

p -adic interpolation of half-integral weight modular forms

Adriana Sofer
asofer@math.princeton.edu

1 Introduction

The p -adic interpolation of modular forms on congruence subgroups of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ has been successfully used in the past to interpolate values of L -series.

In [12], Serre interpolated the values at negative integers of the ζ -series of a totally real number field (in fact of L -series of powers of the Teichmüller character) by interpolating Eisenstein series, which are holomorphic modular forms, and looking afterwards at the resulting constant terms of the q -expansions. This can be interpreted as evaluating at $q = 0$, the degenerate elliptic curve.

In [8], Katz interpolated the values of the Hecke L -series $L(\psi_k, r)$ by interpolating first “derivatives” of Eisenstein series, which are in general non-holomorphic, and then evaluating at elliptic curves.

In [18], we interpolated square roots of values of Hecke L -series by interpolating “derivatives” of theta functions, which are non-holomorphic half-integral weight modular forms, and evaluating at elliptic curves. This last step required appealing to the theory of integral weight p -adic modular forms, since there is not a complete theory for half-integral weight yet. There is ongoing research towards this (see the recent work of Jochnowitz [2], and Stevens [19]).

There is renewed interest in half-integral weight forms, after the work of Hida, Kohnen, Rodriguez-Villegas, Waldspurger, Zagier.

We here present a p -adic interpolation of the sequence $\left\{ \left(Nq \frac{d}{dq} \right)^k f \right\}_{k \geq 0}$ where f is a given p -adic modular form of half-integral weight and of level $N \geq 1$, $4|N$, $p \nmid N$. The integral weight version of this appears in [8, 1].

For integral weight, we use Serre's and Katz's theories of p -adic modular forms ([12, 8, 6, 5, 7, 1]). We use Koblitz's ([10]) and Jochnowitz's ([2, 3, 4]) definitions for half-integral weight p -adic modular forms ([10]).

I would like to thank N. Jochnowitz for very helpful conversations, and the referee for many suggestions and corrections.

2 Definitions and properties

2.1 p -adic modular forms

Let $p \geq 3$ be a rational prime. Fix \mathbb{C}_p the completion of an algebraic closure of \mathbb{Q}_p . Let $B \subset \mathbb{C}_p$ be the ring of integers of a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p . We fix an isomorphism $\mathbb{C} \simeq \mathbb{C}_p$ and use it to consider B as included in \mathbb{C} .

The reader is referred to [16] or [9, Ch.4] for the following definitions.

Let j be the multiplier system on $\Gamma_0(N)$, $4|N$, corresponding to the standard theta series $\theta = 1 + 2 \sum_{n \geq 1} e^{2\pi i n^2 z}$, and $\chi : (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a character acting on $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(N)$ via $\chi(d)$. \mathcal{H} is the upper-half plane in \mathbb{C} .

For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we denote by $\mathbf{M}_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)$ the set of holomorphic $f : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $f(\gamma z) = \chi(d)(cz + d)^k f(z)$ for every $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(N)$ and f is holomorphic at all cusps.

For $k \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$, we denote by $\mathbf{M}_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)$ the set of holomorphic $f : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $f(\gamma z) = \chi(d)j(\gamma)^{2k+1} f(z)$ for every $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(N)$ and f is holomorphic at all cusps.

In both cases, and for every cusp t for $\Gamma_0(N)$, we associate to $f \in \mathbf{M}_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)$ a q -expansion $f_t \in \mathbb{C}[[q_N]]$, ($q_N = e^{2\pi i z/N}$) in a consistent manner. We choose $f_\infty(q_N) = f(z)$. Given a ring $A \subset \mathbb{C}$, we denote $\mathbf{M}_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi, A)$ the set of $f \in \mathbf{M}_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)$ satisfying $f_t \in A[[q_N]]$ for every t . We extend these definitions to $\mathbf{M}_k(\Gamma_1(N)) \simeq \bigoplus \mathbf{M}_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)$.

Recall that θ does not vanish on \mathcal{H} , and that its q -expansions are:

$$\begin{cases} \theta_\infty &= 1 + 2 \sum_{n \geq 1} q^{n^2} \\ \theta_0 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(1 + 2 \sum_{n \geq 1} q_4^{n^2} \right) \\ \theta_{\frac{1}{2}} &= \theta\left(\frac{z}{4}\right) - \theta(z) \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

[Serre-Koblitz] We call a p -adic modular form over B for $\Gamma_1(N)$ a collection of q -expansions $\{f_t(q_N)\}_{\{t \text{ cusp}\}} \subset B[[q_N]]$ such that there is a sequence of complex modular forms $f_j \in \mathbf{M}_{k_j}(\Gamma_1(N), B)$, $k_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ (or $k_j \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$) with

$$(f_j)_t(q_N) \xrightarrow{p} f_t(q_N)$$

uniformly on the coefficients, for every cusp t .

