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Abstract: Let $A$ and $B$ be non-negative self-adjoint operators in a separable Hilbert space such that its form sum $C$ is densely defined. It is shown that the Trotter product formula holds for imaginary times in the $L^{2}$-norm, that is, one has

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{0}^{T}\left\|\left(e^{-i t A / n} e^{-i t B / n}\right)^{n} h-e^{-i t C} h\right\|^{2} d t=0
$$

for any element $h$ of the Hilbert space and any $T>0$. The result remains true for the Trotter-Kato product formula

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{0}^{T}\left\|(f(i t A / n) g(i t B / n))^{n} h-e^{-i t C} h\right\|^{2} d t=0
$$

where $f(\cdot)$ and $g(\cdot)$ are so-called holomorphic Kato functions; we also derive a canonical representation for any function of this class.

## 1 Introduction

The aim of this paper is to prove a Trotter-Kato-type formula for unitary groups. Apart of a pure mathematical interest such a product formula can be related to physical problems. In particular, Trotter formula provides us with a way to define Feynman path integrals $[6,13]$ and extending it beyond the essentially self-adjoint case would allow us to treat in this way Schrödinger operators with a much wider class of potentials.

In order to put our investigation into a proper context let us describe first the existing related results. Let $-A$ and $-B$ be two generators of contraction semigroups in the Banach space $\mathfrak{X}$. In the seminal paper [23] Trotter proved that if the operator $-C$,

$$
C:=\overline{A+B},
$$

is the generator of a contraction semigroup in $\mathfrak{X}$, then the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-t C}=\mathrm{s}-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(e^{-t A / n} e^{-t B / n}\right)^{n} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds in $t \in[0, T]$ for any $T>0$. Formula (1.1) is usually called the Trotter or Lie-Trotter product formula. The result was generalized by Chernoff in [2] as follows: Let $F(\cdot): \mathbb{R}_{+} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{X})$ be a strongly continuous contraction valued function such that $F(0)=I$ and the strong derivative $F^{\prime}(0)$ exists and is densely defined. If $-C, C:=\overline{F^{\prime}(0)}$, is the generator of a $C_{0}$-contraction semigroup, then the generalized Lie-Trotter product formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-t C}=\mathrm{s}-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} F(t / n)^{n} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for $t \geq 0$. In [3, Theorem 3.1] it is shown that in fact the convergence in the last formula is uniform in $t \in[0, T]$ for any $T>0$. Furthermore, in [3, Theorem 1.1] this result was generalized as follows: Let $F(\cdot): \mathbb{R}_{+} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{X})$ a family of linear contractions on a Banach space $\mathfrak{X}$. Then the generalized Lie-Trotter product formula (1.2) holds uniformly in $t \in[0, T]$ for any $T>0$ if and only if there is a $\lambda>0$ such that

$$
(\lambda+C)^{-1}=\mathrm{s}-\lim _{\tau \rightarrow+0}\left(\lambda+S_{\tau}\right)^{-1}
$$

where

$$
S_{\tau}:=\frac{I-F(\tau)}{\tau}, \quad \tau>0
$$

Using the results of Chernoff, Kato was able to prove in [14] the following theorem: Let $A$ and $B$ be two non-negative self-adjoint operators in a separable Hilbert space $\mathfrak{H}$. Let us assume that the intersection $\operatorname{dom}\left(A^{1 / 2}\right) \cap \operatorname{dom}\left(B^{1 / 2}\right)$ is dense in $\mathfrak{H}$. If $C:=A+B$ is the form sum of the operators $A$ and $B$, then Lie-Trotter product formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-t C}=\mathrm{s}-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(e^{-t A / n} e^{-t B / n}\right)^{n} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds true uniformly in $t \in[0, T]$ for any $T>0$. In addition, it was proven that a symmetrized Lie-Trotter product formula,

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-t C}=\mathrm{s}-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(e^{-t A / 2 n} e^{-t B / n} e^{-t A / 2 n}\right)^{n}, \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is valid. In fact, the Lie-Trotter formula was extended to more general products of the form $(f(t A / n) g(t B / n))^{n}$ or $\left(f(t A / n)^{1 / 2} g(t B / n) f(t A / n)^{1 / 2}\right)^{n}$ where $f$ (and similarly $g$ ) is a real valued function $f(\cdot): \mathbb{R}_{+} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$obeying $0 \leq f(t) \leq 1, f(0)=1$ and $f^{\prime}(0)=-1$ which are called Kato functions in the following. Usually product formulæ of that type are labeled as Lie-Trotter-Kato.

It is a longstanding open question in linear operator theory to indicate assumptions under which the Lie-Trotter product formulæ (1.3) and (1.4) remain to hold for imaginary times, that is, under which assumptions the formulæ

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-i t C}=\mathrm{s}-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(e^{-i t A / n} e^{-i t B / n}\right)^{n}, \quad C=A+B \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-i t C}=\mathrm{s}-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(e^{-i t A / 2 n} e^{-i t B / n} e^{-i t A / 2 n}\right)^{n}, \quad C=A \dot{+} B \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

are valid, see [3, Remark p. 91], [9], [12] and [21]. We note that if $A$ and $B$ be non-negative selfadjoint operators in $\mathfrak{H}$ and the limit

$$
U(t):=\mathrm{s}-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(e^{-i t A / n} e^{-i t B / n}\right)^{n}
$$

exists for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, then $\operatorname{dom}\left(A^{1 / 2}\right) \cap \operatorname{dom}\left(B^{1 / 2}\right)$ is dense in $\mathfrak{H}$ and it holds $U(t)=e^{-i t C}, t \in \mathbb{R}$, where $C:=A \dot{+} B$, see [13, Proposition 11.7.3]. Hence it makes sense to assume that $\operatorname{dom}\left(A^{1 / 2}\right) \cap \operatorname{dom}\left(B^{1 / 2}\right)$ is dense in $\mathfrak{H}$. Furthermore, applying Trotter's result [23] one immediately gets that formulæ (1.5) and (1.6) are valid if $C:=\overline{A+B}$ is self-adjoint. Modifying

Lie-Trotter product formula to a kind of Lie-Trotter-Kato product formula Lapidus was able to show in [16], see also [17], that one has

$$
e^{-i t C}=\mathrm{s}-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left((I+i t A / n)^{-1}(I+i t B / n)^{-1}\right)^{n}
$$

uniformly in $t$ on bounded subsets of $\mathbb{R}$. In [1] Cachia extended the Lapidus result as follows. Let $f(\cdot)$ be a Kato function which admits a holomorphic continuation to the right complex plane $\mathbb{C}_{\text {right }}:=\{z \in \mathbb{C}: \Re \mathrm{e}(z)>0\}$ such that $|f(z)| \leq 1, z \in \mathbb{C}_{\text {right }}$. Such functions we call holomorphic Kato functions in the following. We note that functions from this class admit limits $f(i t)=\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow+0} f(\epsilon+i t)$ for a.e. $t \in \mathbb{R}$, see Section 5. In [1] it was in fact shown that if $f$ and $g$ holomorphic Kato functions, then

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{T}\left\|\left(\frac{f(2 i t A / n)+g(2 i t B / n)}{2}\right)^{n} h-e^{-i t C} h\right\|^{2} d t=0 .
$$

for any $h \in \mathfrak{H}$ and $T>0$. Since $f(t)=e^{-t}, t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$, belongs to the holomorphic Kato class we find

