a finite number of eigenvalues, each of them having a finite multiplicity.

(ii) Suppose moreover

$$H_0^{-1/2} y \frac{\partial V}{\partial y} H_0^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}, \tag{2.7}$$

$$H_0^{-1} y^2 \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial y^2} H_0^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}.$$
 (2.8)

Then  $\sigma_{\rm sc}(H) = \emptyset$ .

The proof of Theorem 2.1 is contained in Section 3.

Remark: Let  $U: \mathcal{S}_L \to [0, \infty)$ , and let  $\Delta_D$  be the Dirichlet Laplacian on  $\mathcal{S}_L$ . The Sobolev embedding theorems imply that the inclusion  $U^{1/2}(-\Delta_D)^{-1/2} \in \mathcal{B}$  (resp.,  $U^{1/2}(-\Delta_D)^{-1/2} \in \mathcal{S}_\infty$ ) is ensured by  $U \in L^q(\mathcal{S}_L) + L^\infty(\mathcal{S}_L)$  (resp.,  $U \in L^q(\mathcal{S}_L) + L^\infty(\mathcal{S}_L)$ ), q > 1. Similarly, the condition  $U\Delta_D^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}$  (resp.,  $U\Delta_D^{-1} \in \mathcal{S}_\infty$ ) follows from  $U \in L^2(\mathcal{S}_L) + L^\infty(\mathcal{S}_L)$  (resp.,  $U \in L^2(\mathcal{S}_L) + L^\infty(\mathcal{S}_L)$ ). On the other hand, by the diamagnetic inequality (see e.g. [25, Chapter 2]), we have  $\|U^\gamma H_0^{-\gamma}\| \leq \|U^\gamma (-\Delta_D)^{-\gamma}\|$ ,  $\gamma > 0$ , and, moreover,  $U^\gamma (-\Delta_D)^{-\gamma} \in \mathcal{S}_\infty$  entails  $U^\gamma H_0^{-\gamma} \in \mathcal{S}_\infty$ . These facts could be used in order to deduce sufficient conditions which guarantee the validity of the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1.

**2.4.** This subsection contains our results on the threshold behaviour of the spectral shift function for the operator pair  $(H, H_0)$ . Let us recall the abstract setting for the SSF. Let  $\mathcal{H}_0$  and  $\mathcal{H}$  be two lower-bounded self-adjoint operators acting in the same Hilbert space. Assume that for some  $\gamma > 0$ , and  $E_0 < \inf \sigma(\mathcal{H}_0) \cup \sigma(\mathcal{H})$ , we have

$$(\mathcal{H} - E_0)^{-\gamma} - (\mathcal{H}_0 - E_0)^{-\gamma} \in S_1. \tag{2.9}$$

Then there exists a unique  $\xi(\cdot; \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_0) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}; \langle E \rangle^{-\gamma-1} dE)$  which vanishes identically on  $(-\infty, E_0)$  such that the Lifshits-Krein formula

$$Tr(f(\mathcal{H}) - f(\mathcal{H}_0)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \xi(E; \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_0) f'(E) dE$$
 (2.10)

holds for each  $f \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  (see [18] and [17]). The function  $\xi(.; \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_0)$  is called the SSF for the pair of the operators  $(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_0)$ . If  $E < \inf \sigma(\mathcal{H}_0)$ , then the spectrum of  $\mathcal{H}$  below E could be at most discrete, and for almost every  $E < \inf \sigma(\mathcal{H}_0)$  we have

$$\xi(E; \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_0) = -N(E; \mathcal{H}) \tag{2.11}$$

where  $N(E; \mathcal{H}) := \operatorname{rank} \mathbb{P}_{(-\infty, E)}(\mathcal{H})$ . On the other hand, for almost every  $E \in \sigma_{ac}(\mathcal{H}_0)$ , the SSF  $\xi(E; \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_0)$  is related to the scattering determinant det  $S(E; \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_0)$  for the pair  $(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_0)$  by the Birman-Krein formula

$$\det S(E; \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_0) = e^{-2\pi i \xi(E; \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_0)}$$

(see [4]).

Next, we define the SSF for the pair  $(H, H_0)$ . We will say that V satisfies condition  $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , if

$$|V(x,y)| \le c\langle y\rangle^{-\alpha}, \ c > 0, \ (x,y) \in S_L,$$

where, as usual,  $\langle y \rangle := (1+y^2)^{1/2}$ . Assume that V satisfies condition  $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}$  with  $\alpha > 1$ . Then (2.9) holds for  $\mathcal{H} = H$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_0 = H_0$ , and  $\gamma = 1$ , and hence the SSF  $\xi(\cdot; H, H_0)$  is well defined as an element of  $L^1(\mathbb{R}; \langle E \rangle^{-2} dE)$ . In the present article we will identify this SSF with a representative of the corresponding class of equivalence described explicitly in Section 4.3 below.

**Proposition 2.1.** Assume that V satisfies  $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}$  with  $\alpha > 1$ . Then the SSF  $\xi(\cdot; H, H_0)$  is bounded on every compact subset of  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathcal{Z}$  and continuous on  $\mathbb{R} \setminus (\mathcal{Z} \cup \sigma_p(H))$ .

The proof of Proposition 2.1 can be found in Subsection 4.6 below. Set

$$J(x,y) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } V(x,y) \ge 0, \\ -1 & \text{if } V(x,y) < 0, \end{cases}$$

Fix  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\psi_j(\cdot; k) : I_L \to \mathbb{R}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{R}$ , be the real-valued normalized in  $L^2(I_L)$  eigenfunction of the operator  $\hat{H}(k)$  corresponding to the eigenvalue  $E_j(k)$ . For  $\varepsilon \in (-1, 1)$  introduce the effective potential

$$w_{j,\varepsilon}(y) := \int_{I_L} |V(x,y)| (J(x,y) - \varepsilon)^{-1} \psi_j(x;0)^2 dx, \quad y \in \mathbb{R},$$

and the effective Hamiltonians

$$h_{0,j} := -\mu_j \frac{d^2}{dy^2}, \quad h_j(\varepsilon) := h_{0,j} + w_{j,\varepsilon},$$

the number  $\mu_j$  being defined in (2.1). Note if V satisfies  $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}$  with  $\alpha > 1$ , then (2.9) holds for  $\mathcal{H} = h_j(\varepsilon)$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_0 = h_{0,j}$ , and  $\gamma = 1$ , and hence the SSFs  $\xi(\cdot; h_j(\varepsilon), h_{0,j})$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\varepsilon \in (-1, 1)$ , are well defined.

For  $\lambda > 0$  set

$$\theta_{\beta}(\lambda) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \beta > 1/2, \\ |\ln \lambda| & \text{if } \beta = 1/2, \\ \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2} + \beta} & \text{if } 0 < \beta < 1/2. \end{cases}$$
 (2.12)

If  $\lambda < 0$ , then

$$\theta_{\beta}(\lambda) := 1 \tag{2.13}$$

for all  $\beta > 0$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** Assume that V satisfies  $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}$  with  $\alpha > 1$ . Fix  $q \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then for each  $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$  we have

$$\xi(\lambda; h_q(-\varepsilon), h_{0,q}) + O(\theta_{2\gamma}(\lambda)) \le \xi(\mathcal{E}_q + \lambda; H, H_0) \le \xi(\lambda; h_q(\varepsilon), h_{0,q}) + O(\theta_{2\gamma}(\lambda)),$$
 (2.14) as  $\lambda \to 0$ , for any  $\gamma \in (0, (\alpha - 1)/2), \ \gamma < 1$ .

The proof of Theorem 2.2 can be found in Subsection 4.7.

Assume now that  $\alpha \in (1,2)$ . Then we have  $\theta_{2\gamma}(\lambda) = o(|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\alpha}})$  as  $\lambda \to 0$ . Hence, using well-known results concerning the asymptotic behaviour of the SSF  $\xi(\lambda; h_j(\varepsilon), h_{0,j})$  as  $\lambda \to 0$  (see e.g. [24] in the case  $\lambda \uparrow 0$ , and [26] in the case  $\lambda \downarrow 0$ ), we obtain the following

Corollary 2.1. Let V satisfy  $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}$  with  $\alpha \in (1,2)$ . Fix  $q \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose that for each  $\varepsilon \in (-\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_0)$  and some  $\varepsilon_0 \in (0,1)$  there exist real numbers  $\omega_{a,\pm}(\varepsilon)$  such that

$$\lim_{y \to \pm \infty} |y|^{\alpha} w_{q,\varepsilon}(y) = \omega_{q,\pm}(\varepsilon) \tag{2.15}$$

uniformly with respect to  $\varepsilon$ . Then we have

$$\lim_{\lambda \downarrow 0} \lambda^{\frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}} \xi(\mathcal{E}_q - \lambda; H, H_0) = -\mu_q^{-1/2} \mathcal{C}_\alpha \Omega_q^-, \tag{2.16}$$

$$\lim_{\lambda \downarrow 0} \lambda^{\frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}} \xi(\mathcal{E}_q + \lambda; H, H_0) = -\mu_q^{-1/2} \mathcal{C}_\alpha \left( \csc(\pi/\alpha) \Omega_q^- + \cot(\pi/\alpha) \Omega_q^+ \right),$$

where 
$$C_{\alpha} := \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^1 (t^{-\alpha} - 1)^{1/2} dt$$
, and  $\Omega_q^{\pm} := \sum_{\varsigma = +, -} \omega_{q,\varsigma}(0)_{\pm}^{1/\alpha}$ .

Remark: If q = 1 and  $\lambda > 0$ , we have  $\xi(\mathcal{E}_1 - \lambda; H, H_0) = -N(\mathcal{E}_1 - \lambda; H)$  (cf. (2.11)). Note that the spectrum of H below  $\mathcal{E}_1$  is discrete if V satisfies  $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}$  with any  $\alpha > 0$ , and as in (2.16) we have

$$\lim_{\lambda \downarrow 0} \lambda^{\frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}} N(\mathcal{E}_1 - \lambda; H) = \mu_q^{-1/2} \mathcal{C}_\alpha \Omega_1^-$$
(2.17)

for all  $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ .

Similarly, using well known results on the asymptotic behaviour as  $\lambda \uparrow 0$  of the SSF  $\xi(\lambda; h_j(\varepsilon), h_{0,j})$  in the case  $\alpha = 2$  (see [16]), we obtain the following

Corollary 2.2. Assume the hypotheses of Corollary 2.1 with  $\alpha = 2$ . Fix  $q \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then we have

$$\lim_{\lambda \downarrow 0} |\ln \lambda|^{-1} \xi(\mathcal{E}_q - \lambda; H, H_0) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{\varsigma = +, -} \left( \frac{\omega_{q,\varsigma}(0)}{\mu_q} + \frac{1}{4} \right)_{-}^{1/2}.$$

Moreover, if  $\omega_{q,\pm}(0) > -\mu_q/4$ , then  $\xi(\mathcal{E}_q - \lambda; H, H_0) = O(1)$  as  $\lambda \downarrow 0$ .