[Serre-Jochnowitz] We call a p -adic modular form over B for $\Gamma_1(N)$ a power series $f(q_N) \in B[[q_N]]$ such that there is a sequence of complex modular forms $f_j \in \mathbf{M}_{k_j}(\Gamma_1(N), \mathbb{Q})$ with p -adically integer coefficients, $k_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ (or $k_j \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$) with

$$f_j(q_N) \xrightarrow{p} f(q_N)$$

uniformly on the coefficients, for every cusp t .

The Corollary in the next section shows that these two definitions are equivalent. Until that is established, we will work with definition 2.1.

Any p -adic modular form has a weight $k \in X$ (or $k + \frac{1}{2} \in X + \frac{1}{2}$) (see [2, Theorem 1.2], [12, 10]), where $X = \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}/(p-1)\mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, if $p \nmid N$, k is the p -adic limit of $(k_j, k_j \bmod p-1)$. We denote $\mathcal{M}_k^p(\Gamma_1(N), B)$ (or $\mathcal{M}_{k+\frac{1}{2}}^p(\Gamma_1(N), B)$) the set of such f 's.

We immediately obtain that any classical holomorphic modular form with coefficients in B can be interpreted as a p -adic form.

Proposition 2.1 *The Ramanujan function $P(z) = 1 - 24 \sum_{n \geq 1} \sigma(n) e^{2\pi i n z}$ is not modular in the classical sense, but $P \in \mathcal{M}_2^p(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z}_p)$.*

Proof: [12, Example p.210].

Let “ $q \frac{d}{dq}$ ” be the operator $D + \frac{1}{12}PH$, where D is the Halphen-Fricke operator, P is the Ramanujan function, and $H(g) = kg$ if $g \in \mathbf{M}_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)$ (see [8, 18]). We have $\left(Nq \frac{d}{dq} f\right)_\infty = \sum n a_n q_N^n$ if $f_\infty = \sum a_n q_N^n$.

Proposition 2.2 *Let $p \geq 5$, $k \in X \cup X + \frac{1}{2}$, and $f \in \mathcal{M}_k^p(\Gamma_1(N), B)$. Then $q \frac{d}{dq} f \in \mathcal{M}_{k+2}^p(\Gamma_1(N), B)$, where the weight $k+2$ means $k + (2, 2)$.*

Proof: $Nq \frac{d}{dq} = ND + \frac{N}{12}PH$. For $k \in \mathbb{Z} \cup \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$, ND is a derivation $\mathbf{M}_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi, A) \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_{k+2}(\Gamma_0(N), \chi, A[\frac{1}{12}])$ that acts continuously. Hence, for $k \in X \cup X + \frac{1}{2}$,

$$\begin{aligned} D : \mathcal{M}_k^p(\Gamma_1(N), B) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{k+2}^p(\Gamma_1(N), B) \\ H : \mathcal{M}_k^p(\Gamma_1(N), B) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_k^p(\Gamma_1(N), B) \\ P \in \mathcal{M}_2^p(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z}_p) & \end{aligned}$$

and we deduce the result.

Note: Also see an alternate proof of proposition 2.2 in [2].

2.2 The q -expansion principle

Recall the q -expansion principle for (integral weight) p -adic modular forms ([8, 5.2], [1, Theorem I.3.1, Prop. I.3.2]). We will prove a similar result for half-integral weight.

When $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the weight k means (k, k) , and $k + \frac{1}{2}$, $(k, k) + \frac{1}{2}$. Let us denote $\mathcal{M}_{\bullet+\frac{1}{2}}^p(\Gamma_1(N), B)$ (and $\mathcal{M}_{\bullet}^p(\Gamma_1(N), B)$) the set of \mathbb{Z} -linear combinations of forms in $\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, k \geq 0} (\mathcal{M}_{k+\frac{1}{2}}^p(\Gamma_1(N), B) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p)$ (and, for integral weight, $\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, k \geq 0} (\mathcal{M}_k^p(\Gamma_1(N), B) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p)$) such that the q -expansions coefficients (of the \mathbb{Z} -linear combinations) at all cusps belong to B (the q -expansions are defined by linearity). Notice that any sequence approximating an element of $\mathcal{M}_{\bullet+\frac{1}{2}}^p(\Gamma_1(N), B)$ (or $\mathcal{M}_{\bullet}^p(\Gamma_1(N), B)$) will eventually have coefficients in B , rather than in $B \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$, due to the uniform convergence. Also, for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $k \geq 0$,