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{T}\left\|\left(\frac{e^{-2 i t A / n}+e^{-2 i t B / n}}{2}\right)^{n} h-e^{-i t C} h\right\|^{2} d t=0
$$

for any $h \in \mathfrak{H}$ and $T>0$.
Before we close this introductory survey, let us mention one more family of related results. The paper [1] was inspired by a work of Ichinose and one of us [7] devoted to the so-called Zeno product formula which can be regarded as a kind of degenerated symmetric Lie-Trotter product formula. Specifically, in this formula one replaces the unitary factor $e^{-i t A / 2}$ by an orthogonal projection onto some closed subspace $\mathfrak{h} \subseteq \mathfrak{H}$ and defines the operator $C$ as the self-adjoint operator which corresponds to the quadratic form $\mathfrak{k}(h, k):=(\sqrt{B} h, \sqrt{B} k), h, k \in \operatorname{dom}(\mathfrak{k}):=\operatorname{dom}(\sqrt{B}) \cap \mathfrak{h}$ where it is assumed that $\operatorname{dom}(\mathfrak{k})$ is dense in $\mathfrak{h}$. In the paper [7] it was proved that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{T}\left\|\left(P e^{-i t B / n} P\right)^{n} h-e^{-i t C} h\right\| d t=0
$$

holds for any $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ and $T>0$ where $P$ is the orthogonal projection from $\mathfrak{H}$ onto $\mathfrak{h}$. Subsequently, an attempt was made in [8] to replace the strong $L^{2}$-topology of [7] by the usual strong topology of $\mathfrak{H}$. To this end a class
of admissible functions was introduced which consisted of Borel measurable functions $\phi(\cdot): \mathbb{R}_{+} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ obeying $|\phi(x)| \leq 1, x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}, \phi(0)=1$ and $\phi^{\prime}(0)=-i$. It was shown in [8] that if $\phi$ is an admissible function such that $\Im m(\phi(x)) \leq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$, then

$$
e^{-i t C}=\mathrm{s}-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}(P \phi(t B / n) P)^{n}=e^{-i t C}
$$

holds uniformly in $t \in[0, T]$ for any $T>0$. We stress that the function $\phi(x)=e^{-i x}, x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$, is admissible but does not satisfy the condition $\Im m\left(e^{-i x}\right) \leq 0$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$, and the question about convergence of the Zeno product formula in the strong topology of $\mathfrak{H}$ remains open.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we formulate our main result and relate it to the Feynman integral. In Section 3 is devoted to the proof of the main result. The main result is generalized to Trotter-Kato product formulas for holomorphic Kato function in Section 4. Finally, in Section 5 we try to characterize holomorphic Kato functions.

## 2 The main result

With the above preliminaries, we can pass to our main result which can be stated as follows:

Theorem 2.1 Let $A$ and $B$ two non-negative self-adjoint operators on the Hilbert space $\mathfrak{H}$. If their form sum $C:=A+B$ is densely defined, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{T}\left\|\left(e^{-i t A / n} e^{-i t B / n}\right)^{n} h-e^{-i t C} h\right\|^{2} d t=0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{T}\left\|\left(e^{-i t A / 2 n} e^{-i t B / n} e^{-i t A / 2 n}\right)^{n} h-e^{-i t C} h\right\|^{2} d t=0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for any $h \in \mathfrak{H}$ and $T>0$.
We note that Theorem 2.1 partially solves [13, Problem 11.3.9] by changing slightly the topology.

Remark 2.2 From the viewpoint of physical applications, the formula (2.1) allows us to extend the Trotter-type definition of Feynman integrals to

Schrödinger operators with a wider class of potentials. Following [13, Definition 11.2.21] the Feynman integral $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{TP}}^{t}(V)$ associated with the potential $V$ is the strong operator limit

$$
\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{TP}}^{t}(V):=\mathrm{s}-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(e^{-i t H_{0} / n} e^{-i t V / n}\right)^{n}
$$

where $H_{0}:=-\frac{1}{2} \Delta$ and $-\Delta$ is the usually defined Laplacian operator in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. From [13, Corollary 11.2.22] one gets that the Feynman integral exists if $V: \mathbb{R}^{d} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Lebesgue measurable and non-negative as well as $V \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$.

Taking into account Theorem 2.1 it is possible to extend the Trottertype definition of Feynman integrals if one replaces the $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$-topology by the $L^{2}\left([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$-topology. Indeed, let us define the generalized Feynman integral $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{gTP}}^{t}(V)$ by

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{T}\left\|\left(e^{-i t H / n} e^{-i t V / n}\right)^{n} h-\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{gTP}}^{t}(V) h\right\|^{2} d t=0
$$

for $h \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and $T>0$. Obviously, the existence of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{TP}}^{t}(V)$ yields the existence of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{gTP}}^{t}(V)$ where the converse is in general not true. By Theorem 2.1 one immediately gets that the generalized Feynman integral exists if $V$ : $\mathbb{R}^{d} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Lebesgue measurable and non-negative as well as $V \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. This essentially extends the class of admissible potentials. The same class of potentials is covered by the so-called modified Feynman integral $\mathcal{F}_{M}^{t}(V)$ defined by

$$
\mathcal{F}_{M}^{t}(V):=\mathrm{s}-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\left[I+i(t / n) H_{0}\right]^{-1}[I+i(t / n) V]^{-1}\right)^{n}
$$

see [13, Definition 11.4.4] and [13, Corollary 11.4.5]. However, in this case the exponents are replaced by resolvents which leads to the loss of the typical structure of Feynman integrals.

## Remark 2.3

(i) Formula (2.1) holds if and only if convergence in measure takes place, that is, for any $\eta>0, h \in \mathfrak{H}$ and $T>0$ one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\left\{t \in[0, T]:\left\|\left(e^{-i t A / n} e^{-i t B / n}\right)^{n} h-e^{-i t C} h\right\| \geq \eta\right\}\right|=0 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $|\cdot|$ denotes the Lebesgue measure.
(ii) We note that the relation (1.3) can be rewritten as follows: for any $\eta>0, h \in \mathfrak{H}$ and $T>0$ one has

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{t \in[0, T]}\left\|\left(e^{-t A / n} e^{-t B / n}\right)^{n} h-e^{-t C} h\right\|=0 .
$$

This shows that passing to imaginary times one effectively switches from a uniform convergence to a convergence in measure.
(iii) Theorem 2.1 immediately implies the existence of a non-decreasing subsequence $n_{k} \in \mathbb{N}, k \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\left(e^{-i t A / n_{k}} e^{-i t B / n_{k}}\right)^{n_{k}} h-e^{-i t C} h\right\|=0
$$

holds for any $h \in \mathfrak{H}$ and a.e. $t \in[0, T]$.

## 3 Proof of Theorem 2.1

The argument is based on the following lemma.
Lemma 3.1 Let $\left\{S_{\tau}(\cdot)\right\}_{\tau>0}$ be a family of bounded holomorphic operatorvalued functions defined in $\mathbb{C}_{\text {right }}$ such that $\Re \mathrm{e}\left(S_{\tau}(z)\right) \geq 0$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}_{\text {right }}$. Let $R_{\tau}(z):=\left(I+S_{\tau}(z)\right)^{-1}, z \in \mathbb{C}_{\text {right }}$. If the limit

$$
s-\lim _{\tau \rightarrow+0} R_{\tau}(t)
$$

exists for all $t>0$, then the following claims are valid:
(i) The limit

$$
R(z):=s-\lim _{\tau \rightarrow+0} R_{\tau}(z)
$$

exists everywhere in $\mathbb{C}_{\text {right }}$, the convergence is uniform with respect to $z$ in any compact subset of $\mathbb{C}_{\text {right }}$, and the limit function $R(z)$ is holomorphic in $\mathbb{C}_{\text {right }}$.
(ii) The limits

$$
R_{\tau}(i t):=s-\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow+0} R_{\tau}(\epsilon+i t)
$$

and

$$
R(i t):=s-\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow+0} R(\epsilon+i t)
$$

exist for a.e. $t \in \mathbb{R}$.
(iii) If, in addition, there is a non-negative self-adjoint operator $C$ such that the representation $R(t)=(I+t C)^{-1}$ is valid for $t>0$, then $R(z)=(I+z C)^{-1}$ for $z \in \overline{\mathbb{C}_{\text {right }}}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\tau \rightarrow+0} \int_{0}^{T}\left\|R_{\tau}(i t) h-(I+i t C)^{-1} h\right\|^{2} d t=0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for any $h \in \mathfrak{H}$ and $T>0$.
Proof. The claims (i) and (ii) are obtained easily; the first one is a consequence of [11, Theorem 3.14.1], the second follows from [22, Section 5.2]. It remains to check the third claim. To prove $R(z)=(I+z C)^{-1}$ we note that $(I+t C)^{-1}, t>0$, admits an analytic continuation to $\mathbb{C}_{\text {right }}$ which is equal to $(I+z C)^{-1}, z \in \mathbb{C}_{\text {right }}$. Since $R(z)$ is an analytic function in $\mathbb{C}_{\text {right }}$, by (i) one immediately proves $R(z)=(I+z C)^{-1}$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}_{\text {right }}$. In particular, we get the representation

$$
R(i t)=(I+i t C)^{-1}
$$

for a.e. $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Furthermore, by $[1$, Lemma 2] one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\tau \rightarrow+0} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(R_{\tau}(i t) h, v(t)\right) d t=\int_{\mathbb{R}}(R(i t) h, v(t)) d t \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $h \in \mathfrak{H}$ and $v \in L^{1}(\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{H})$. Let $p(\cdot) \in L^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ be real and non-negative, i.e. $p(t) \geq 0$ a.e. in $\mathbb{R}$. In particular, if $v(t):=p(t) h$ we find