Remark: In the case  $\alpha = 2$ , the analysis of the asymptotic behaviour of  $\xi(\lambda; h_j(\varepsilon), h_{0,j})$  as  $\lambda \downarrow 0$  requires some additional estimates similar to those obtained in [26]. In order to avoid the inadequate increase of the size of the article, we omit these results. Finally, in Subsection 4.8 we prove

Corollary 2.3. Let V satisfy  $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}$  with  $\alpha > 2$ . Then for each  $q \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$\xi(\mathcal{E}_q + \lambda; H, H_0) = O(1), \quad \lambda \to 0.$$
(2.18)

## 3 Mourre estimates

In this section we prove Theorem 2.1 using an appropriate Mourre estimate established in Proposition 3.1. Similar Mourre estimates have been obtained in [7] for a 2D magnetic Schrödinger operator defined on the half-plane, and in [12] for a 3D one, defined in the whole space.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $E \in (\mathcal{E}_n, \mathcal{E}_{n+1})$ . Then there exists  $\delta = \delta(E) \in (0, \text{dist}(E, \mathcal{Z}))$  such that the interval  $\Delta_E = [E - \delta, E + \delta]$  satisfies

$$E_r^{-1}(\Delta_E) = \emptyset, \quad r \ge n+1, \tag{3.1}$$

and, if  $n \geq 2$ ,

$$E_r^{-1}(\Delta_E) \cap E_s^{-1}(\Delta_E) = \emptyset, \quad r \neq s, \quad r, s = 1, \dots, n.$$
(3.2)

*Proof.* First, (3.1) follows trivially from  $\Delta_E \cap [\mathcal{E}_{n+1}, \infty) = \emptyset$ . Set  $B_r := E_r^{-1}(\Delta_E) \cap [0, \infty)$ ,  $r = 1, \ldots, n$ . Since  $E_r$  are even functions of k, it suffices to show that

$$B_r \cap B_s = \emptyset, \quad r \neq s, \quad r, s = 1, \dots, n,$$
 (3.3)

instead of (3.2). Denote by  $E_r^{-1}$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , the function inverse to  $E_r : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ . Since  $\Delta_E \subset (\mathcal{E}_n, \infty)$ , this interval is in the domain of all the functions  $E_r^{-1}$ ,  $r = 1, \ldots, n$ , and we have

$$B_r = [E_r^{-1}(E - \delta), E_r^{-1}(E + \delta)], \quad r = 1, \dots, n.$$

Therefore, in order to prove that there exists  $\delta \in (0, \operatorname{dist}(E, \mathbb{Z}))$  such that (3.3) holds true, it suffices to show that there exists  $\delta \in (0, \operatorname{dist}(E, \mathbb{Z}))$  such that

$$E_{r+1}^{-1}(E+\delta) < E_r^{-1}(E-\delta), \quad r=1,\ldots,n-1,$$

which is evident since  $E_{r+1}^{-1}(E) < E_r^{-1}(E)$ , the functions  $E_r^{-1}$  are continuous, and n-1 is finite.

**Lemma 3.2.** Assume (2.5). Let  $\chi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ . Then  $\chi(H) - \chi(H_0) \in S_{\infty}$ .

*Proof.* By the Helffer-Sjöstrand formula, we have

$$\chi(H) - \chi(H_0) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{\partial \tilde{\chi}}{\partial \bar{z}} (H - z)^{-1} V(H_0 - z)^{-1} dx dy$$

where z = x + iy,  $\bar{z} = x - iy$ ,  $\tilde{\chi}$  is the quasi-analytic extension of  $\chi$ , and the convergence of the the integral is understood in the operator-norm sense (see e.g. [8, Chapter 8]). Since the support of  $\tilde{\chi}$  is compact in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , and the operator  $\frac{\partial \tilde{\chi}}{\partial \bar{z}}(H-z)^{-1}V(H_0-z)^{-1}$  is compact for every  $(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  with  $y \neq 0$ , and is uniformly norm-bounded on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , we have  $\chi(H) - \chi(H_0) \in S_{\infty}$ .

Introduce the operator

$$A = A^* = -\frac{i}{2} \left( y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} y \right)$$

defined originally on  $C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_y; D(\hat{H}))$  and then closed in  $L^2(\mathcal{S}_L)$ . Note that

$$(e^{itA}f)(x,y) = e^{t/2}f(x,e^ty), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad f \in L^2(\mathcal{S}_L),$$

and the unitary group  $e^{itA}$  preserves  $D(H_0)$ . In what follows, we will consider  $D(H_0^{\gamma})$ ,  $\gamma > 0$ , as a Hilbert space equipped with the scalar product  $\langle H_0^{\gamma}u, H_0^{\gamma}v \rangle_{L^2(\mathcal{S}_L)}, u, v \in D(H_0^{\gamma})$ . Denote by  $D(H_0^{\gamma})^*$ ,  $\gamma > 0$ , the completion of  $L^2(\mathcal{S}_L)$  with respect to the norm  $\|H_0^{-\gamma}u\|_{L^2(\mathcal{S}_L)}$ ,  $u \in L^2(\mathcal{S}_L)$ .

Note that  $C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_y; D(\hat{H}))$  is dense in  $D(H_0)$ , and, hence,  $D(A) \cap D(H_0)$  is dense in  $D(H_0)$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** Assume (2.5) – (2.6). Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $E \in (\mathcal{E}_n, \mathcal{E}_{n+1})$ . Assume that  $\delta \in (0, \operatorname{dist}(E, \mathcal{Z}))$  is chosen to satisfy (3.1) and (3.2) according to Lemma 3.1. Let  $\chi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ , supp  $\chi = [E - \delta, E + \delta]$ . Then there exists  $K \in S_{\infty}$  and a constant C > 0 such that

$$\chi(H)[H, iA]\chi(H) \ge C\chi(H)^2 + K \tag{3.4}$$

where the commutator [H, iA] is understood as a bounded operator from  $D(H_0)$  into  $D(H_0)^*$ .

*Proof.* A straightforward calculation yields

$$[H, iA] = [H_0, iA] + [V, iA] \tag{3.5}$$

where

$$[H_0, iA] = -2\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + 2ibx\frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \qquad (3.6)$$

and

$$[V, iA] = -y \frac{\partial V(x, y)}{\partial y}.$$
(3.7)

Evidently,  $[H_0, iA]$  is a bounded operator from  $D(H_0)$  into  $L^2(\mathcal{S}_L)$ , and, hence, is a bounded operator from  $D(H_0)$  into  $D(H_0)^*$ . On the other hand, [V, iA] is a compact operator from  $D(H_0)$  into  $D(H_0)^*$ . Hence, [H, iA] is a bounded operator from  $D(H_0)$  into  $D(H_0)^*$ . Further, for  $\chi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  we have

$$\chi(H_0)[H_0, iA]\chi(H_0) = \mathcal{F}^* \left( 2\sum_{r,s=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{\oplus} \chi(E_r(k))\chi(E_s(k))kp_r(k)(k-bx)p_s(k)dk \right) \mathcal{F},$$
(3.8)

where

$$p_r(k) := \langle \cdot, \psi_r(\cdot; k) \rangle \psi_r(\cdot; k), \quad k \in \mathbb{R}, \quad r \in \mathbb{N}.$$
(3.9)

Using (3.1) and (3.2), we find that (3.8) reduces to

$$\chi(H_0)[H_0, iA]\chi(H_0) = 2\mathcal{F}^* \left( \sum_{r=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{\oplus} \chi(E_r(k))^2 k \langle (k-bx)\psi_r(k), \psi_r(k) \rangle p_r(k) dk \right) \mathcal{F}.$$
(3.10)

This, combined with the Feynman-Hellmann formula

$$E'_r(k) = 2\langle (k - bx)\psi_r(k), \psi_r(k) \rangle, \tag{3.11}$$

yields

$$\chi(H_0)[H_0, iA]\chi(H_0) = \mathcal{F}^* \left( \sum_{r=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{\oplus} k E_k'(p) \chi(E_r(k))^2 p_r(k) dk \right) \mathcal{F}.$$
 (3.12)

Moreover, by (2.2), we have

$$kE'_r(k)\chi(E_i(k))^2 \ge C_r\chi(E_r(k))^2$$

with  $C_r = \min_{k \in [E-\delta, E+\delta]} k E'_r(k) > 0, r = 1, \dots, n$ . Therefore,

$$\chi(H_0)[H_0, iA]\chi(H_0) \ge C\mathcal{F}^* \left(\sum_{r=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{\oplus} \chi(E_j(k))^2 p_r(k)\right) \mathcal{F} = C\chi(H_0)^2,$$
(3.13)

where  $C := \min_{r=1,\dots,n} C_r > 0$ . By (3.5),

$$\chi(H)[H, iA]\chi(H) = \chi(H_0)[H_0, iA]\chi(H_0) + K_0, \tag{3.14}$$

where

$$K_0 = \chi(H_0)[H_0, iA] (\chi(H) - \chi(H_0)) + (\chi(H) - \chi(H_0)) [H_0, iA] \chi(H) - \chi(H) y \frac{\partial V}{\partial y} \chi(H) := K_1 + K_2 + K_3.$$

We have

$$K_1 = \chi(H_0)H_0H_0^{-1}[H_0, iA](\chi(H) - \chi(H_0)),$$

and the operators  $\chi(H_0)H_0$  and  $H_0^{-1}[H_0, iA]$  extend to bounded operators in  $L^2(\mathcal{S}_L)$  (see (3.6)). Since the operator  $\chi(H) - \chi(H_0)$  is compact by Lemma 3.2, we conclude that  $K_1 \in S_{\infty}(L^2(\mathcal{S}_L))$ . Similarly, taking into account that  $\chi(H) - \chi(H_0)$  is compact, and the operators  $[H_0, iA]H_0^{-1}$  and  $H_0\chi(H) = H\chi(H) - V\chi(H)$  are bounded, we get

$$K_2 = (\chi(H) - \chi(H_0)) [H_0, iA] H_0^{-1} H_0 \chi(H) \in S_\infty(L^2(\mathcal{S}_L)).$$

Finally, the operator

$$K_3 = \chi(H)y\frac{\partial V}{\partial y}\chi(H) = \chi(H)H_0H_0^{-1}y\frac{\partial V}{\partial y}H_0^{-1}H_0\chi(H)$$

is compact in  $L^2(\mathcal{S}_L)$  since  $H_0^{-1}y\frac{\partial V}{\partial y}H_0^{-1}$  is compact by (2.6), and  $\chi(H)H_0 = (H_0\chi(H))^*$  is bounded in  $L^2(\mathcal{S}_L)$ . Therefore,  $K_0 = K_1 + K_2 + K_3 \in S_{\infty}$ . Combining (3.13) and (3.14), we get

$$\chi(H)[H, iA]\chi(H) \ge C\chi(H_0)^2 + K_0 = C\chi(H)^2 + K_0 + K_4, \tag{3.15}$$

where  $K_4 := C(\chi(H_0)^2 - \chi(H)^2) \in S_{\infty}$  by Lemma 3.2. Hence (3.15) implies (3.4) with  $K = K_0 + K_4$ .