$$(\mathcal{M}_{k+\frac{1}{2}}^p(\Gamma_1(N), B) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p) \cap \mathcal{M}_{\bullet+\frac{1}{2}}^p(\Gamma_1(N), B) = \mathcal{M}_{k+\frac{1}{2}}^p(\Gamma_1(N), B),$$

and similarly for weight k . We define $q \frac{d}{dq}$ on $\mathcal{M}_{\bullet+\frac{1}{2}}^p(\Gamma_1(N), B)$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\bullet}^p(\Gamma_1(N), B)$ by linearity.

Jochnowitz independently proved results similar to the next proposition.

Proposition 2.3 (q-expansion principle) *Let $\mu_N \cup \{\sqrt{2}\} \subset B' \subset B \subset \mathbb{C}_p$, B and B' the ring of integers of $K, K' \subset \mathbb{C}_p$, finite extensions of \mathbb{Q}_p . Let $p \geq 3$, $p \nmid N$, and assume $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\bullet, +\frac{1}{2}}^p(\Gamma_1(N), B)$.*

1. *If $f_\infty \in B'[[q_N]]$, then $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\bullet, +\frac{1}{2}}^p(\Gamma_1(N), B')$.*
2. *Let π be a prime element in B . The map*

$$\mathcal{M}_{\bullet, +\frac{1}{2}}^p(\Gamma_1(N), B) \xrightarrow{q\text{-exp at } \infty} B'[[q_N]]$$

is injective, and the cokernel has trivial π -torsion.

Proof:

1. Since $p \geq 3$, $\theta \in \mathbf{M}_{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma_0(4), \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}]) \subset \mathcal{M}^p(\Gamma_1(N), B')$. We know that $f\theta \in \mathcal{M}_{\bullet}^p(\Gamma_1(N), B)$, and $(f\theta)_\infty \in B'[[q_N]]$. By the integral-weight q -expansion principle, $f\theta \in \mathcal{M}_{\bullet}^p(\Gamma_1(N), B')$, i.e. $(f\theta)_t \in B'[[q_N]]$ for every cusp t . Since $\theta_t | (f\theta)_t$, and $\theta_t \in B'[[q_N]]$ with invertible first coefficient (see equations (1)), $(f)_t = \frac{(f\theta)_t}{(\theta)_t} \in B'[[q_N]]$ for every cusp t . Take a sequence of classical modular forms, with coefficients in B approaching f in the following way: if $f = \sum_i h_i$, $h_i \in \mathcal{M}_{k_i + \frac{1}{2}}^p(\Gamma_1(N), B) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$, take sequences $f_{ij} \in \mathbf{M}_{k_{ij}}(\Gamma_1(N), B) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ with

$$(f_{ji})_t \longrightarrow (h_i)_t.$$

We have

$$(f_j)_t = \sum_i (f_{ji})_t \longrightarrow f_t.$$

Since f has integral coefficients, the uniform convergence guarantees that f_j has coefficients in B , for every $j \gg 0$.

Let \bar{K} be the Galois closure of K in \mathbb{C}_p . Let $d = [\bar{K}, K']$. Define

$$g_j = \frac{1}{d} \text{tr}_{\bar{K}, K'} f_j = \sum_i \frac{1}{d} \text{tr}_{\bar{K}, K'} f_{ji},$$

a sequence such that dg_j has coefficients in $B' \cap \mathbb{C}$ for $j \gg 0$. The forms $g_{ji} = \frac{1}{d} \text{tr}_{\bar{K}, K'} f_{ji}$ belong to $\mathbf{M}_{k_{ij} + \frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma_1(N), B') \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$. Call $s_i \in \mathcal{M}_{k_i + \frac{1}{2}}^p(\Gamma_1(N), B') \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ the limit of g_{ji} . So

$$(g_j)_t = \sum_i (g_{ji})_t \longrightarrow \left(\sum_i s_i \right)_t.$$

Also

$$(g_j)_t \longrightarrow f_t.$$

We deduce that $f = \sum_i s_i \in \mathcal{M}_{\bullet+\frac{1}{2}}^p(\Gamma_1(N), B')$.

2. Assume $f, g \in \mathcal{M}_{\bullet+\frac{1}{2}}^p(\Gamma_1(N), B)$, $f_\infty = g_\infty$. Then $(f\theta)_\infty = (g\theta)_\infty$, and $f\theta, g\theta \in \mathcal{M}_{\bullet}^p(\Gamma_1(N), B)$. Again by the integral-weight q -expansion principle, $(f\theta)_t = (g\theta)_t$ for every t , hence $f_t = g_t$ for every t , and $f = g$.