$$
\lim _{\tau \rightarrow+0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(t)\left(R_{\tau}(i t) h, h\right) d t=\int_{\mathbb{R}} p(t)(R(i t) h, h) d t
$$

which yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\tau \rightarrow+0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(t) \Re \mathrm{e}\left\{\left(R_{\tau}(i t) h, h\right)\right\} d t=\int_{\mathbb{R}} p(t) \Re \mathrm{e}\{(R(i t) h, h)\} d t \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since for each $\tau>0$ the function $S_{\tau}(z)$ is bounded in $\mathbb{C}_{\text {right }}$ the limit $S_{\tau}(i t):=$ $\mathrm{s}-\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow+0} S_{\tau}(\epsilon+i t)$ exists for a.e. $t \in \mathbb{R}$, see [22, Section 5.2], and we have $\Re \mathrm{e}\left(S_{\tau}(i t)\right) \geq 0$. Furthermore, from (3.3) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{\tau \rightarrow+0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(t)\left(\left(I+\Re \mathrm{e}\left\{S_{\tau}(i t)\right\}\right) R_{\tau}(i t) h, R_{\tau}(i t) h\right) d t  \tag{3.4}\\
& \quad=\int_{\mathbb{R}} p(t) \Re \mathrm{e}\left\{\left(R_{\tau}(i t) h, h\right)\right\} d t=\int_{\mathbb{R}} p(t)\|R(i t) h\|^{2} d t .
\end{align*}
$$

Obviously, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(t)\left\|R_{\tau}(i t) h-R(i t) h\right\|^{2} d t=\int_{\mathbb{R}} p(t)\left\|R_{\tau}(i t) h\right\|^{2} d t \\
& \quad+\int_{\mathbb{R}} p(t)\|R(i t) h\|^{2} d t-2 \Re \mathrm{e}\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}} p(t)\left(R_{\tau}(i t) h, R(i t) h\right) d t\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $p(t) \geq 0$ for a.e. $t \in \mathbb{R}$, then

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
\int_{\mathbb{R}} & p(t)\left\|R_{\tau}(i t) h-R(i t) h\right\|^{2} d t \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(t)\left(\left(I+\Re \mathrm{e}\left\{S_{\tau}(i t)\right\}\right) R_{\tau}(i t) h, R_{\tau}(i t) h\right) d t \\
& +\int_{\mathbb{R}} p(t)\|R(i t) h\|^{2} d t-2 \Re \mathrm{e}\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}} p(t)\left(R_{\tau}(i t) h, R(i t) h\right) d t\right\} .
\end{array}
$$

Choosing $v(t)=p(t) R(i t) h$ we obtain from (3.2) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\tau \rightarrow+0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(t)\left(R_{\tau}(i t) h, R(i t) h\right) d t=\int_{\mathbb{R}} p(t)\|R(i t) h\|^{2} d t . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking then into account (3.4) and (3.5) we find

$$
\lim _{\tau \rightarrow+0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(t)\left\|R_{\tau}(i t) h-R(i t) h\right\|^{2} d t=0
$$

and choosing finally $p(t):=\chi_{[0, T]}(t), T>0$, we arrive at the formula (3.1) for any $h \in \mathfrak{H}$ and $T>0$.

Now we are in position to prove Theorem 2.1. We set

$$
F_{\tau}(z):=e^{-\tau z A / 2} e^{-\tau z B} e^{-\tau z A / 2}, \quad \tau \geq 0
$$

and

$$
S_{\tau}(z):=\frac{I-F_{\tau}(z)}{\tau}, \quad \tau>0
$$

for $z \in \overline{\mathbb{C}_{\text {right }}}$. Obviously, the family $\left\{S_{\tau}(\cdot)\right\}_{\tau>0}$ consists of bounded holomorphic operator-valued functions defined in $\mathbb{C}_{\text {right }}$. Since $\left\|F_{\tau}(z)\right\| \leq 1$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}_{\text {right }}$ we get that $\Re \mathrm{R}\left\{S_{\tau}(z)\right\} \geq 0$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}_{\text {right }}$ and $\tau>0$. Using formula (2.2) of [14] we find

$$
\mathrm{s}-\lim _{\tau \rightarrow+0}\left(I+S_{\tau}(t)\right)^{-1}=(I+t C)^{-1}
$$

for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Obviously, we have

$$
R_{\tau}(i t)=\left(I+S_{\tau}(i t)\right)^{-1}
$$

for a.e $t \in \mathbb{R}$ where

$$
S_{\tau}(i t)=\frac{I-e^{-i \tau t A / 2} e^{-i \tau t B} e^{-i \tau t A / 2}}{\tau}
$$

for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\tau>0$. Applying Lemma 3.1 we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\tau \rightarrow+0} \int_{0}^{T}\left\|\left(I+S_{\tau}(i t)\right)^{-1} h-(I+i t C)^{-1} h\right\|^{2} d t=0 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $h \in \mathfrak{H}$ and $T>0$.
Now we pass to $\mathfrak{H}$-valued functions introducing $\widehat{\mathfrak{H}}:=L^{2}([0, T], \mathfrak{H})$. We set

$$
(\widehat{A} f)(t)=t A f(t), \quad f \in \operatorname{dom}(\widehat{A})=\{f \in \widehat{\mathfrak{H}}: t A f(t) \in \widehat{\mathfrak{H}}\}
$$

and in the same way we define $\widehat{B}$ and $\widehat{C}$ associated with the operators $B$ and $C$, respectively. It is obvious that the operators $\widehat{A}, \widehat{B}$ and $\widehat{C}$ are non-negative. Setting

$$
\widehat{F}_{\tau}:=e^{-i \tau \widehat{A} / 2} e^{-i \tau \widehat{B}} e^{-i \tau \widehat{A} / 2}, \quad \tau>0,
$$

and

$$
\widehat{S}_{\tau}:=\frac{\widehat{I}-\widehat{F}_{\tau}}{\tau}, \quad \tau>0
$$

we have

$$
\left(\widehat{F}_{\tau} \widehat{h}\right)(t)=F_{\tau}(i t) \widehat{h}(t) \quad \text { and } \quad\left(\widehat{S}_{\tau} \widehat{h}\right)(t)=\frac{I-F_{\tau}(i t)}{\tau} \widehat{h}(t)
$$

where $\widehat{h} \in \widehat{\mathfrak{H}}$. From Lemma 3.1 one immediately gets that

$$
\lim _{\tau \rightarrow+0}\left\|\left(\widehat{I}+\widehat{S}_{\tau}\right)^{-1} \widehat{h}-(\widehat{I}+\widehat{C})^{-1} \widehat{h}\right\|_{\widehat{\mathfrak{H}}}=0
$$

for any $\widehat{h} \in \widehat{\mathfrak{H}}$. Applying now [3, Theorem 1.1] we find

$$
\mathrm{s}-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{F}_{s / n}^{n}=e^{-i s \widehat{C}}
$$

uniformly in $s \in[0, \widehat{T}]$ for any $\widehat{T}>0$ which yields

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{T}\left\|\left(e^{-i s t A / 2 n} e^{-i s t B / n} e^{-i s t A / 2 n}\right)^{n} \widehat{h}(t)-e^{-i s t C} \widehat{h}(t)\right\|^{2} d t=0
$$

for any $\widehat{h} \in \widehat{\mathfrak{H}}$ and $s \in[0, \widehat{T}], \widehat{T}>0$. Setting finally $\widehat{h}(t)=\chi_{[0, T]}(t) h$, $h \in \mathfrak{H}$, and $s=1$ we arrive at the symmetrized form (2.2) of the product formula. To get the other one, we take into account the relation

$$
\left(e^{-i s t A / 2 n} e^{-i t B / n} e^{-i t A / 2 n}\right)^{n}=e^{i t A / 2 n}\left(e^{-i t A / n} e^{-i t B / n}\right)^{n} e^{-i t A / 2 n}
$$

which yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left(e^{-i t A / 2 n} e^{-i t B / n} e^{-i t A / 2 n}\right)^{n} h-e^{-i t C} h\right\|^{2}= \\
& \quad\left\|\left(e^{-i t A / n} e^{-i t B / n}\right)^{n} e^{-i t A / 2 n} h-e^{-i t A / 2 n} e^{-i t C} h\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and through that the sought formula (2.1).

## 4 A generalization

Let $f(\cdot)$ be a holomorphic Kato function. In general, one cannot expect that for any non-negative operator $A$ the formula

$$
\mathrm{s}-\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow+0} f((\epsilon+i t) A)=f(i t A)
$$

would be valid for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. This is due to the fact that the limit $f(i y)$ does not exist for each $y \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$, see Section 5. In order to avoid difficulties we assume in the following that the limit $f(i y)$ exist for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$ and indicate in Section 5 conditions which guarantee this property.