For  $E \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\delta > 0$  set  $\Delta_E(\delta) := (E - \delta/2, E + \delta/2)$ .

Corollary 3.1. Assume (2.5) – (2.6). Fix  $E \in (\mathcal{E}_n, \mathcal{E}_{n+1})$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\delta \in (0, \text{dist}(E, \mathcal{Z}))$  be chosen as in Proposition 3.1.

(i) We have

$$\mathbb{P}_{\Delta_E(\delta)}(H)[H, iA] \mathbb{P}_{\Delta_E(\delta)}(H) \ge C \mathbb{P}_{\Delta_E(\delta)}(H) + \tilde{K}$$
(3.16)

where  $\tilde{K} := \mathbb{P}_{\Delta_E(\delta)}(H)K\mathbb{P}_{\Delta_E(\delta)}(H) \in S_{\infty}$ , C and K being the same as in (3.4).

(ii) Suppose moreover that  $E \notin \overline{\sigma_p(H)}$ . Then for  $\delta' \in (0,\delta)$  small enough we have

$$\mathbb{P}_{\Delta_E(\delta')}(H)[H, iA] \mathbb{P}_{\Delta_E(\delta')}(H) \ge \frac{1}{2} C \mathbb{P}_{\Delta_E(\delta')}(H). \tag{3.17}$$

*Proof.* Choose  $\chi$  in (3.4) to be equal to one on  $\Delta_E(\delta)$ , and multiply (3.4) from the left and the right by  $\mathbb{P}_{\Delta_E(\delta)}(H)$ . Thus we get (3.16). In order to obtain (3.17), we repeat the argument of the proof of [6, Lemma 4.8]. Pick  $\delta' \in (0, \delta)$  and multiply (3.16) from the right and the left by  $\mathbb{P}_{\Delta_E(\delta')}(H)$ . We get

$$\mathbb{P}_{\Delta_E(\delta')}(H)[H,iA]\mathbb{P}_{\Delta_E(\delta')}(H) \ge C\mathbb{P}_{\Delta_E(\delta')}(H) + \mathbb{P}_{\Delta_E(\delta')}(H)\tilde{K}\mathbb{P}_{\Delta_E(\delta')}(H). \tag{3.18}$$

Since  $E \notin \overline{\sigma_p(H)}$  and, hence,  $s - \lim_{\delta' \downarrow 0} \mathbb{P}_{\Delta_E(\delta')}(H) = 0$ , while  $\tilde{K}$  is compact, we have  $n - \lim_{\delta' \downarrow 0} \mathbb{P}_{\Delta_E(\delta')}(H) \tilde{K} \mathbb{P}_{\Delta_E(\delta')}(H) = 0$ . Choose  $\delta' \in (0, \delta)$  so small that

$$\|\mathbb{P}_{\Delta_E(\delta')}(H)\tilde{K}\mathbb{P}_{\Delta_E(\delta')}(H)\| \le C/2$$

which implies

$$\mathbb{P}_{\Delta_E(\delta')}(H)\tilde{K}\mathbb{P}_{\Delta_E(\delta')}(H) \ge -\frac{1}{2}C\mathbb{P}_{\Delta_E(\delta')}(H). \tag{3.19}$$

Combining (3.18) with (3.19), we obtain (3.17).

Since the unitary group  $e^{itA}$  preserves  $D(H_0)$ , and  $[H, iA]: D(H_0) \to D(H_0)^*$  is a bounded operator, the Mourre estimate (3.16) entails the following

Corollary 3.2. [20], [6, Theorem 4.6], [11] Assume (2.5) – (2.6). Let E,  $\delta$ , and  $\Delta_E(\delta)$  be as in Corollary 3.1. Then  $\Delta_E(\delta)$  contains at most finitely many eigenvalues of H, each of them having a finite multiplicity.

Now we are in position to prove Theorem 2.1. Let  $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathcal{Z}$  be a compact interval. If  $\Delta \subset (-\infty, \mathcal{E}_1)$ , then  $\Delta \cap \sigma_{\text{ess}}(H) = \emptyset$  and  $\Delta$  may contain at most a finite number of eigenvalues, each having a finite multiplicity. Assume  $\Delta \subset (\mathcal{E}_n, \mathcal{E}_{n+1})$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . For each  $E \in \Delta$  choose  $\delta = \delta(E)$  as in Proposition 3.1. Then we have  $\Delta \subset \bigcup_{E \in \Delta} \Delta_E(\delta)$ . Since  $\Delta$  is compact, there exists a finite set  $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^N$  of energies  $E_i \in \Delta$  such that

$$\Delta \subset \cup_{i=1}^{N} \Delta_{E_i}(\delta). \tag{3.20}$$

Assume (2.5) - (2.6). Then (3.20) and Corollary 3.2 imply that  $\Delta$  may contain at most a finite number of eigenvalues, each having a finite multiplicity. Hence, the first part of Theorem 2.1 is proved.

Assume moreover (2.7) – (2.8). It follows from (2.7) that [H, iA] extends to a bounded operator from  $D(H_0)$  to  $D(H_0^{1/2})^*$ , while (2.8) combined with (2.6), implies that the second commutator [[H, iA], iA] extends to a bounded operator from  $D(H_0)$  to  $D(H_0)^*$ . Then Corollary 3.1 ii) together with the results of [6, Theorem 4.10] and [11] (see also [20]) imply that  $\sigma_{\text{sc}}(H) \cap \left((\mathcal{E}_n, \mathcal{E}_{n+1}) \setminus \overline{\sigma_p(H)}\right) = \emptyset$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since the set  $(\mathcal{E}_n, \mathcal{E}_{n+1}) \cap \overline{\sigma_p(H)}$  is at most discrete, we get  $\sigma_{\text{sc}}(H) \cap (\mathcal{E}_n, \mathcal{E}_{n+1}) = \emptyset$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Finally, since  $\mathcal{E}_1 = \inf \sigma_{\text{ess}}(H)$  we have  $\sigma_{\text{sc}}(H) \cap (-\infty, \mathcal{E}_1) = \emptyset$ . Therefore,  $\sigma_{\text{sc}}(H) \cap (\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathcal{Z}) = \emptyset$ . Since  $\mathcal{Z}$  is discrete,  $\sigma_{\text{sc}}(H) = \emptyset$ . The second part of Theorem 2.1 is now proved too. Remark: Mourre estimates and their corollaries concerning the spectrum of H could be

Remark: Mourre estimates and their corollaries concerning the spectrum of H could be also deduced from the general scheme for analytically fibered operators developed in [13]. The advantage of our approach is that it relies on an explicit and simple conjugate operator A, and offers an explicit description of the "exceptional set"  $\mathcal{Z}$ .

# 4 Analysis of the Spectral Shift Function

**4.1.** In this subsection we summarize some simple properties of compact operators which will be systematically used in the sequel. For s > 0 and  $T^* = T \in S_{\infty}$  set

$$n_{\pm}(s;T) := \operatorname{rank} \mathbb{P}_{(s,\infty)}(\pm T).$$

For an arbitrary (not necessarily self-adjoint) operator  $T \in S_{\infty}$  put

$$n_*(s;T) := n_+(s^2; T^*T), \quad s > 0.$$
 (4.1)

If  $T = T^*$ , then evidently

$$n_*(s;T) = n_+(s,T) + n_-(s;T), \quad s > 0.$$
 (4.2)

If  $T_1, T_2 \in S_{\infty}$ , and  $s_1 > 0$ ,  $s_2 > 0$ , then the well known Weyl – Ky Fan inequalities

$$n_*(s_1 + s_2; T_1 + T_2) \le n_*(s_1; T_1) + n_*(s_2; T_2)$$
 (4.3)

hold true. Moreover, if  $T_j = T_j^*$ ,  $T_1 \in S_{\infty}$ , and rank  $T_2 < \infty$ , we have

$$n_{\pm}(s; T_1) - \operatorname{rank} T_2 \le n_{\pm}(s; T_1 + T_2) \le n_{\pm}(s; T_1) + \operatorname{rank} T_2, \quad s > 0.$$
 (4.4)

If  $T \in S_p$ ,  $p \in [1, \infty)$ , then the following elementary Chebyshev-type inequality

$$n_*(s;T) \le s^{-p} ||T||_p^p$$
 (4.5)

holds for every s > 0.

**4.2.** In this subsection we introduce the concepts of index of a Fredholm pair of orthogonal projections, and index for a pair of selfadjoint operators, and discuss some of their properties. More details can be found in [1] and [5].

A pair of orthogonal projections (P,Q) is said to be Fredholm if

$$\{-1,1\} \cap \sigma_{\operatorname{ess}}(P-Q) = \emptyset.$$

In particular, if  $P - Q \in S_{\infty}$ , then the pair (P, Q) is Fredholm. Assume that the pair of orthogonal projections (P, Q) is Fredholm. Set

$$index(P,Q) := dim Ker (P - Q - I) - dim Ker (P - Q + I).$$

Let  $\tilde{M}$ , M, be bounded self-adjoint operators. If the spectral projections  $\mathbb{P}_{(-\infty,0)}(\tilde{M})$  and  $\mathbb{P}_{(-\infty,0)}(M)$  form a Fredholm pair, we will use the notation

$$\operatorname{ind}(\tilde{M}, M) := \operatorname{index}(\mathbb{P}_{(-\infty,0)}(\tilde{M}), \mathbb{P}_{(-\infty,0)}(M)).$$

A sufficient condition that the pair  $\mathbb{P}_{(-\infty,0)}(\tilde{M})$ ,  $\mathbb{P}_{(-\infty,0)}(M)$  be Fredholm, is  $\tilde{M} = M + A$  where M is a bounded self-adjoint operator such that  $0 \notin \sigma_{\text{ess}}(M)$ , and  $A = A^* \in S_{\infty}$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** [5, Subsection 3.2] Let M be a bounded self-adjoint operator such that  $0 \notin \sigma(M)$ . Let A and B be compact self-adjoint operators. Then for  $s \in (0, \infty)$  such that  $[-s, s] \cap \sigma(M) = \emptyset$  we have

$$\operatorname{ind}(M+s+B, M+s) - n_{+}(s; A) \le \operatorname{ind}(M+A+B, M) \le \operatorname{ind}(M-s+B, M-s) + n_{-}(s; A).$$
(4.6)

Assume, moreover, that the rank of A is finite. Then we have

$$\operatorname{ind}(M+B,M) - \operatorname{rank} A \le \operatorname{ind}(M+A+B,M) \le \operatorname{ind}(M+B,M) + \operatorname{rank} A. \tag{4.7}$$

Remark: Note that in the case B=0, estimates (4.6) imply

$$|\operatorname{ind}(M+A,M)| \le n_*(s;A) \tag{4.8}$$

for any s > 0 such that  $[-s, s] \cap \sigma(M) = \emptyset$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** [21, Lemma 2.1], [5, Subsection 3.3] Let M be a bounded self-adjoint operator such that  $0 \notin \sigma(M)$ . Let  $T_1 = T_1^* \in S_{\infty}$  and  $T_2 = T_2^* \in S_1$ . Then for each  $s_1 > 0$ ,  $s_2 > 0$  such that  $[-s, s] \cap \sigma(M) = \emptyset$  with  $s = s_1 + s_2$ , we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\operatorname{ind}(M + T_1 + t T_2, M)| \ d\mu(t) \le n_*(s_1; T_1) + \frac{1}{\pi s_2} ||T_2||_1 \tag{4.9}$$

where  $d\mu(t) := \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{dt}{1+t^2}$ .

