

Interpretations of c_1

We've had 2 different definitions of c_1 so far.

Given a hol. line bundle $L \rightarrow X$ we previously defined $c_1(L)$ using the exponential sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^X \rightarrow 0$$

inducing

$$H^1(X, \mathcal{O}^X) \rightarrow H^2(X, \underline{\mathbb{Z}})$$

"
Pic(X)

For C^∞ bundles, can do similarly: let \mathcal{C}^X be sheaf of $C^\infty f$'s
" " " invertible $C^\infty f$'s

Then $H^1(M, \mathcal{C}^X)$ parameterizes \simeq classes of C^∞ line bundles.

Exp. seq.

$$0 \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^X \rightarrow 0$$

induces

$$\dots \rightarrow \cancel{H^1(M, \mathcal{C})} \rightarrow H^1(M, \mathcal{C}^X) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^2(M, \underline{\mathbb{Z}}) \rightarrow \cancel{H^2(M, \mathcal{C})} \rightarrow \dots$$

i.e. $H^1(M, \mathcal{C}^X) \simeq H^2(M, \underline{\mathbb{Z}})$.

Prop L C^∞ l.b. over M : $\delta(L) = -c_1(L)$.

Pf

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \mathbb{C} & \rightarrow & \check{C}^0(\mathbb{C}) & \rightarrow & \check{C}^1(\mathbb{C}) & \rightarrow & \check{C}^2(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\delta(L)} \\
 \downarrow & & & & \textcircled{4} \check{C}^1(\Omega^1) & \rightarrow & \textcircled{5} \check{C}^2(\Omega^2) \\
 \Omega^0 & & & & \downarrow & & \\
 \downarrow & & \textcircled{2} \check{C}^0(\Omega^1) & \rightarrow & \textcircled{3} \check{C}^1(\Omega^1) & & \\
 \Omega^1 & & \downarrow d & & & & \\
 \downarrow & & \textcircled{1} \check{C}^0(\Omega^2) & & & & \\
 \Omega^2 & \xrightarrow{c_1(L)} & & & & & \\
 \downarrow & & & & & & \\
 \Omega^3 & & & & & &
 \end{array}$$

[\check{C} = Čech cocycles]

Choose a conn. ∇ in L , and trivialize over U_i w/ transition func $\psi_{ij} = e^{2\pi i \varphi_{ij}}$.

Then:

$$c_1(L) \rightarrow \frac{i}{2\pi} F_{\nabla} \text{ on } U_i \quad (1)$$

$$\Downarrow \\ d\left(\frac{i}{2\pi} A_i\right) \text{ on } U_i \quad (2)$$

$$\Downarrow \\ \frac{i}{2\pi} (A_i - A_j) \text{ on } U_{ij} \quad (3)$$

$$\Downarrow \\ d(-\varphi_{ij}) \text{ on } U_{ij} \quad (4)$$

$$\Downarrow \\ -\delta(L) \rightarrow \varphi_{jk} - \varphi_{ik} + \varphi_{ij} \text{ on } U_{ijk} \quad (5)$$

The standard diagram chase defining the map δ (exercise) shows this is indeed $-\delta(L)$. \blacksquare

What this means: our def. of c_1 as $\left[\frac{i}{2\pi} F_{\nabla}\right]$ agrees with earlier Čech def.

In pth, $c_1(L) \in H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$ (or more exactly $\text{Im}[H^2(M, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(M, \mathbb{C})]$)

[Using splitting principle one could also show all $c_k(L) \in H^{2k}(M, \mathbb{Z})$.]

Now, yet another interpretation: suppose X compact, Y irred smooth hypersurface.

Consider the map $\int_Y: H^{2n-2}(X, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
 $\alpha \mapsto \int_Y \alpha$

Def/Prop By nondegeneracy of the pairing $H^2(X, \mathbb{R}) \times H^{2n-2}(X, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (Poincaré duality) there exists $[Y] \in H^2(X, \mathbb{R})$ such that $\int_Y \alpha = \int_X \alpha \wedge [Y]$. ("fundamental class")

Prop $c_1(\mathcal{O}(Y)) = [Y]$.

Pf Let $s \in H^0(\mathcal{O}(Y))$ have $\text{div}(s) = Y$. Choose a Hermitian metric in $\mathcal{O}(Y)$, ∇ Chern conn; so away from Y , $F_\nabla = \partial\bar{\partial} \log \|s\|^2$. Then, for α closed,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_X F_\nabla \wedge \alpha &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{X \setminus D_\varepsilon} \partial\bar{\partial} \log \|s\|^2 \wedge \alpha && D_\varepsilon \text{ tubular nbhd of } Y \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{i}{4\pi} \int_{X \setminus D_\varepsilon} d(\partial\bar{\partial}) \log \|s\|^2 \wedge \alpha \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{i}{4\pi} \int_{\partial D_\varepsilon} (\partial\bar{\partial}) \log \|s\|^2 \wedge \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

To get oriented, consider 1-d case, $Y = \{0\}$: $\|s\| = h(z, \bar{z}) \cdot |z|^2$ $h > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{i}{4\pi} \int_{\partial D_\varepsilon} (\partial\bar{\partial}) [\log h + \log z + \log \bar{z}] &= \frac{i}{4\pi} \int_{\partial D_\varepsilon} \left[\frac{dz}{z} - \frac{d\bar{z}}{\bar{z}} \right] + \frac{i}{4\pi} \int_{\partial D_\varepsilon} (\partial\bar{\partial}) \log h \\ &= 1. && \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \\ &&& \text{(minus sign b/c } \partial D_\varepsilon \text{ is oriented "backwards")} \end{aligned}$$

The general case is similar, just more notation: perform the integral over S^1 by residue
then, to reduce to integral over Y ,

$$= \int_Y \alpha.$$

(See Huyb. for details)