Theorem 4.1 Let $A$ and $B$ two non-negative self-adjoint operators on the Hilbert space $\mathfrak{H}$. Assume that $C:=A+B$ is densely defined. If $f$ and $g$ be holomorphic Kato functions such that the limit $f(i y)=\lim _{x \rightarrow+0} f(x+i y)$ exist for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$, then

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{T}\left\|(f(i t A / n) g(i t B / n))^{n} h-e^{-i t C} h\right\|^{2} d t=0
$$

for any $h \in \mathfrak{H}$ and $T>0$.

Proof. We set

$$
F_{\tau}(z):=f(\tau z A) g(\tau z B), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_{\text {right }}, \quad \tau \geq 0
$$

and

$$
S_{\tau}(z):=\frac{I-F_{\tau}(z)}{\tau}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_{\text {right }}, \quad \tau>0
$$

We note that $\left\{S_{\tau}(z)\right\}_{\tau>0}$ is a family of bounded holomorphic operator-valued functions defined in $\mathbb{C}_{\text {right }}$ obeying $\Re \mathrm{e}\left\{S_{\tau}(z)\right\} \geq 0$. We set $R_{\tau}(z):=(I+$ $\left.S_{\tau}(z)\right)^{-1}, z \in \mathbb{C}_{\text {right }}, \tau>0$. By [14] we know that

$$
\mathrm{s}-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}(f(t A / n) g(t B / n))^{n}=e^{-t C}
$$

uniformly in $t \in[0, T]$ for any $T>0$. Applying Theorem 1.1 of [3] we find

$$
\mathrm{s}-\lim _{\tau \rightarrow+0} R_{\tau}(t)=(I+t C)^{-1}
$$

for $t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$. Since $S_{\tau}(z), z \in \mathbb{C}_{\text {right }}$, is a holomorphic continuation of $S_{\tau}(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$, one gets that $R_{\tau}(z), z \in \mathbb{C}_{\text {right }}$, is in turn a holomorphic continuation of $R_{\tau}(t), t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$. Since

$$
F_{\tau}(i t):=\mathrm{s}-\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow+0} F_{\tau}(\epsilon+i t)=f(i \tau t A) g(i \tau t B), \quad \tau>0
$$

for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we find that

$$
S_{\tau}(i t):=\mathrm{s}-\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow+0} S_{\tau}(\epsilon+i t)=\frac{I-f(i \tau t A) g(i \tau t B)}{\tau}, \quad \tau>0
$$

holds for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, which further yields

$$
R_{\tau}(i t):=\mathrm{s}-\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow+0} R_{\tau}(\epsilon+i t)=\left(I+S_{\tau}(i t)\right)^{-1}, \quad \tau>0
$$

for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Applying Lemma 3.1 we prove (3.6). Following now the line of reasoning used after formula (3.6) we complete the proof.

Obviously, the Kato functions $f_{k}(x):=(1+x / k)^{-k}, x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$, are holomorphic Kato functions. Indeed, each function $f_{k}$ admits a holomorphic continuation, $f(z)=(1+z / k)^{-k}$ on $z \in \mathbb{C}_{\text {right }}$ and, moreover, the limit

$$
f_{k}(i t):=\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow+0} f(\epsilon+i t)=(1+i t / k)^{-k}
$$

exists for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$. This yields

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{0}^{T}\left\|\left((I+i t A / k n)^{-k}(I+i t B / k n)^{-k}\right)^{n} h-e^{-i t C} h\right\| d t=0
$$

for any $h \in \mathfrak{H}$ and $T>0$. We note that for the particular case $k=1$ Lapidus demonstrated in [16] that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { s- } \lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left((I+i t A / n)^{-1}(I+i t B / n)^{-1}\right)^{n}=e^{-i t C} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds uniformly in $t \in[0, T]$ for any $T>0$. By Theorem 4.1 one gets that formula (4.1) is valid in a weaker topology as in [16]. This discrepancy will be clarified in a forthcoming paper.

## 5 Holomorphic Kato functions

### 5.1 Representation

To make use of the results of the previous section one should know properties of holomorphic Kato functions. To this purpose we will try in the following to find a canonical representation for this function class.

Theorem 5.1 If $f$ is a holomorphic Kato function, then
(i) there is an at most countable set of complex numbers $\left\{\xi_{k}\right\}_{k}, \xi_{k} \in \mathbb{C}_{\text {right }}$ with $\Im \mathrm{m}\left(\xi_{k}\right) \geq 0$ satisfying the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varkappa:=4 \sum_{k} \frac{\Re \mathrm{e}\left(\xi_{k}\right)}{\left|\xi_{k}\right|^{2}} \leq 1 \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) there is a Borel measure $\nu$ defined on $\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{+}=[0, \infty)$ obeying $\nu(\{0\})=0$ and

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} \frac{1}{1+t^{2}} d \nu(t)<\infty
$$

such that the limit $\beta:=\lim _{x \rightarrow+0} \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} \frac{1}{x^{2}+t^{2}} d \nu(t)$ exists and satisfies the condition $\beta \leq 1-\varkappa$;
(iii) the Kato function $f$ admits the representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=D(x) \exp \left\{-\frac{2 x}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} \frac{1}{x^{2}+t^{2}} d \nu(t)\right\} e^{-\alpha x}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}_{+} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha:=1-\varkappa-\beta$ and $D(x)$ is a Blaschke-type product given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(x):=\prod_{k} \frac{x^{2}-2 x \Re \mathrm{e}\left(\xi_{k}\right)+\left|\xi_{k}\right|^{2}}{x^{2}+2 x \Re \mathrm{e}\left(\xi_{k}\right)+\left|\xi_{k}\right|^{2}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}_{+} . \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The factor $D(x)$ is absent if the set $\left\{\xi_{k}\right\}_{k}$ is empty; in that case we set $\varkappa:=0$.
Conversely, if a real function $f$ admits the representation (5.2) such that the assumptions (i) and (ii) are satisfied as well as $\alpha+\varkappa+\beta=1$ holds, then $f$ is a holomorphic Kato function and its holomorphic extension to $\mathbb{C}_{\text {right }}$ is given by

$$
f(z)=D(z) \exp \left\{-\frac{2 z}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} \frac{1}{z^{2}+t^{2}} d \nu(t)\right\} e^{-\alpha z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_{\text {right }}
$$

Proof. If $f$ is a holomorphic Kato function, then $G(z):=f(-i z), z \in \mathbb{C}_{+}$, belongs to $H^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{C}_{+}\right)$. We have $f(z)=G(i z), z \in \mathbb{C}_{\text {right }}$, and taking into account Section C of [15] we find that if $G(\cdot) \in H^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{C}_{+}\right)$, then there is a real number $\gamma \in[0,2 \pi)$, a sequence of complex numbers $\left\{z_{k}\right\}_{k}, z_{k} \in \mathbb{C}_{+}$, satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\Im m\left(z_{k}\right)}{\left|i+z_{k}\right|^{2}}<\infty \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

a Borel measure $\nu$ defined on $\mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{1+t^{2}} d \nu(t)<\infty
$$

and a real number $\alpha \geq 0$ such that $G(\cdot)$ admits the factorization

$$
G(z)=e^{i \gamma} B(z) \exp \left\{-\frac{i}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\frac{1}{z-t}+\frac{t}{1+t^{2}}\right) d \nu(t)\right\} e^{i a z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_{+},
$$

where $B(z)$ is the Blaschke product given by

$$
B(z):=\prod_{k}\left(e^{i \alpha_{k}} \frac{z-z_{k}}{z-\overline{z_{k}}}\right), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_{+}
$$

and $\left\{\alpha_{k}\right\}_{k}$ is a sequence of real numbers $\alpha_{k} \in[0,2 \pi)$ determined by the requirement

$$
e^{i \alpha_{k}} \frac{i-z_{k}}{i-\overline{z_{k}}} \geq 0 .
$$

The sequence $\left\{z_{k}\right\}_{k}$ coincides with the zeros of $G(z)$ counting multiplicities. The quantities $\gamma,\left\{z_{k}\right\}_{k}, \nu, a$ are uniquely determined by $G(\cdot)$.