**4.3.** In this subsection we describe a representation of the SSF  $\xi(E; \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_0)$  which is a special case of the general representation of the SSF due to F. Gesztesy, K. Makarov, and A. Pushnitski (see [21], [14], [22]).

Let  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  be two separable Hilbert spaces. Let  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{H}_0$  be two lower bounded self-adjoint operators acting in  $X_1$ . Assume that (2.9) holds for some  $\gamma > 0$ . Next suppose that

$$\mathcal{V} := \mathcal{H} - \mathcal{H}_0 = \mathcal{K}^* \mathcal{J} \mathcal{K} \tag{4.10}$$

where  $K \in \mathcal{B}(X_1, X_2)$ ,  $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}^* \in \mathcal{B}(X_2)$ , and  $0 \notin \sigma(\mathcal{J})$ . Finally, assume that

$$\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_0 - E_0)^{-1/2} \in S_\infty(X_1, X_2),$$
 (4.11)

$$\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_0 - E_0)^{-\gamma'} \in S_2(X_1, X_2),$$
 (4.12)

for some  $E_0 < \inf \sigma(\mathcal{H}) \cup \sigma(\mathcal{H}_0)$  and  $\gamma' > 0$ . For  $z \in \mathbb{C}_+ := \{\zeta \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Im} \zeta > 0\}$  set

$$\mathcal{T}(z) := \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_0 - z)^{-1} \mathcal{K}^*.$$

Evidently,  $\mathcal{T}(z) \in S_{\infty}(X_2)$ .

**Lemma 4.3.** [3] Let (4.10) – (4.12) hold true. Then for almost every  $E \in \mathbb{R}$  the operator-norm limit  $\mathcal{T}(E) := n - \lim_{\delta \downarrow 0} \mathcal{T}(E + i\delta)$  exists and by (4.12) we have  $\mathcal{T}(E) \in S_{\infty}(X_2)$ . Moreover,  $0 \leq \operatorname{Im} \mathcal{T}(E) \in S_1(X_2)$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** [21], [14], [22] Let (2.9) and (4.10) – (4.12) hold true. Then for almost every  $E \in \mathbb{R}$  we have

$$\xi(E; \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \operatorname{ind}(\mathcal{J}^{-1} + \operatorname{Re} \mathcal{T}(E) + t \operatorname{Im} \mathcal{T}(E), \mathcal{J}^{-1}) d\mu(t). \tag{4.13}$$

Note that the convergence of the integral in (4.13) is guaranteed by Lemma 4.2. Now suppose that the electric potential V satisfies  $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}$  with  $\alpha > 1$ . Then relations (2.9) and (4.10) – (4.12) hold true with  $X_1 = X_2 = L^2(\mathcal{S}_L)$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_0 = H_0$ ,  $\mathcal{H} = H$ ,  $\mathcal{V} = V$ ,  $\mathcal{K} = |V|^{1/2}$ ,  $\mathcal{J} = J = \operatorname{sign} V$ , and  $\gamma = \gamma' = 1$ . For  $z \in \mathbb{C}_+$  set

$$T(z) := |V|^{1/2} (H_0 - z)^{-1} |V|^{1/2}.$$

By Lemma 4.3 for almost every  $E \in \mathbb{R}$  the operator-norm limit

$$T(E) := \mathbf{n} - \lim_{\delta \downarrow 0} T(E + i\delta) \tag{4.14}$$

exists, and

$$0 \le \operatorname{Im} T(E) \in S_1. \tag{4.15}$$

In Corollary 4.1 below we will show that the limit (4.14) exists, and relation (4.15) holds true for every  $E \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathcal{Z}$ . Then Theorem 4.1 implies that for almost every  $E \in \mathbb{R}$  we have

 $\xi(E; H, H_0) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} \operatorname{ind}(J + \operatorname{Re} T(E) + t \operatorname{Im} T(E), J) d\mu(t),$  (4.16)

the right-hand-side being well defined for every  $E \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathcal{Z}$ . In this article we identify the SSF  $\xi(E; H, H_0)$  for energies  $E \notin \mathcal{Z}$  with the r.h.s. of (4.16).

**4.4.** Fix  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Denote by  $\varphi_j : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$  the function inverse to  $E_j - \mathcal{E}_j$ . In the following lemma we describe some properties of  $\varphi_j$  which will be used in the sequel. Let  $\beta$  and  $\eta$  be two functions with values in  $[0, \infty)$ , and  $\mathcal{O} \subseteq D(\beta) \cap D(\eta)$ . We will write  $\beta(s) \asymp \eta(s)$ ,  $s \in \mathcal{O}$ , if there exist two constants  $c_{\pm} > 0$  such that for each  $s \in \mathcal{O}$  we have  $c_{-}\eta(s) \le \xi(s) \le c_{+}\eta(s)$ .

### **Lemma 4.4.** Let $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . We have

$$\varphi_j(s) \simeq s^{1/2}, \quad s \in [0, \infty),$$

$$\tag{4.17}$$

$$\varphi_i'(s) \approx s^{-1/2}, \quad s \in (0, \infty). \tag{4.18}$$

Moreover,

$$\varphi_j(s) = \sqrt{s}\Phi(s), \quad s \in [0, \infty),$$

$$(4.19)$$

where  $\Phi \in C^{\infty}([0,\infty))$ , and

$$\Phi(0) = \mu_i^{-1/2},\tag{4.20}$$

the number  $\mu_j$  being defined in (2.4). In particular, we have

$$|\varphi_j''(s)| = O(s^{-3/2}), \quad s \in (0, s_0), \quad s_0 \in (0, \infty).$$
 (4.21)

*Proof.* By (2.1) and (2.3) we have

$$E_j(k) - \mathcal{E}_j \simeq k^2, \quad k \in \mathbb{R},$$
 (4.22)

which implies immediately (4.17). On the other hand, (3.11) and (2.2) easily yield

$$E'_j(k) \approx k, \quad k \in [0, \infty).$$
 (4.23)

Bearing in mind the formula for the derivative of an inverse function, we find that (4.17) and (4.23) imply (4.18).

Further, for  $t \geq 0$  introduce the function  $E_j(\sqrt{t}) - \mathcal{E}_j$ , and denote by  $\Psi = \Psi_j : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$  its inverse. By (4.18) we have  $\Psi'(s) \approx 1$ ,  $s \in [0, \infty)$ . Since  $E_j$  is analytic, we find that  $\Psi \in C^{\infty}([0, \infty))$ . Moreover,  $\Psi(0) = 0$  and  $\Psi'(0) = \mu_j^{-1}$ . Since  $\varphi(s) = \sqrt{\Psi(s)}$ , we get (4.19) with  $\Phi(s) = \sqrt{\Psi(s)/s}$ , which on its turn implies (4.20).

For  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  set

$$P_j := \mathcal{F}^* \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{\oplus} p_j(k) dk \mathcal{F},$$

the orthogonal projections  $p_j(k)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{R}$ , being defined in (3.9). For  $z \in \mathbb{C}_+$  and  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  put

$$T_j(z) := |V|^{1/2} P_j (H_0 - z)^{-1} |V|^{1/2}.$$

**Lemma 4.5.** Assume that V satisfies  $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}$  with  $\alpha > 1$ . Fix  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then for each  $z \in \mathbb{C}_+$  we have  $T_j(z) \in S_1$  and the operator-valued function  $T_j : \mathbb{C}_+ \to S_1$  is analytic. Moreover, for  $E \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{\mathcal{E}_j\}$  the limit

$$T_j(E) = \lim_{\delta \downarrow 0} T_j(E + i\delta) \tag{4.24}$$

exists in  $S_1$ , and  $T_j : \mathbb{R} \setminus \{\mathcal{E}_j\} \to S_1$  is continuous. Next, if  $E - \mathcal{E}_j < 0$ , then the operator  $T_j(E)$  is self-adjoint, and if  $E - \mathcal{E}_j > 0$ , we have

$$0 \le \operatorname{Im} T_j(E), \quad \operatorname{rank} \operatorname{Im} T_j(E) \le 2. \tag{4.25}$$

Finally, for each  $\lambda_0 > 0$  there exists  $C_j = C_j(\lambda_0)$  such that for  $0 < |E - \mathcal{E}_j| < \lambda_0$  we have

$$||T_i(E)||_1 \le C_i |E - \mathcal{E}_i|^{-1/2};$$
 (4.26)

if  $E - \mathcal{E}_j < 0$ , then  $C_j$  could be chosen independent of  $\lambda_0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $G = G_j : \mathbb{R} \to S_2(L^2(\mathcal{S}_L), \mathbb{C})$  be the operator-valued function given for  $k \in \mathbb{R}$  by

$$G(k)u := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{I_L} e^{-iky} |V(x,y)|^{1/2} \psi_j(x;k) u(x,y) dx dy, \quad u \in L^2(\mathcal{S}_L).$$

Evidently,

$$||G(k)^*G(k)||_1 = ||G(k)||_2^2 \le c_1 := \frac{1}{2\pi} \sup_{x \in I_L} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |V(x,y)| dy$$
 (4.27)

for any  $k \in \mathbb{R}$ . Next,

$$||G(k_1) - G(k_2)||_2^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{I_r} |V(x, y)| |e^{-ik_1 y} \psi_j(x; k_1) - e^{-ik_2 y} \psi_j(x; k_2)|^2 dx dy \le$$

$$\frac{2^{2(1-\gamma)}}{\pi} \sup_{x \in I_L} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |V(x,y)| |y|^{2\gamma} dy |k_1 - k_2|^{2\gamma} + 2c_1 \int_{I_L} |\psi(x;k_1) - \psi(x;k_2)|^2 dx$$

for  $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $\gamma \in (0, (\alpha - 1)/2), \gamma \leq 1$ . Since  $\psi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_k; L^2(I_L))$ , we have

$$\int_{L} |\psi(x; k_1) - \psi(x; k_2)|^2 dx = O(|k_1 - k_2|^2)$$

for  $k_1, k_2 \in (-k_0, k_0)$  with  $k_0 \in (0, \infty)$ . Therefore,

$$||G(k_1) - G(k_2)||_2 = O(|k_1 - k_2|^{\gamma})$$
(4.28)

for  $k_1, k_2 \in (-k_0, k_0)$ ,  $k_0 \in (0, \infty)$ , and  $\gamma \in (0, (\alpha - 1)/2)$ ,  $\gamma \leq 1$ . Taking into account (4.27) and (2.1), we find that if  $z \in \mathbb{C}_+$ , then

$$||G_i^*G_i(E_i - z)^{-1}||_1 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}). \tag{4.29}$$

Then the spectral theorem implies

$$T_j(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{G_j(k)^* G_j(k)}{E_j(k) - z} dk, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_+,$$
 (4.30)

where, due to (4.29) and the continuity of the functions  $G_j : \mathbb{R} \to S_2(L^2(\mathcal{S}_L), \mathbb{C})$  and  $E_j : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ , the integral admits an interpretation as a Bochner integral in the Banach space  $S_1$  (see e.g. [19]), and it is easy to see that  $T_j : \mathbb{C}_+ \to S_1$  is analytic. Let  $F = F_j : (0, \infty) \to S_2(L^2(\mathcal{S}_L), \mathbb{C}^2)$  be the operator-valued function defined for  $s \in (0, \infty)$  by

$$F(s)u := \sqrt{\varphi'(s)}(G(\varphi(s))u, G(-\varphi(s))u), \quad u \in L^2(\mathcal{S}_L),$$

where, as above,  $\varphi = \varphi_j$  denotes the function inverse to  $E_j - \mathcal{E}_j$ . Then we have

$$T_j(z) = \int_0^\infty \frac{F_j(s)^* F_j(s)}{s - \lambda - i\delta} ds, \quad z = \mathcal{E}_j + \lambda + i\delta \in \mathbb{C}_+.$$

Further, if  $\lambda := E - \mathcal{E}_j < 0$ , set

$$T_j(E) = \int_0^\infty \frac{F_j(s)^* F_j(s)}{s - \lambda} ds. \tag{4.31}$$

Evidently, the operator  $T_j(E)$  is self-adjoint. Also, it is easy to check that (4.24) holds true, and the function  $T_j: (-\infty, \mathcal{E}_j) \to S_1$  is continuous. By (4.27) and (4.18),

$$||T_j(E)||_1 \le 2c_1 \int_0^\infty \frac{\varphi'(s)}{s+|\lambda|} ds = O\left(\int_0^\infty \frac{ds}{s^{1/2}(s+|\lambda|)}\right) = O(|\lambda|^{-1/2}), \quad \lambda < 0,$$

so that (4.26) holds in this case as well.

Let now  $\lambda = E - \mathcal{E}_j > 0$ . For  $E = \mathcal{E}_j + \lambda$  put

$$\operatorname{Re} T_j(E) := \text{v.p.} \int_0^\infty \frac{F_j(s)^* F_j(s)}{s - \lambda} ds, \tag{4.32}$$

$$\operatorname{Im} T_{j}(E) := \pi F_{j}(\lambda)^{*} F_{j}(\lambda),$$

$$T_{j}(E) := \operatorname{Re} T_{j}(E) + i \operatorname{Im} T_{j}(E).$$

$$(4.33)$$

Note that (4.33) immediately implies (4.25). Moreover,

v.p. 
$$\int_0^\infty \frac{F(s)^* F(s)}{s - \lambda} ds = \int_0^{\lambda/2} \frac{F(s)^* F(s)}{s - \lambda} ds + \int_{3\lambda/2}^\infty \frac{F(s)^* F(s)}{s - \lambda} ds + \int_0^{\lambda/2} (F(\lambda + \nu)^* F(\lambda + \nu) - F(\lambda - \nu)^* F(\lambda - \nu)) \frac{d\nu}{\nu}. \tag{4.34}$$

By (4.18) and (4.21),

$$|\varphi(\lambda+\nu)-\varphi(\lambda-\nu)|=O\left((\lambda+\nu)^{1/2}-(\lambda-\nu)^{1/2}\right),\tag{4.35}$$

$$|\varphi'(\lambda + \nu) - \varphi'(\lambda - \nu)| = O\left((\lambda - \nu)^{-1/2} - (\lambda + \nu)^{-1/2}\right),$$
 (4.36)

for  $\nu \in (0, \lambda/2), \lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$ .

Taking into account (4.27) - (4.28), (4.18), and (4.32) - (4.36) we find that the operator  $T_i(E)$  is well defined, that (4.24) holds true again, and

$$||F(\lambda)^*F(\lambda)||_1 = O(\lambda^{-1/2}), \quad \lambda > 0,$$

$$\left\| \int_0^{\lambda/2} \frac{F(s)^* F(s)}{s - \lambda} ds \right\|_1 = O(\lambda^{-1/2}), \quad \left\| \int_{3\lambda/2}^{\infty} \frac{F(s)^* F(s)}{s - \lambda} ds \right\|_1 = O(\lambda^{-1/2}), \quad \lambda > 0,$$

$$\left\| \int_0^{\lambda/2} (F(\lambda + \nu)^* F(\lambda + \nu) - F(\lambda - \nu)^* F(\lambda - \nu)) \frac{d\nu}{\nu} \right\|_1 = O(\lambda^{-1/2}), \quad \lambda \in (0, \lambda_0),$$

which yields again (4.26).

**4.4.** Let  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Set  $P_j^+ := \sum_{m=j}^{\infty} P_m$  where the convergence of the infinite sum is understood in the strong sense. For  $z \in \mathbb{C}_+$ ,  $\operatorname{Re} z < \mathcal{E}_j$ , put

$$T_j^+(z) := |V|^{1/2} P_j^+ (H_0 - z)^{-1} |V|^{1/2}.$$

**Lemma 4.6.** Fix  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $E \in (-\infty, \mathcal{E}_j)$ . Then the limit

$$T_j^+(E) = T_j^+(E)^* = \mathbf{n} - \lim_{\delta \downarrow 0} T_j^+(E + i\delta)$$
 (4.37)

exists. Moreover, for any  $z \in \overline{\mathbb{C}_+} \setminus [\mathcal{E}_j, \infty)$  we have  $T_j^+(z) \in S_2$ , and the operator-valued function  $T_j^+: \overline{\mathbb{C}_+} \setminus [\mathcal{E}_j, \infty) \to S_2$  is continuous. Finally, there exists a constant  $C_+$  which depends on V, but is independent of E and E, such that

$$||T_j^+(E)||_2 \le C_+ \mathcal{E}_j (\mathcal{E}_j - E)^{-1}, \quad E \in (-\infty, \mathcal{E}_j).$$
 (4.38)

*Proof.* We have

$$P_i^+(H_0 - z)^{-1} = P_i^+ \mathbb{P}_{[\mathcal{E}_i, \infty)}(H_0)(H_0 - z)^{-1}$$
(4.39)

and the operator valued function  $\mathbb{P}_{[\mathcal{E}_j,\infty)}(H_0)(H_0-z)^{-1}$  is analytic even on  $\mathbb{C}\setminus[\mathcal{E}_j,\infty)$ . Since  $P_j^+$  and  $|V|^{1/2}$  are bounded operators, this analyticity implies, in particular, the existence of the limit in (4.37) and the continuity of  $T_j^+:\overline{\mathbb{C}_+}\setminus[\mathcal{E}_j,\infty)\to\mathcal{B}$ . Further,

$$|||V|^{1/2}P_j^+(H_0 - E)^{-1}|V|^{1/2}||_2 \le \sup_{(x,y)\in\mathcal{S}_L} |V(x,y)|^{1/2}||P_j^+(H_0 - E)^{-1}H_0|| ||H_0^{-1}|V|^{1/2}||_2.$$
(4.40)

By (4.39),

$$||P_j^+(H_0 - E)^{-1}H_0|| \le ||\mathbb{P}_{[\mathcal{E}_j, \infty)}(H_0)(H_0 - E)^{-1}H_0|| \le \sup_{\lambda \in [\mathcal{E}_j, \infty)} \lambda(\lambda - E)^{-1} = \mathcal{E}_j(\mathcal{E}_j - E)^{-1}.$$
(4.41)

On the other hand, the diamagnetic inequality for Hilbert-Schmidt operators (see e.g. [25, Theorem 2.13]) implies

$$||H_0^{-1}|V|^{1/2}||_2 \le ||\Delta_D^{-1}|V|^{1/2}||_2 \tag{4.42}$$

where, as above,  $\Delta_D$  is the Dirichlet Laplacian defined on  $\mathcal{S}_L$ . The integral kernel of  $\Delta_D$  is explicitly known, and we easily find

$$\|\Delta_D^{-1}|V|^{1/2}\|_2^2 \le 16c_1 \frac{L^3}{\pi^3} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-3} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{d\xi}{(\xi^2 + 1)^2}.$$
 (4.43)

Putting together (4.40) - (4.43), we obtain (4.38).

Finally, an estimate similar to (4.40) of the Hilbert-Schmidt norm of the difference  $|V|^{1/2}P_j^+(H_0-z_1)^{-1}|V|^{1/2}-|V|^{1/2}P_j^+(H_0-z_2)^{-1}|V|^{1/2},\ z_1,z_2\in\overline{\mathbb{C}_+}\setminus[\mathcal{E}_j,\infty)$  easily implies the continuity of  $T_j^+:\overline{\mathbb{C}_+}\setminus[\mathcal{E}_j,\infty)\to S_2$ .

**4.6.** In this subsection we prove (4.14) - (4.15) as well as Proposition 2.1.

Let  $E \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathcal{Z}$ . If E has one nearest element from  $\mathcal{Z}$ , let q = q(E) be the number of this neighbour; if E has two nearest elements from  $\mathcal{Z}$ , for definiteness let q(E) be the number of the greater of these elements. Set

$$T(E) := \sum_{j=1}^{q(E)} T_j(E) + T_{q(E)+1}^+(E). \tag{4.44}$$

Corollary 4.1. Let V satisfy  $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}$  with  $\alpha > 1$ , and let  $E \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathcal{Z}$ . Then (4.14) - (4.15) hold true, the limiting operator T(E) being defined in (4.44). Moreover,

$$rank Im T(E) \le 2q(E). \tag{4.45}$$

*Proof.* In order to prove the existence of the limit (4.14), we just have to write

$$T(E+i\delta) := \sum_{j=1}^{q(E)} T_j(E+i\delta) + T_{q(E)+1}^+(E+i\delta), \quad \delta > 0,$$

and to apply (4.24) and (4.37). In order to prove (4.15) and (4.45), it suffices to apply (4.25), bearing in mind that  $\operatorname{Im} T(E) = \sum_{j=1}^{q(E)} \operatorname{Im} T_j(E)$ .