Using the relation $f(z)=G(i z), z \in \mathbb{C}_{\text {right }}$, one gets from here a factorization of the holomorphic Kato function,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=e^{i \gamma} B(i z) \exp \left\{-\frac{i}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\frac{1}{i z-t}+\frac{t}{1+t^{2}}\right) d \nu(t)\right\} e^{-\alpha z}, \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$z \in \mathbb{C}_{\text {right }}$. Setting next $\xi_{k}=-i z_{k} \in \mathbb{C}_{\text {right }}$ the condition (5.4) takes the form

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\Re \mathrm{e}\left(\xi_{k}\right)}{\left|1+\xi_{k}\right|^{2}}<\infty
$$

and the Blaschke product can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(z):=B(i z)=\prod_{k}\left(e^{i \alpha_{k}} \frac{z-\xi_{k}}{z+\overline{\xi_{k}}}\right), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_{\mathrm{right}} \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sequence of real numbers $\left\{\alpha_{k}\right\}_{k}$ is determined now by

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{i \alpha_{k}} \frac{1-\xi_{k}}{1+\overline{\xi_{k}}} \geq 0 \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The complex numbers $\xi_{k}$ are the zeros of $f(\cdot)$.
Since the Kato function has to be real on $\mathbb{R}_{+}$we easily find that the condition $f(z)=\overline{f(\bar{z})}, z \in \mathbb{C}_{\text {right }}$, has to be satisfied. Hence $\xi_{k}$ and $\overline{\xi_{k}}$ are simultaneously zeros of $f(z)$ and the Blaschke-type product $D(z)$ always contains the factors $e^{i \alpha_{k} \frac{z-\xi_{k}}{z-\overline{\xi_{k}}}}$ and $e^{-i \alpha_{k} \frac{z-\overline{\xi_{k}}}{z-\xi_{k}}}$ simultaneously. This allows us to put $D(z)$ into the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(z)=\prod_{k} \frac{z^{2}-2 z \Re \mathrm{e}\left(\xi_{k}\right)+\left|\xi_{k}\right|^{2}}{z^{2}+2 z \Re \mathrm{e}\left(\xi_{k}\right)+\left|\xi_{k}\right|^{2}} \prod_{l} \frac{z-\eta_{l}}{z+\eta_{l}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_{\mathrm{right}} \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Re \mathrm{e}\left(\xi_{k}\right)>0, \Im \mathrm{~m}\left(\xi_{k}\right)>0$ for complex conjugated pairs and $\eta_{l}>0$ for the remaining real zeros. Hence we have $D(z)=\overline{D(\bar{z})}$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}_{\text {right }}$. Using this relation we find that

$$
e^{i \gamma-g(z)}=e^{-i \gamma-\tilde{g}(z)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_{\text {right }},
$$

for $z \in \mathbb{C}_{\text {right }}$ where

$$
g(z):=\frac{i}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1+i z t}{i z-t} d \mu(t) \quad \text { and } \quad \widetilde{g}(z):=\overline{g(\bar{z})}=\frac{i}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1-i z t}{i z+t} d \mu(t)
$$

and $d \mu(t)=\left(1+t^{2}\right)^{-1} d \nu(t)$. Since $g(1)=\widetilde{g}(1)$ we find $e^{2 i \gamma}=1$ which yields $\gamma=0$ or $\gamma=\pi$. In both cases we have

$$
e^{-g(z)}=e^{-\widetilde{g}(z)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_{\text {right }} .
$$

By $g(1)=\widetilde{g}(1)$ we find that $g(z)=\widetilde{g}(z), z \in \mathbb{C}_{\text {right }}$. Setting $\widetilde{\mu}(X):=\mu(-X)$ for any Borel set $X$ of $\mathbb{R}$ we find

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1+i z t}{i z-t} d \mu(t)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1+i z t}{i z-t} d \widetilde{\mu}(t), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_{\text {right }} .
$$

Using

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1+i z t}{i z-t} d \mu(t)=\left(1-z^{2}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{i z-t} d \mu(t)-\int_{\mathbb{R}} d \mu(t)
$$

and

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1+i z t}{i z-t} d \widetilde{\mu}(t)=\left(1-z^{2}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{i z-t} d \widetilde{\mu}(t)-\int_{\mathbb{R}} d \widetilde{\mu}(t)
$$

as well as the relation $\int_{\mathbb{R}} d \mu(t)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} d \widetilde{\mu}(t)$ we find

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{z-t} d \mu(t)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{z-t} d \widetilde{\mu}(t), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_{\text {right }}
$$

which yields $\mu=\widetilde{\mu}$. Hence the Borel measure obeys $\mu(X)=\mu(-X)$ for any Borel set $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and this in turn implies $\nu(X)=\nu(-X)$ for any Borel set. Using this property we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\frac{1}{i z-t}+\frac{t}{1+t^{2}}\right) d \nu(t)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1+i z t}{i z-t} d \mu(t) \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{i z} \mu(\{0\})+\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}}\left(\frac{1+i z t}{i z-t}+\frac{1-i z t}{i z+t}\right) d \mu(t), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_{\text {right }},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathbb{R}_{+}=(0, \infty)$. In this way we find

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\frac{1}{i z-t}+\frac{t}{1+t^{2}}\right) d \nu(t)=\frac{1}{i z} \nu(\{0\})-2 i z \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} \frac{1}{z^{2}+t^{2}} d \nu(t)
$$

for $z \in \mathbb{C}_{\text {right }}$. Summing up we find that a holomorphic Kato function admits the representation

$$
f(x)=e^{i \gamma} D(x) \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{\pi x} \nu(\{0\})-\frac{2 x}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} \frac{1}{x^{2}+t^{2}} d \nu(t)\right\} e^{-\alpha x},
$$

$x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$, where $D(z)$ is given by (5.8). Since $f(x) \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$, one gets that $\gamma=0$ and $D(x) \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$, which means that the real zeros of $f(z)$ are of even multiplicity. Consequently, the Blaschke-type product $D(z)$ is of the form

$$
D(z)=\prod_{k} \frac{z^{2}-2 z \Re \mathrm{e}\left(\xi_{k}\right)+\left|\xi_{k}\right|^{2}}{z^{2}+2 z \Re \mathrm{e}\left(\xi_{k}\right)+\left|\xi_{k}\right|^{2}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_{\text {right }} .
$$

We note that the inequality $0 \leq f(x) \leq 1, x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$, is valid.
Next we have to satisfy the conditions $f(0):=\lim _{x \rightarrow+0} f(x)=1$ and $f^{\prime}(0)=\lim _{x \rightarrow+0} \frac{f(x)-1}{x}=-1$. Firstly we note that

$$
f(x) \leq \exp \left\{-\frac{\nu(\{0\})}{\pi x}\right\}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}
$$

If $\nu(\{0\}) \neq 0$, then it follows that $f(0)=0$ which contradicts the assumption $f(0)=1$, hence $\nu(\{0\})=0$. Next we set $D_{k}(x):=\frac{x^{2}-2 x \Re e\left(\xi_{k}\right)+\left|\xi_{k}\right|^{2}}{x^{2}+2 x \Re e\left(\xi_{k}\right)+\left|\xi_{k}\right|^{2}}, x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$. Since $0 \leq D_{k}(x) \leq 1, x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1-f(x) \geq 1-D_{1}(x)+D_{1}\left(1-D_{2}(x)\right)+D_{1}(x) D_{2}(x)\left(1-D_{3}(x)\right)+\cdots \\
& \quad+\prod_{k=1}^{n} D_{k}(x)\left(1-\prod_{k=n+1} D_{k}(x) \exp \left\{-\frac{2 x}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} \frac{1}{x^{2}+t^{2}} d \nu(t)\right\} e^{-\alpha x}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$and $n=1,2, \ldots$. In this way we find the estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1-f(x) \geq 1-D_{1}(x)+D_{1}(x)\left(1-D_{2}(x)\right)+ \\
& \quad D_{1}(x) D_{2}(x)\left(1-D_{3}(x)\right)+\cdots+\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} D_{k}(x)\left(1-D_{n}(x)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$and $n=1,2 \ldots$ This yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1-f(x)}{x} \geq \frac{1-D_{1}(x)}{x}+D_{1}(x) \frac{1-D_{2}(x)}{x}+ \\
& \quad D_{1}(x) D_{2}(x) \frac{1-D_{3}(x)}{x}+\cdots+\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} D_{k}(x) \frac{1-D_{n}(x)}{x}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$and $n=1,2 \ldots$, and since $\lim _{x \rightarrow+0} D_{k}(x)=1$ and

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow+0} \frac{1-D_{k}(x)}{x}=4 \frac{\Re \mathrm{e}\left(\xi_{k}\right)}{\left|\xi_{k}\right|^{2}}
$$

for $k=1,2, \ldots$, we immediately obtain (5.1). In particular, we infer that the limit $D^{\prime}(0):=\lim _{x \rightarrow+0} \frac{D(x)-1}{x}=-\varkappa$ exists. Furthermore, we note that condition (5.1) implies (5.6). Furthermore, we have

$$
1-f(x) \geq 1-\exp \left\{-\frac{2 x}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} \frac{1}{x^{2}+t^{2}} d \nu(t)\right\}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}
$$

which yields

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow+0} \exp \left\{-\frac{2 x}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} \frac{1}{x^{2}+t^{2}} d \nu(t)\right\}=1
$$

or

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow+0} \frac{2 x}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} \frac{1}{x^{2}+t^{2}} d \nu(t)=0
$$

Moreover, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1-f(x)}{x} \\
& \quad \geq \exp \left\{-\frac{2 x}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} \frac{1}{x^{2}+t^{2}} d \nu(t)\right\} \frac{\exp \left\{\frac{2 x}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} \frac{1}{x^{2}+t^{2}} d \nu(t)\right\}-1}{x} \\
& \quad \geq \exp \left\{-\frac{2 x}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} \frac{1}{x^{2}+t^{2}} d \nu(t)\right\} \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} \frac{1}{x^{2}+t^{2}} d \nu(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

which yields $1 \geq \limsup \sin _{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} \frac{1}{x^{2}+t^{2}} d \nu(t)$. However, the function $p(x):=\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} \frac{1}{x^{2}+t^{2}} d \nu(t), x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$, is decreasing which implies the existence of $\beta:=\lim _{x \rightarrow+0} \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} \frac{1}{x^{2}+t^{2}} d \nu(t)$. Summing up these considerations we have found

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(0)=\lim _{x \rightarrow+0} \frac{f(x)-1}{x}=-\varkappa-\beta-\alpha=-1 \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which completes the proof of the necessity of the conditions. The converse is obvious.

### 5.2 On the existence of $f(i y)$ everywhere

Besides the fact that $f(x)$ has to be a holomorphic Kato function one needs that the limit $f(i y):=\lim _{x \rightarrow+0} f(x+i y)$ exist for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$. First we note that the limit $f(i y)$ exists for a.e. $y \in \mathbb{R}$. This is a simple consequence of the fact that the function $G(z):=f(-i z), z \in \mathbb{C}_{\text {right }}$, belongs to $H^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{C}_{+}\right)$: for such functions the limit $G(x):=\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow+0} G(x+i \epsilon)$ exists for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}$ which immediately yields that $f(i y)$ exists for a.e. $y \in \mathbb{R}$. To begin with, let us ask about the existence of the limit $|f|(i y):=\lim _{x \rightarrow+0}|f(x+i y)|$. For this purpose we note that the measure $\nu$ of Theorem 5.1 admits the unique decomposition $\nu=\nu_{s}+\nu_{a c}$ where $\nu_{s}$ is singular and $\nu_{a c}$ is absolutely continuous, and furthermore, the measure $\nu_{a c}(\cdot)$ can be represented as

$$
d \nu_{a c}(t)=h(t) d t
$$

where the function $h(t)$ is non-negative and obeys

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} h(t) \frac{d t}{1+t^{2}}<\infty
$$

Proposition 5.2 Let $f(\cdot)$ be a holomorphic Kato function and let $\Delta$ be an open interval of $\mathbb{R}$. The limit $|f|(i y)=\lim _{x \rightarrow+0}|f(x+i y)|$ exists for every $y \in \Delta$, is continuous and different from zero on $\Delta$ if and only if the limit

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow+0}|D(x+i y)|=1 \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

exist for every $y \in \Delta, \nu_{s}(\Delta)=0$ and the extended weight function $\widetilde{h}(t):=$ $h(|t|), t \in \mathbb{R}$, is continuous on $\Delta$.

In particular, the limit $|f|(i y)$ exists for every $y \in \mathbb{R}$, is continuous and different from zero on $\mathbb{R}$ if and only if the limit (5.10) exists for every $y \in \mathbb{R}$, $\nu_{s} \equiv 0$ and the extended function $\widetilde{h}(\cdot)$ is continuous on $\mathbb{R}$.
Proof. The measure $\nu$ of Theorem 5.1 is given on $[0, \infty)$. We extend it to the real axis $\mathbb{R}$ setting $\nu(X):=\nu(-X)$ for any Borel set $X \subseteq(-\infty, 0)$. Using $\nu(X):=\nu(-X)$ we obtain from (5.5) and (5.6) the representation

$$
\begin{gathered}
|f(x+i y)|=|D(x+i y)| \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{x}{x^{2}+(y+t)^{2}} d \nu(t)\right\} e^{-a x}, \\
z=x+i y \in \mathbb{C}_{\text {right }}, \text { or } \\
|f(x+i y)|=|D(x+i y)| \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{x}{x^{2}+(y-t)^{2}} d \nu(t)\right\} e^{-a x},
\end{gathered}
$$

$z=x+i y \in \mathbb{C}_{\text {right }} ;$ in this way we find

$$
-\log (|f(x+i y)|)=-\log (|D(x+i y)|)+\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{x}{x^{2}+(y-t)^{2}} d \nu(t)+\alpha x
$$

for $z=x+i y \in \mathbb{C}_{\text {rigth }}$. Since one has $\lim _{x \rightarrow+0}|D(x+i y)|=1$ for a.e. $y \in \mathbb{R}$ we infer that

$$
-\lim _{x \rightarrow+0} \log (|f(x+i y)|)=\lim _{x \rightarrow+0} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{x}{x^{2}+(y-t)^{2}} d \nu(t)
$$

for a.e. $y \in \mathbb{R}$. Since

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow+0} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{x}{x^{2}+(y-t)^{2}} d \nu(t)=\widetilde{h}(y)
$$

holds for almost all $y \in \mathbb{R}$ we obtain $-\log (|f|(i y))=\widetilde{h}(y)$ for a.e. $y \in \mathbb{R}$. By assumption $|f|(i y)$ is continuous and different from zero on $\Delta$. Hence the extended weight function $\widetilde{h}(y)$ can be assumed to be continuous on $\Delta$. However, if $\widetilde{h}(\cdot)$ is continuous on $\Delta$, then one has

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow+0} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{x}{x^{2}+(y-t)^{2}} \widetilde{h}(t) d t=\widetilde{h}(y)
$$

for each $y \in \Delta$ which means that

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow+0}\left\{-\log (|D(x+i y)|)+\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{x}{x^{2}+(y-t)^{2}} d \nu_{s}(t)\right\}=0
$$

for each $y \in \Delta$. Since $-\log (|D(x+i y)|) \geq 0$ we find $\lim _{x \rightarrow+0} \log (\mid D(x+$ iy) $\mid)=0$ and

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow+0} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{x}{x^{2}+(y-t)^{2}} d \nu_{s}(t)=0
$$

for each $y \in \Delta$. Taking into account [19] one can conclude that the symmetric derivative $\nu_{s}^{\prime}(y)$,

$$
\nu_{s}^{\prime}(y):=\lim _{\epsilon} \frac{\nu_{s}((y-\epsilon, y+\epsilon))}{2 \epsilon}
$$

exists and obeys $\nu_{s}^{\prime}(y)=0$ for every $y \in \Delta$. If $\nu_{s}\left(\left\{y_{0}\right\}\right)>0$ for $y_{0} \in \Delta$, then

$$
0=\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow+0} \frac{\nu_{s}\left(\left(y_{0}-\epsilon, y_{0}+\epsilon\right)\right)}{2 \epsilon} \geq \lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow+0} \frac{\nu_{s}\left(\left\{y_{0}\right\}\right)}{2 \epsilon}
$$

which yields $\nu_{s}\left(\left\{y_{0}\right\}\right)=0$, hence $\nu(\{y\})=0$ for any $y \in \Delta$. This means that $\nu_{s}$ has to be singular continuous. Let us introduce the function $\theta(t):=$ $\nu_{s}([0, t)), t \in[0, t)$. The function $\nu_{s}(t)$ is continuous and monotone. From $\nu_{s}^{\prime}(y)=0$ we get that the derivative of $\theta^{\prime}(y)$ exists and $\theta^{\prime}(y)=0$ for each $y \in \Delta$. Hence the function is constant which yields that $\nu_{s}(\Delta)=0$.