Next we prove Proposition 2.1. The proof of the continuity of the SSF repeats word by word the proof of the continuity part of [5, Proposition 2.5]. Let us show that the SSF is locally bounded, i.e. that it is bounded on every compact subset of  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathcal{Z}$ . Let  $E \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathcal{Z}$ . Applying (4.16), (4.8), and (4.7), we get

$$|\xi(E; H, H_0)| \le n_*(s; \operatorname{Re} T(E)) + \operatorname{rank} \operatorname{Im} T(E), \quad s \in (0, 1).$$
 (4.46)

By (4.3),

$$n_*(s; \operatorname{Re} T(E)) \le n_*(s/2; \sum_{j=1}^{q(E)} \operatorname{Re} T_j(E)) + n_*(s/2; T_{q(E)+1}^+(E)).$$
 (4.47)

Using (4.5) with p = 1 and p = 2, as well as (4.26) and (4.25), we get

$$n_*(s/2; \sum_{j=1}^{q(E)} \operatorname{Re} T_j(E)) \le \frac{2}{s} \sum_{j=1}^{q(E)} ||T_j(E)||_1 \le \frac{2}{s} \sum_{j=1}^{q(E)} C_j |E - \mathcal{E}_j|^{-1/2},$$
 (4.48)

$$n_*(s/2; T_{q(E)+1}^+(E)) \le \frac{4}{s^2} \|T_{q(E)+1}^+(E)\|_2^2 \le \frac{4}{s^2} C_+^2 \mathcal{E}_{q(E)+1}^2 (\mathcal{E}_{q(E)+1} - E)^{-2}. \tag{4.49}$$

Now the combination of (4.46), (4.25), and (4.47) - (4.49) implies the local boundedness of the SSF.

**4.7**. In this subsection we prove Theorem 2.2.

**Proposition 4.1.** Assume that V satisfies  $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}$  with  $\alpha > 1$ . Pick  $q \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\lambda \neq 0$  such that  $E := \mathcal{E}_q + \lambda \notin \mathcal{Z}$ . Then we have

$$\operatorname{ind}\left(J+\varepsilon+\operatorname{Re}T_{q}(E),J+\varepsilon\right)+O(1)\leq\xi(E;H,H_{0})\leq\operatorname{ind}\left(J-\varepsilon+\operatorname{Re}T_{q}(E),J-\varepsilon\right)+O(1)$$
(4.50)

as  $\lambda \to 0$  for each  $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ .

*Proof.* Applying (4.16), (4.7), and (4.45), we get

$$|\xi(E; H, H_0) - \operatorname{ind}(J + \operatorname{Re}T(E), J)| \le 2q(E).$$
 (4.51)

Write  $\operatorname{Re} T(E) = \operatorname{Re} T_q(E) + \tilde{T}(E)$  where  $\tilde{T}(E) := \sum_{j < q} \operatorname{Re} T_j(E) + T_{q+1}^+(E)$ . By (4.6),

$$\operatorname{ind}\left(J+\varepsilon+\operatorname{Re}T_{q}(E),J+\varepsilon\right)-n_{*}(\varepsilon;\tilde{T}(E))\leq\operatorname{ind}(J+\operatorname{Re}T(E),J)\leq$$

$$\operatorname{ind}\left(J - \varepsilon + \operatorname{Re} T_{a}(E), J - \varepsilon\right) + n_{*}(\varepsilon; \tilde{T}(E)). \tag{4.52}$$

Using (4.3) and arguing as in the derivation of (4.48), (4.49), we get

$$n_*(\varepsilon; \tilde{T}(E)) \le \frac{2}{\varepsilon} \sum_{j:j < q} C_j |\mathcal{E}_q - \mathcal{E}_j + \lambda|^{-1/2} + \frac{4}{\varepsilon^2} C_+^2 \mathcal{E}_{q+1}^2 (\mathcal{E}_{q+1} - \mathcal{E}_q - \lambda)^{-2} = O(1), \quad \lambda \to 0.$$

$$(4.53)$$

Now the combination of (4.51) - (4.53) yields (4.50).

Fix  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $g = g_j : S_2(L^2(\mathcal{S}_L), \mathbb{C})$  be the operator-valued function given for  $k \in \mathbb{R}$  by

$$g_j(k)u = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{I_L} e^{-iky} |V(x,y)|^{1/2} \psi_j(x;0) u(x,y) dx dy, \quad u \in L^2(\mathcal{S}_L).$$

Similarly to (4.27) and (4.28) we have

$$||g(k)||_2^2 \le c_1, \quad k \in \mathbb{R},$$
 (4.54)

$$||g(k_1) - g(k_2)||_2 = O(|k_1 - k_2|^{\gamma}), \quad k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{R},$$
 (4.55)

for any  $\gamma \in (0, (\alpha - 1)/2)$  such that  $\gamma \leq 1$ . By analogy with (4.30) set

$$\tilde{\tau}_j(z) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{g_j(k)^* g_j(k)}{E_j(k) - z} dk, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_+. \tag{4.56}$$

As in the case of the operator  $T_j(z)$  (see Lemma 4.5) we can show that in  $S_1$  there exists a limit

$$\tilde{\tau}_j(E) = \lim_{\delta \downarrow 0} \tilde{\tau}_j(E + i\delta), \quad E \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{\mathcal{E}_j\}.$$

**Proposition 4.2.** Let V satisfy  $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}$  with  $\alpha > 1$ . Fix  $q \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let  $E = \mathcal{E}_q + \lambda \notin \mathcal{Z}$ . Then for each  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2)$  we have

$$\operatorname{ind}\left(J + 2\varepsilon + \operatorname{Re}\tilde{\tau}_{q}(E), J + 2\varepsilon\right) + O(1) \le \operatorname{ind}\left(J + \varepsilon + \operatorname{Re}T_{q}(E), J + \varepsilon\right),$$
 (4.57)

$$\operatorname{ind}(J - 2\varepsilon + \operatorname{Re}\tilde{\tau}_q(E), J - 2\varepsilon) + O(1) \ge \operatorname{ind}(J - \varepsilon + \operatorname{Re}T_q(E), J - \varepsilon), \tag{4.58}$$
as  $\lambda \downarrow 0$ .

*Proof.* Using (4.6) and (4.8), we obtain

$$\operatorname{ind}(J+2\varepsilon+\operatorname{Re}\tilde{\tau}_{a}(E),J+2\varepsilon)-n_{*}(\varepsilon;\operatorname{Re}T_{a}(E)-\operatorname{Re}\tilde{\tau}_{a}(E))\leq\operatorname{ind}(J+\varepsilon+\operatorname{Re}T_{a}(E),J+\varepsilon),$$

$$\operatorname{ind}(J-2\varepsilon+\operatorname{Re}\tilde{\tau}_{q}(E),J-2\varepsilon)+n_{*}(\varepsilon;\operatorname{Re}T_{q}(E)-\operatorname{Re}\tilde{\tau}_{q}(E))\geq\operatorname{ind}(J-\varepsilon+\operatorname{Re}T_{q}(E),J-\varepsilon).$$

Hence, in order to prove (4.57) – (4.58), it suffices to show that for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have

$$n_*(\varepsilon; \operatorname{Re} T_q(E) - \operatorname{Re} \tilde{\tau}_q(E)) = O(1), \quad \lambda \to 0.$$
 (4.59)

Let again  $\varphi = \varphi_q$  be the function inverse to  $E_q - \mathcal{E}_q$ . Denote by  $f = f_q : (0, \infty) \to S_2(L^2(\mathcal{S}_L), \mathbb{C}^2)$  the operator-valued function defined for  $s \in (0, \infty)$  by

$$f(s)u := \sqrt{\varphi'(s)}(g(\varphi(s))u, g(-\varphi(s))u), \quad u \in L^2(\mathcal{S}_L).$$

Then similarly to (4.31), (4.32), and (4.34), we have

$$\tilde{\tau}_q(\mathcal{E}_q + \lambda) = \tilde{\tau}_q(\mathcal{E}_q + \lambda)^* = \int_0^\infty \frac{f_q(s)^* f_q(s)}{s - \lambda} ds$$

if  $\lambda < 0$ , and

$$\operatorname{Re}\tilde{\tau}_{q}(\mathcal{E}_{q}+\lambda) = \int_{0}^{\lambda/2} \frac{f_{q}(s)^{*}f_{q}(s)}{s-\lambda} ds + \int_{3\lambda/2}^{\infty} \frac{f_{q}(s)^{*}f_{q}(s)}{s-\lambda} ds +$$

$$\int_0^{\lambda/2} \left( f_q(\lambda + \nu)^* f_q(\lambda + \nu) - f_q(\lambda - \nu)^* f_q(\lambda - \nu) \right) \frac{d\nu}{\nu}$$

if  $\lambda > 0$ . Further, we have

$$G(k) = g(k) + k\varrho(k)$$

where  $\varrho: \mathbb{R} \to L^2(L^2(\mathcal{S}_L), \mathbb{C})$  is the operator-valued function given for  $k \in \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\varrho(k)u = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{I_L} e^{-iky} |V(x,y)|^{1/2} \tilde{\psi}(x;k) u(x,y) dx dy, \quad u \in L^2(\mathcal{S}_L),$$

where  $\tilde{\psi}(x;k) := \frac{\psi_q(x;k) - \psi_q(x;0)}{k}$ . Evidently,

$$\|\varrho(k)\|_{2}^{2} \le c_{1} \int_{I_{L}} \tilde{\psi}(x;k)^{2} dx, \quad k \in \mathbb{R},$$
 (4.60)

$$\|\varrho(k_1) - \varrho(k_2)\|_2 = O(|k_1 - k_2|^{\gamma})$$
(4.61)

for  $k_1, k_2 \in (-k_0, k_0)$  with  $k_0 \in (0, \infty)$ , and  $\gamma \in (0, (\alpha - 1)/2), \gamma \leq 1$ . Next, we have

$$F(s) = f(s) + \varphi(s)r(s)$$

where  $r:(0,\infty)\to S_2(L^2(\mathcal{S}_L),\mathbb{C}^2)$  is the operator-valued function defined for  $s\in(0,\infty)$  by

$$r(s)u := \sqrt{\varphi'(s)}(\varrho(\varphi(s))u, -\varrho(-\varphi(s))u), \quad u \in L^2(\mathcal{S}_L).$$