Conversely, let us assume that $\widetilde{h}(\cdot)$ is continuous on $\Delta, \nu_{s}(\Delta)=0$, and condition (5.10) holds. Then we have the representation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |f(x+i y)|=|D(x+i y)| \\
& \quad \times \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{x}{x^{2}+(y-t)^{2}} d \nu_{s}(t)-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{x}{x^{2}+(y-t)^{2}} \widetilde{h}(t) d t\right\} e^{-a x}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $y \in \Delta$, then $\lim _{x \rightarrow+0} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{x}{x^{2}+(y-t)^{2}} d \nu_{s}(t)=0$. Since $\widetilde{h}(\cdot)$ is continuous on the interval $\Delta$ we have $\lim _{x \rightarrow+0} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{x}{x^{2}+(y-t)^{2}} \widetilde{h}(t) d y=\widetilde{h}(y)$ for each $y \in \Delta$. Thus we find $\lim _{x \rightarrow+0}|f(x+i y)|=e^{-\widetilde{h}(y)}$ for each $y \in \Delta$ and the limit $|f|(i y)$ is continuous on $\Delta$. Since $\widetilde{h}(y)$ is finite for each $y \in \Delta$ the limit $|f|(i y)$ is different from zero for each $y \in \Delta$.

Conditions of the type appearing in the proposition were discussed in [20]. In particular, it turns out that the condition (5.10) is satisfied if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow+0} \frac{\tau(i y, x)}{x}=0 \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for every $y \in \Delta$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau(i y, t):=\sum_{\left|i y-\xi_{k}\right| \leq t} \Re \mathrm{e}\left(\xi_{k}\right), \quad y \in \mathbb{R}_{+}, \quad t>0 \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that the validity of the condition (5.11) is related to the distribution of zeros in $\mathbb{C}_{\text {right }}$. Of course, if there is only a finite number of zeros $\xi_{k}$, then condition (5.11) is satisfied.

Theorem 5.3 Let $f(\cdot)$ is a holomorphic Kato function and let $\Delta$ be an open interval of $\mathbb{R}$. The limit $f(i y)=\lim _{x \rightarrow+0} f(x+i y)$ exists for every $y \in \Delta$, is locally Hölder continuous and different from zero on $\Delta$ if and only if the zeros of $f(\cdot)$ do not accumulate to any point of $i \Delta:=\{i y: y \in \Delta\}, \nu_{s}(\Delta)=0$ and the extended weight function $\widetilde{h}:=h(|t|), t \in \mathbb{R}$, is locally Hölder continuous on $\Delta$.

In particular, the limit $f(i y)$ exists for every $y \in \mathbb{R}$, is locally Hölder continuous and different from zero on $\mathbb{R}$ if and only if $f(\cdot)$ has only a finite number of zeros in every bounded open set of $\mathbb{C}_{\text {right }}, \nu_{s} \equiv 0$ and the extended weight function $\widetilde{h}(\cdot)$ is locally Hölder continuous on $\mathbb{R}$.

Proof. We note that the existence of the limit $f(i y)=\lim _{x \rightarrow+0} f(x+i y)$ for each $y \in \Delta$ yields the existence of $|f|(i y)=\lim _{x \rightarrow+0}|f(x+i y)|$ and the relation $|f(i y)|=|f|(i y)$ for each $y \in \Delta$. Hence $|f|(\cdot)$ is continuous. Applying Proposition 5.2 we get that condition (5.10) is satisfied, $\nu_{s}(\Delta)=0$ and $\widetilde{h}(\cdot)$ is continuous. In fact, one has $h(y)=-\log (|f|(i y)), y \in \Delta$. This yields that the function $\widetilde{h}(\cdot)$ is locally Hölder continuous on $\Delta$ as well. If $\widetilde{h}(\cdot)$ is locally Hölder continuous on $\Delta$, then the limit

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi(y):=\lim _{x \rightarrow+0} \frac{i}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\frac{1}{i z-t}+\frac{t}{1+t^{2}}\right) d \nu(t) \\
& =\lim _{x \rightarrow+0}\left\{\frac{i}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\frac{1}{i z-t}+\frac{t}{1+t^{2}}\right) d \nu_{s}(t)+\frac{i}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\frac{1}{i z-t}+\frac{t}{1+t^{2}}\right) \widetilde{h}(t) d t\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$z=x+i y \in \mathbb{C}_{\text {right }}$, exist for every $y \in \Delta$. Indeed, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{i}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\frac{1}{i z-t}+\frac{t}{1+t^{2}}\right) d \nu_{s}(t)  \tag{5.13}\\
& \quad=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{x}{x^{2}+(y-t)^{2}} d \nu_{s}(t)-\frac{i}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\frac{y-t}{x^{2}+(y-t)^{2}}+\frac{t}{1+t^{2}}\right) d \nu_{s}(t)
\end{align*}
$$

where we have used $\nu_{s}(-X)=\nu_{s}(X)$. Taking into account that $\nu_{s}(\Delta)=0$ we immediately get from the representation (5.13) that the limit

$$
\varphi_{s}(y):=\lim _{x \rightarrow+0} \frac{i}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\frac{1}{i z-t}+\frac{t}{1+t^{2}}\right) d \nu_{s}(t)=-\frac{i}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1+y t}{y-t} \frac{d \nu_{s}(t)}{1+t^{2}},
$$

$z=x+i y \in \mathbb{C}_{\text {right }}$, exist for each $y \in \Delta$. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{i}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\frac{1}{i z-t}+\frac{t}{1+t^{2}}\right) \widetilde{h}(t) d t \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{x}{x^{2}+(y-t)^{2}} \widetilde{h}(t) d t-\frac{i}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\frac{y-t}{x^{2}+(y-t)^{2}}+\frac{t}{1+t^{2}}\right) \widetilde{h}(t) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

we infer that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{a c}(y) & :=\lim _{x \rightarrow+0} \frac{i}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\frac{1}{i z-t}+\frac{t}{1+t^{2}}\right) \widetilde{h}(t) d t \\
& =\widetilde{h}(y)+\lim _{x \rightarrow+0} \frac{i}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\frac{y-t}{x^{2}+(y-t)^{2}}+\frac{t}{1+t^{2}}\right) \widetilde{h}(t) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

$z=x+i y \in \mathbb{C}_{\text {right }}$. If $\widetilde{h}(\cdot)$ is locally Hölder continuous on $\Delta$, then the limit

$$
\widetilde{\varphi}_{a c}(y):=\lim _{x \rightarrow+0} \frac{i}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\frac{y-t}{x^{2}+(y-t)^{2}}+\frac{t}{1+t^{2}}\right) \widetilde{h}(t) d t
$$

exists for each $y \in \Delta$, and consequently, the limit $\varphi(y)=\varphi_{s}(y)+\varphi_{a c}(y)$ exist for every $y \in \Delta$. Using the representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp \left\{\frac{i}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\frac{1}{i z-t}+\frac{t}{1+t^{2}}\right) \widetilde{h}(t) d t\right\} f(x+i y) e^{\alpha z}=D(x+i y) \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $z=x+i y \in \mathbb{C}_{\text {right }}$ we find the existence of the limit

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(i y):=\lim _{x \rightarrow+0} D(x+i y) \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $y \in \Delta$. Taking into account (5.14) we find that $D(i y)$ is continuous on $\Delta$. Using the conformal mapping $\mathbb{C}_{\text {right }} \ni z \longrightarrow \frac{1-z}{1+z} \in \mathbb{D}:=\{z \in \mathbb{C}$ : $|z|<1\}$ which maps $\mathbb{C}_{\text {right }}$ onto $\mathbb{D}$ and setting

$$
B(z):=D\left((1-z)(1+z)^{-1}\right), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

one defines a Blaschke product in $\mathbb{D}$. The open set $\Delta$ transforms into an open set $\delta$ of $\mathbb{T}:=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|=1\}$. By the Lindelöf sectorial theorem [18] we get that $B(z)$ admits radial boundary values for each point of $\delta$. The boundary function $B\left(e^{i \theta}\right):=\lim _{r \rightarrow 1} B\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)$ admits the representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
B\left(e^{i \theta}\right)=D(-i \tan (\theta / 2)), \quad e^{i \theta} \in \delta \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $D(i y)$ is continuous on $\Delta$ the Blaschke product $B\left(e^{i \theta}\right)$ is continuous on $\delta$. If $e^{i \theta_{0}} \in \delta$ is an accumulation point of zeros of, then for every $\epsilon>0$ the set $\left\{B\left(e^{i \theta}:\left|\theta-\theta_{0}\right|<\epsilon\right\}\right.$ contains $\mathbb{T}$, see [4, Chapter 5] or [5, Remark 4.A.3]. Since $B\left(e^{i \theta}\right)$ is continuous on $\delta$, this is impossible which shows that $e^{i \theta_{0}}$ is not an accumulation point of zeros of $B(z)$. Hence no point of $\delta$ is an
accumulation point which yields that no point of $\Delta$ is an accumulation point of zeros of $f(\cdot)$.