Therefore,

$$F(s)^*F(s) = f(s)^*f(s) + 2\varphi(s)\operatorname{Re} f(s)^*r(s) + \varphi(s)^2r(s)^*r(s). \tag{4.62}$$

By (4.17) - (4.18), (4.54) - (4.55), and (4.60) - (4.61), we have

$$\varphi(s) \| f(s)^* r(s) \|_1 = O(s^{\gamma/2}), \quad \gamma \in (0, (\alpha - 1)/2), \quad \gamma < 1,$$
 (4.63)

$$\varphi(s)^{2} \| r(s)^{*} r(s) \|_{1} = O(s^{1/2}) \tag{4.64}$$

for  $s \in (0, s_0)$  and  $s_0 \in (0, \infty)$ . By (4.62), for a fixed  $s_0 > 0$  we have

$$\operatorname{Re} T_{q}(\mathcal{E}_{q} + \lambda) - \operatorname{Re} \tilde{\tau}_{q}(\mathcal{E}_{q} + \lambda) = T_{q}(\mathcal{E}_{q} + \lambda) - \tilde{\tau}_{q}(\mathcal{E}_{q} + \lambda) =$$

$$\int_{s_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{F(s)^{*}F(s)}{s - \lambda} ds - \int_{s_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{f(s)^{*}f(s)}{s - \lambda} ds + \int_{0}^{s_{0}} \frac{2\varphi(s)\operatorname{Re} f(s)^{*}r(s) + \varphi(s)^{2}r(s)^{*}r(s)}{s - \lambda} ds$$

if  $\lambda < 0$ , and

$$\operatorname{Re} T_{q}(\mathcal{E}_{q} + \lambda) - \operatorname{Re} \tilde{\tau}_{q}(\mathcal{E}_{q} + \lambda) = \int_{s_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{F(s)^{*}F(s)}{s - \lambda} ds - \int_{s_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{f(s)^{*}f(s)}{s - \lambda} ds + \int_{s_{0}}^{s_{0}} \frac{2\varphi(s)\operatorname{Re} f(s)^{*}r(s) + \varphi(s)^{2}r(s)^{*}r(s)}{s - \lambda} ds + \int_{0}^{\lambda/2} \frac{2\varphi(s)\operatorname{Re} f(s)^{*}r(s) + \varphi(s)^{2}r(s)^{*}r(s)}{s - \lambda} ds + 2\int_{0}^{\lambda/2} (\varphi(\lambda + \nu)\operatorname{Re} f(\lambda + \nu)^{*}r(\lambda + \nu) - \varphi(\lambda - \nu)\operatorname{Re} f(\lambda - \nu)^{*}r(\lambda - \nu)) \frac{d\nu}{\nu} + \int_{0}^{\lambda/2} (\varphi(\lambda + \nu)^{2}r(\lambda + \nu)^{*}r(\lambda + \nu) - \varphi(\lambda - \nu)^{2}r(\lambda - \nu)^{*}r(\lambda - \nu)) \frac{d\nu}{\nu}$$

if  $\lambda$  is positive and small enough (say,  $\lambda \in (0, s_0/2)$ ). Using estimates (4.35) - (4.36) as well as (4.54) - (4.55), (4.60) - (4.61), and (4.63) - (4.64), we obtain

$$\|\operatorname{Re} T_q(\mathcal{E}_q + \lambda) - \operatorname{Re} \tilde{\tau}_q(\mathcal{E}_q + \lambda)\|_1 = O(1), \quad \lambda \to 0,$$

which combined with (4.5) for p = 1 yields (4.59), and hence (4.57) - (4.58).

Fix  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . By analogy with (4.30) and (4.56) set

$$\tau_j(z) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{g(k)^* g(k)}{\mu_j k^2 - z} dk, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_+.$$

As in the case of the operators  $T_j(z)$  and  $\tilde{\tau}(z)$ , in  $S_1$  there exists a limit

$$\tau_j(E) = \lim_{\delta \downarrow 0} \tau_j(E + i\delta), \quad E \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}.$$

**Proposition 4.3.** Let V satisfy  $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}$  with  $\alpha > 1$ . Fix  $q \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then for each  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2)$  and  $\gamma \in (0, (\alpha - 1)/2)$ ,  $\gamma \leq 1$ , we have

$$\operatorname{ind}\left(J + 2\varepsilon + \operatorname{Re}\tau_q(\lambda), J + 2\varepsilon\right) + O(\theta_{2\gamma}(\lambda)) \le \operatorname{ind}\left(J + \varepsilon + \operatorname{Re}\tilde{\tau}_q(\mathcal{E}_q + \lambda), J + \varepsilon\right),$$
(4.65)

ind  $(J - 2\varepsilon + \operatorname{Re}\tau_q(\lambda), J - 2\varepsilon) + O(\theta_{2\gamma}(\lambda)) \ge \operatorname{ind}(J - \varepsilon + \operatorname{Re}\tilde{\tau}_q(\mathcal{E}_q + \lambda), J - \varepsilon),$  (4.66) as  $\lambda \to 0$ , the functions  $\theta_\beta$  being defined in (2.12) – (2.13). *Proof.* Similarly to the proof of Proposition 4.2 (see (4.59)), it suffices to show that for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have

$$n_*(\varepsilon; \operatorname{Re} \tilde{\tau}_q(\mathcal{E}_q + \lambda) - \operatorname{Re} \tau_q(\lambda)) = O(\theta_{2\gamma}(\lambda)), \quad \lambda \to 0.$$
 (4.67)

Let at first  $\lambda < 0$ . In this case we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \tilde{\tau}_q(\mathcal{E}_q + \lambda) - \operatorname{Re} \tau_q(\lambda) = \tilde{\tau}_q(\mathcal{E}_q + \lambda) - \tau_q(\lambda) =$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} g_q(k)^* g_q(k) \frac{\mu_q k^2 - E_q(k) + \mathcal{E}_q}{(E_q(k) - \mathcal{E}_q - \lambda)(\mu_q k^2 - \lambda)} dk$$

and

$$\|\tilde{\tau}(\mathcal{E}_q + \lambda) - \tau_q(\lambda)\|_1 \le \frac{c_1}{\mu_q} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|E_q(k) - \mathcal{E}_q - \mu_q k^2|}{k^2 (E_q(k) - \mathcal{E}_q)} dk = O(1), \quad \lambda \uparrow 0,$$

which combined with (4.5) for p=1 yields (4.67) in the case  $\lambda < 0$ . Let now  $\lambda > 0$ . As above, let  $\varphi = \varphi_q$  be the function inverse to  $E_q - \mathcal{E}_q$ . Set

$$\phi(s) = \phi_q(s) := \mu_q^{-1/2} s^{1/2}, \quad s > 0.$$

By (4.19) - (4.20),

$$\varphi(s) - \phi(s) = O(s^{3/2}),$$
 (4.68)

$$\varphi'(s) - \phi'(s) = O(s^{1/2}), \tag{4.69}$$

for  $s \in (0, s_0)$  and  $s_0 \in (0, \infty)$ . Fix  $s_0 \in (0, \infty)$  and assume  $\lambda < s_0/2$ . For  $\eta = \varphi$  or  $\eta = \varphi$  define the operator-valued function  $\Gamma_{\eta} : (0, \infty) \to S_2(L^2(\mathcal{S}_L), \mathbb{C}^2)$  by

$$\Gamma_{\eta}(s)u := (g(\eta(s))u, g(-\eta(s))u), \quad s > 0, \quad u \in L^{2}(\mathcal{S}_{L}),$$

and

$$M_{\eta,1}(\lambda) := \int_{s_0}^{\infty} \eta'(s) \frac{\Gamma_{\eta}(s)^* \Gamma_{\eta}(s)}{s - \lambda} ds,$$

$$M_{\eta,2}(\lambda) := \text{v.p.} \int_{0}^{s_0} \eta'(s) \frac{2 \text{Re } \Gamma_{\eta}(s)^* \Gamma_{\eta}(\lambda) - \Gamma_{\eta}(\lambda)^* \Gamma_{\eta}(\lambda)}{s - \lambda} ds,$$

$$M_{\eta,3}(\lambda) := \int_{0}^{s_0} \eta'(s) \frac{(\Gamma_{\eta}(s) - \Gamma_{\eta}(\lambda))^* (\Gamma_{\eta}(s) - \Gamma_{\eta}(\lambda))}{s - \lambda} ds.$$

Then we have

$$\tilde{\tau}_q(\mathcal{E}_q + \lambda) = \sum_{l=1,2,3} M_{\varphi,l}(\lambda), \quad \tau_q(\lambda) = \sum_{l=1,2,3} M_{\phi,l}(\lambda).$$

It is easy to see that

$$||M_{\eta,1}(\lambda)||_1 = O(1), \quad \lambda \downarrow 0, \quad \eta = \varphi, \phi, \tag{4.70}$$

$$rank M_{\eta,2}(\lambda) \le 6, \quad \lambda > 0, \quad \eta = \varphi, \phi, \tag{4.71}$$

$$||M_{\eta,3}(\lambda)||_1 = O(\theta_{\gamma}(\lambda)), \quad \lambda \downarrow 0, \quad \eta = \varphi, \phi. \tag{4.72}$$

Let us show that

$$||M_{\phi,3}(\lambda) - M_{\phi,3}(\lambda)||_1 = O(\theta_{2\gamma}(\lambda)), \quad \lambda \downarrow 0. \tag{4.73}$$

We have

$$M_{\varphi,3}(\lambda) - M_{\phi,3}(\lambda) =$$

$$\int_0^{s_0} (\varphi'(s) - \phi'(s)) \frac{(\Gamma_{\varphi}(s) - \Gamma_{\varphi}(\lambda))^* (\Gamma_{\varphi}(s) - \Gamma_{\varphi}(\lambda))}{s - \lambda} ds +$$

$$\int_0^{s_0} \phi'(s) \frac{(\Gamma_{\varphi}(s) - \Gamma_{\phi}(s) - \Gamma_{\varphi}(\lambda) + \Gamma_{\phi}(\lambda))^* (\Gamma_{\varphi}(s) - \Gamma_{\varphi}(\lambda))}{s - \lambda} ds +$$

$$\int_0^{s_0} \phi'(s) \frac{(\Gamma_{\phi}(s) - \Gamma_{\phi}(\lambda))^* (\Gamma_{\varphi}(s) - \Gamma_{\phi}(s) - \Gamma_{\varphi}(\lambda) + \Gamma_{\phi}(\lambda))}{s - \lambda} ds :=$$

$$I_1 + I_2 + I_3.$$

Using (4.69), (4.55), and (4.18) (which implies  $|\varphi(s) - \varphi(\lambda)| = O(|\sqrt{s} - \sqrt{\lambda}|)$ ,  $s \in (0, s_0)$ , we get

$$||I_1||_1 = O\left(\int_0^{s_0} s^{1/2} \frac{|\sqrt{s} - \sqrt{\lambda}|^{2\gamma}}{|s - \lambda|} ds\right) = O(1), \quad \lambda \downarrow 0.$$
 (4.74)

Further, for  $s, \lambda > 0$ , and  $\gamma \in (0, (\alpha - 1)/2), \gamma \leq 1$ , we have

$$\begin{split} \|\Gamma_{\varphi}(s) - \Gamma_{\phi}(s) - \Gamma_{\varphi}(\lambda) + \Gamma_{\phi}(\lambda)\|_{2}^{2} \leq \\ \frac{1}{\pi} \sup_{(x,y) \in \mathcal{S}_{L}} \langle y \rangle^{\alpha} |V(x,y)| \int_{\mathbb{R}} |e^{i\varphi(s)y} - e^{i\phi(s)y} - e^{i\varphi(\lambda)y} + e^{i\phi(\lambda)y}|^{2} \langle y \rangle^{-\alpha} dy \leq \\ \frac{2^{3-2\gamma}}{\pi} \sup_{(x,y) \in \mathcal{S}_{L}} \langle y \rangle^{\alpha} |V(x,y)| \int_{\mathbb{R}} |y|^{2\gamma} \langle y \rangle^{-\alpha} dy \left( |\varphi(s) - \phi(s)|^{2\gamma} + |\varphi(\lambda) - \phi(\lambda)|^{2\gamma} \right). \end{split}$$

Using (4.68), we get

$$||I_{j}||_{1} = O\left(\int_{0}^{s_{0}} s^{-1/2} \frac{(s^{3\gamma} + \lambda^{3\gamma})^{1/2} |\sqrt{s} - \sqrt{\lambda}|^{\gamma}}{|s - \lambda|} ds\right) = O(\theta_{2\gamma}(\lambda)), \quad \lambda \downarrow 0, \quad j = 2, 3.$$

$$(4.75)$$

Putting together (4.74) and (4.75), we obtain (4.73). Now the combination of (4.70) – (4.73) with (4.4) and (4.5) for p = 1 yields (4.67) in the case  $\lambda > 0$ .

Next, we note that for each  $\lambda > 0$  and  $q \in \mathbb{N}$  we have rank  $\operatorname{Im} \tau_q(\lambda) \leq 2$ , while  $\operatorname{Im} \tau_q(\lambda) = 0$  if  $\lambda < 0$ . Therefore,

$$\operatorname{ind}\left(J - \varepsilon + \operatorname{Re}\tau_{q}(\lambda), J - \varepsilon\right) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \operatorname{ind}\left(J - \varepsilon + \operatorname{Re}\tau_{q}(\lambda) + t\operatorname{Im}\tau_{q}(\lambda), J - \varepsilon\right) d\mu(t) + O(1), \quad \lambda \to 0,$$
(4.76)

for each  $\varepsilon \in (-1,1)$ . On the other hand, we have

$$w_{q,\varepsilon} = \varkappa^* (J - \varepsilon)^{-1} \varkappa, \quad \varepsilon \in (-1,1),$$

$$\tau_q(z) = \varkappa (h_{0,q} - z)^{-1} \varkappa^*, \quad z \in \overline{\mathbb{C}_+} \setminus \{0\},$$

where  $\varkappa: L^2(\mathbb{R}) \to L^2(\mathcal{S}_L)$  is the operator defined by

$$(\varkappa u)(x,y) := \psi(x,0)|V(x,y)|^{1/2}u(y), \quad u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}).$$

By Theorem 4.1 we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \operatorname{ind} \left( J - \varepsilon + \operatorname{Re} \tau_q(\lambda) + t \operatorname{Im} \tau_q(\lambda), J - \varepsilon \right) d\mu(t) = \xi(\lambda; h_q(\varepsilon), h_{0,q}), \quad \lambda \neq 0.$$
 (4.77)

Combining (4.50), (4.57) – (4.58), (4.65) – (4.66), (4.76), and (4.77), we obtain (2.14). **4.8.** Finally, we assume that  $\alpha > 2$  and prove Corollary 2.3. If  $\lambda < 0$ , then (2.18) is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.2 and the well-known fact that the 1D Schrödinger operator  $-\frac{d^2}{dy^2} + w(y)$ ,  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ , has a most a finite number of of negative eigenvalues if  $w(y) = o(|y|^{-2})$  as  $|y| \to \infty$  (see e.g. [24]). Assume  $\lambda > 0$ . Combining (4.8), (4.7), (4.4), and (4.5) with p = 1, we obtain

$$|\operatorname{ind}(J-\varepsilon+\operatorname{Re}\tau_q(\lambda),J-\varepsilon)|\leq n_*(1-|\varepsilon|;\operatorname{Re}\tau_q(\lambda))\leq$$

$$(1 - |\varepsilon|)^{-1} \|M_{\phi,1}(\lambda)\|_1 + \operatorname{rank} M_{\phi,2}(\lambda) + (1 - |\varepsilon|)^{-1} \|M_{\phi,3}(\lambda)\|_1, \quad \varepsilon \in (-1,1). \tag{4.78}$$

Pick  $\gamma < (\alpha - 1)/2$ ,  $\gamma \le 1$ ,  $\gamma > 1/2$ . Using (4.70) – (4.72), we find that the r.h.s. of (4.78) remains bounded as  $\lambda \downarrow 0$ .

Putting together (4.50), (4.57) - (4.58), (4.65) - (4.66), and (4.78), we obtain (2.18) in the case  $\lambda > 0$ .

**Acknowledgements**. Georgi Raikov was partially supported by the Chilean Scientific Foundation *Fondecyt* under Grant 1050716.

## References

- [1] J.Avron, R. Seiler, B. Simon, The index of a pair of projections, J. Funct. Anal. 120 (1994), 220–237.
- [2] J. Bellissard, A. van Elst, H. Schulz-Baldes, The noncommutative geometry of the quantum Hall effect, J. Math. Phys. **35** (1994), 5373–5451.
- [3] M.Š.BIRMAN, S. B. ENTINA, Stationary approach in abstract scattering theory, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR **31** (1967), 401 430 [in Russian]; English translation in Math. USSR Izv. **1** (1967), 391-420.

- [4] M.Š.BIRMAN, M. G. KREĬN, On the theory of wave operators and scattering operators, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **144** (1962), 475–478 [in Russian]; English translation in Soviet Math. Doklady **3** (1962).
- [5] V. BRUNEAU, A. PUSHNITSKI, G. RAIKOV, Spectral shift function in strong magnetic fields, Algebra i Analiz 16 (2004), 207–238; St. Petersburg Math. J. 16 (2005), 181–209.
- [6] H. CYCON, R. FROESE, W. KIRSCH, B. SIMON, Schrödinger Operators with Application to Quantum Mechanics and Global Geometry, Texts and Monographs in Physics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1987.
- [7] S. De Bièvre, J. V. Pulé, *Propagating edge states for a magnetic Hamiltonian*, Math. Phys. Electron. J. **5** (1999), Paper 3, 17 pp.
- [8] M. Dimassi, J. Sjöstrand, Spectral Asymptotics in the Semi-Classical Limit, LMS Lecture Note Series, 268, Cambridge University Press Cambridge, 1999.
- [9] C. Fernández, G. Raikov, On the singularities of the magnetic spectral shift function at the Landau levels, Ann. Henri Poincaré 5 (2004), 381–403.
- [10] V. Geĭler, M. Senatorov, The structure of the spectrum of the Schrödinger operator with a magnetic field in a strip, and finite-gap potentials, Mat. Sb. 188 (1997), 21–32 (Russian); English translation in Sb. Math. 188 (1997), 657–669.
- [11] V. Georgescu, C. Gérard, On the virial theorem in quantum mechanics, Comm. Math. Phys. **208** (1999), 275–281.
- [12] C. GÉRARD, I. ŁABA, Multiparticle Quantum Scattering in Constant Magnetic Fields, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 90, AMS, Providence, RI, 2002.
- [13] C. GÉRARD, F. NIER, The Mourre theory for analytically fibered operators, J. Funct. Anal. **152** (1998), 202–219.
- [14] F. Gesztesy, K. Makarov, The  $\Xi$  operator and its relation to Krein's spectral shift function, J. Anal. Math. 81 (2000), 139–183.
- [15] T. Kato, Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, 132 Springer-Verlag New York, Inc., New York, 1966.
- [16] W. Kirsch, B. Simon, Corrections to the classical behavior of the number of bound states of Schrödinger operators, Ann. Physics 183 (1988), 122–130.
- [17] M. G. Krein, On the trace formula in perturbation theory, Mat. Sb. 33 (1953), 597-626 (Russian).
- [18] I. M. Lifshits, On a problem in perturbation theory, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 7 (1952), 171-180 (Russian).
- [19] J. MIKUSIŃSKI, *The Bochner Integral*, Lehrbücher und Monographien aus dem Gebiete der exakten Wissenschaften, Mathematische Reihe, **55**, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel-Stuttgart, 1978.

- [20] E. Mourre, Absence of singular continuous spectrum for certain self-adjoint operators, Comm. Math. Phys. **78** (1981), 391–408.
- [21] A. Pushnitskii, A representation for the spectral shift function in the case of perturbations of fixed sign, Algebra i Analiz 9 (1997), 197–213 (Russian); English translation in St. Petersburg Math. J. 9 (1998), 1181–1194.
- [22] A. Pushnitski, The spectral shift function and the invariance principle, J. Funct. Anal. 183 (2001), 269–320.
- [23] G. Raikov, Spectral shift function for magnetic Schrödinger operators, In: Mathematical physics of quantum mechanics, 451–465, Lecture Notes in Phys., **690**, Springer, Berlin, 2006.
- [24] M. Reed, B. Simon, Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics. IV, Academic Press, 1978.
- [25] B. Simon, Trace Ideals and Their Applications. Second Edition, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 120, AMS, RI, 2005.
- [26] D. Yafaev, The low energy scattering for slowly decreasing potentials, Comm. Math. Phys. 85 (1982), 177–196.

PH. BRIET Centre de Physique Théorique CNRS-Luminy, Case 907 13288 Marseille, France E-mail: briet@cpt.univ-mrs.fr

#### G. Raikov

Facultad de Matemáticas Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile Av. Vicuña Mackenna 4860 Santiago de Chile E-mail: graikov@mat.puc.cl

#### E. Soccorsi

Centre de Physique Théorique CNRS-Luminy, Case 907 13288 Marseille, France E-mail: soccorsi@cpt.univ-mrs.fr