Conversely, let us assume that no point of $i \Delta$ is an accumulation point of zeros of $f(\cdot)$. This yields that no point of $\delta$ is an accumulation point of zeros of $B(z)$. Since $\inf _{k \in \mathbb{N}}\left|e^{i \theta}-z_{k}\right|>0$ for any $e^{i \theta} \in \delta$ by a result of Frostman [10] one gets that the radial boundary values $B\left(e^{i \theta}\right)=\lim _{r \rightarrow 1} B\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)$ exist for each $e^{i \theta} \in \delta$. Using [5, Remark 4.A.2] we get that $B\left(e^{i \theta}\right)$ is continuous on $\delta$. Applying again the Lindelöf sectorial theorem [18] we find that $D(i y)$ exists for each $y \in \Delta$ and is continuous.

Since $\nu_{s}(\Delta)=0$ the limit $\varphi_{s}(\cdot)$ exists for every $y \in \Delta$. Because $\widetilde{h}(\cdot)$ is locally Hölder continuous on $\Delta$ we conclude that the limit $\varphi_{a c}(y)$ exist for every $y \in \Delta$. Hence the limit $\varphi(y)$ exists for every $y \in \Delta$ and

$$
S(i y):=\lim _{x \rightarrow+0} \exp \left\{-\frac{i}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\frac{1}{i z-t}+\frac{t}{1+t^{2}}\right) d \nu(t)\right\} e^{-\alpha z}
$$

$z=x+i y \in \mathbb{C}_{\text {right }}$, exists for every $y \in \Delta$. In this way we have demonstrated the existence of $f(i y)$ and the representation $f(i y)=D(i y) S(i y) e^{-i a y}$ for each $y \in \Delta$. Using this representation we get that $f(i y)$ is locally Hölder continuous on $\Delta$ and different from zero.

If the limit $f(i y)$ exist for each $y \in \mathbb{R}$, is locally Hölder continuous and different from zero, then in view of the first part no point of the imaginary axis is an accumulation point of zeros of $f(\cdot)$. Therefore, any rectangle of the form $\mathcal{O}:=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}_{\text {right }}:|\Im m(z)|<y_{0}, \quad 0<\Re \mathrm{e}(z)<x_{0}\right\}$ contains only a finite number of zeros. Otherwise, it would be exists an imaginary accumulation point. Hence any bounded open sets contains only a finite number of zeros. From the first part it follows that $\widetilde{h}(\cdot)$ is locally Hölder continuous on $\mathbb{R}$.

Conversely, if any open set contains only a finite number of zeros, then, in particular, the rectangle of the form $\mathcal{O}$ contains only a finite number of zeros. Hence imaginary accumulation points do not exists. By the first part it immediately follows that $f(\cdot)$ is locally Hölder continuous and different from from zero on $\mathbb{R}$.

### 5.3 Examples

1. If the holomorphic Kato function $f(\cdot)$ has no zeros in $\mathbb{C}_{\text {right }}$ and $\nu \equiv 0$, then $f(z)=e^{-z}, z \in \mathbb{C}_{\text {right }}$, where $\alpha=1$ follows from condition (5.9).
2. If the holomorphic Kato function $f(\cdot)$ has zeros and the measure $\nu \equiv 0$, then $f(\cdot)$ is of the form $f(z)=D(z) e^{-\alpha z}$, where the Blaschke-type product $D(z)$ is given by (5.3). In particular, if $n=1$ we find the representation

$$
f(z)=\frac{z^{2}-2 z \Re \mathrm{e}(\xi)+|\xi|^{2}}{z^{2}+2 z \Re \mathrm{e}(\xi)+|\xi|^{2}} e^{-\alpha z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_{\text {right }}
$$

where $\xi \in \mathbb{C}_{\text {right }}$ such that

$$
\alpha+4 \frac{\Re \mathrm{e}(\xi)}{|\xi|^{2}}=1 .
$$

This gives the representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=\frac{z^{2}-2 \eta\left(z-\frac{2}{1-\alpha}\right)}{z^{2}+2 \eta\left(z+\frac{2}{1-\alpha}\right)} e^{-\alpha z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_{\text {right }} \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

$0<\eta \leq \frac{4}{1-\alpha}, 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, where we have denoted $\xi=\eta+i \tau, \eta>0$, and $\tau=\sqrt{\frac{4}{(1-\alpha)^{2}}-\left(\eta-\frac{2}{1-\alpha}\right)^{2}}$. The limit $f(i y):=\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow+0} f(\epsilon+i y)$, $y \in \mathbb{R}$, exists for each $y \geq 0$ and is given by

$$
f(i y)=\frac{y^{2}+4 \eta \frac{1}{1-\alpha}+2 i \eta y}{y^{2}-4 \eta \frac{1}{1-\alpha}+2 i \eta y} e^{-i \alpha y}=: \phi(y), \quad y \in \mathbb{R}
$$

We note that $\phi(\cdot)$ is admissible.
3. If the holomorphic Kato function $f(z)$ has no zeros and the measure $\nu$ is atomar, then $f(z)$ admits the representation

$$
f(z)=\exp \left\{-\frac{2 z}{\pi} \sum_{l} \frac{1}{z^{2}+s_{l}^{2}} \nu\left(\left\{s_{l}\right\}\right)\right\} e^{-\alpha z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_{\text {right }}
$$

where $\left\{s_{l}\right\}_{l}$ the point where $\nu\left(\left\{s_{l}\right\}\right) \neq 0$. In the particular case when $d \nu(t)=c \delta(t-s) d t, s>0$, we have

$$
f(z)=\exp \left\{-\frac{2 z c}{\pi} \frac{1}{z^{2}+s^{2}}\right\} e^{-\alpha z}
$$

and $\alpha+\frac{2 c}{\pi} \frac{1}{s^{2}}=1$ which yields $c=\frac{1}{2}(1-\alpha) \pi s^{2}$ and

$$
f(z):=\exp \left\{-z(1-\alpha) \frac{s^{2}}{z^{2}+s^{2}}\right\} e^{-\alpha z}
$$

The limit $f(i y):=\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow+0} f(\epsilon+i y), y \in \mathbb{R}$, exists for all $y \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{-s, s\}$ and is given by

$$
f(i y)=\exp \left\{i y(1-\alpha) \frac{s^{2}}{y^{2}-s^{2}}\right\} e^{-i \alpha y}:=\phi(y), \quad y \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{-s, s\} .
$$

The function $\phi(y)$ is admissible.
4. If the holomorphic Kato function $f(z)$ has no zeros and the measure $\nu$ is absolutely continuous, that is, $d \nu(t)=h(t) d t, h(t)\left(1+t^{2}\right)^{-1} \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$, then $f(z)$ admits the representation

$$
f(z)=\exp \left\{-\frac{2 z}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{h(t)}{z^{2}+t^{2}} d t\right\} e^{-\alpha z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_{\text {right }}
$$

such that

$$
\alpha+\lim _{x \rightarrow+0} \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{h(t)}{x^{2}+t^{2}} d t=1
$$

In particular, if $f(x)=\left(1+\frac{x}{k}\right)^{-k}, x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$, then the holomorphic continuation $f(z)=\left(1+\frac{z}{k}\right)^{-k}$ has no zeros which means that in the representation (5.2) the Blaschke-type product $D(x)$ is absent. Moreover, the limit $f(i y)=\left(1+\frac{i y}{k}\right)^{-k}$ exists for all $y \in \mathbb{R}_{+},|f(i y)|$ is locally Hölder continuous and different from zero on $\mathbb{R}_{+}$. Taking into account Theorem 5.3 this yields the representation

$$
f(z)=\exp \left\{-\frac{k z}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} \frac{1}{z^{2}+t^{2}} \ln \left(1+\frac{t^{2}}{k^{2}}\right) d t\right\} e^{-\alpha z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_{\text {right }} .
$$

A straightforward computation shows that

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow+0} \frac{k}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} \frac{1}{x^{2}+t^{2}} \ln \left(1+\frac{t^{2}}{k^{2}}\right) d t=1
$$

which yields $\alpha=0$, and consequently, we have

$$
f(z)=\exp \left\{-\frac{k z}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} \frac{1}{z^{2}+t^{2}} \ln \left(1+\frac{t^{2}}{k^{2}}\right) d t\right\}
$$

for $z \in \mathbb{C}_{\text {right }}$.
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