Assume $f_\infty \in B[[q_N]]$ and $\pi^r f \in \mathcal{M}_{\bullet+\frac{1}{2}}^p(\Gamma_1(N), B)$ for some $r \geq 0$. Equivalently, $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\bullet+\frac{1}{2}}^p(\Gamma_1(N), B) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$. Again we multiply by θ and use the integral-weight q -expansion principle for $\pi^r f\theta \in \mathcal{M}_{\bullet}^p(\Gamma_1(N), B)$, and deduce that $f\theta \in \mathcal{M}_{\bullet}^p(\Gamma_1(N), B)$. Therefore, since θ_t is π -integral (see equations (1)), $\pi^r f_t$ is divisible by π^r for every t . Hence f_t has coefficients in B for every t . Take a sequence $(f_j)_j$ with coefficients in B , approaching $\pi^r f$. Then the sequence $(\pi^{-r} f_j)_j$ will approach f . We deduce that $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\bullet+\frac{1}{2}}^p(\Gamma_1(N), B)$. This ends the proof.

Corollary (Jochnowitz). If $p \geq 3$, $p \nmid N$, $\mu_N \cup \{\sqrt{2}\} \subset B$, definitions 2.1 and 2.1 are equivalent.

Proof: The reader is referred to [2, 3, 4].

Therefore, we identify f to f_∞ in what follows.

2.3 Measures

A measure on \mathbb{Z}_p with values in a p -adic ring A (i.e. $A = \varprojlim A/p^n A$) is an additive function

$$\mu : \{\text{compact open subsets of } \mathbb{Z}_p\} \longrightarrow A.$$

We call $Meas(\mathbb{Z}_p, A)$ the ring of measures (with convolution as product). The Fourier transform

$$\hat{\mu}(X) = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (X+1)^x d\mu(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \left(\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \binom{x}{n} d\mu \right) X^n$$

gives a ring isomorphism between $Meas(\mathbb{Z}_p, A)$ and $A[[X]]$. For example, the Dirac measure at $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ has Fourier transform $(X+1)^a = \sum_{n \geq 0} \binom{a}{n} X^n$.

By abuse of notation, we will often use $\hat{\mu}(T)$ instead of $\hat{\mu}(X)$, where $T = X + 1$. Also, $Meas(\mathbb{Z}_p, A) = \varprojlim Meas(\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}, A)$, and

$$Meas(\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}, A) \simeq A[\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}] = \left\{ \sum_{a \bmod p^n} m_a T^a, m_a \in A \right\}.$$

If $\mu \in Meas(\mathbb{Z}_p, A)$ and C is a compact open subset of \mathbb{Z}_p , $\mu|_C$, the restriction of μ to C , extended by 0, is in $Meas(\mathbb{Z}_p, A)$ too.

The following properties can easily be checked by proving them first for the dense subring of finite linear combinations of Dirac measures.

- If $\hat{\mu}(T) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n T^n$, then $\hat{\mu}|_{p\mathbb{Z}_p}(T) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_{pn} T^{pn}$.
- For any $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, and any measure $\mu \in Meas(\mathbb{Z}_p, A)$, define $\mu_a \in Meas(\mathbb{Z}_p, A)$ to be the unique measure with $\hat{\mu}_a(T) = \hat{\mu}(T^a)$. Then $\int h(x) d\mu_a = \int h(ax) d\mu$ for every continuous function $h : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$.

References for measures are [8, 17, 20].

3 Interpolation

3.1 The measure associated to a modular form

Let $p \geq 5$, $p \nmid N$. Define $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}^p(\Gamma_1(N), B)$ to be the smallest p -adic ring containing $\mathcal{M}_{\bullet, +\frac{1}{2}}^p(\Gamma_1(N), B)$. We define the q -expansions on \mathcal{M} by continuity. Given $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\bullet, +\frac{1}{2}}^p(\Gamma_1(N), B)$, we define μ_f by

$$\hat{\mu}_f(X) = \sum_{m \geq 0} \left(\sum_{r=0}^m c_{m,r} \left(Nq \frac{d}{dq} \right)^r f \right) X^m$$

where $c_{m,r} \in \mathbb{Q}$ are such that $\binom{x}{m} = \sum_{r=0}^m c_{m,r} x^r$. Notice that, if $f = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n q_N^n$,

$$\hat{\mu}_f(T) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n q_N^n T^n.$$

Proposition 3.1 $\mu_f \in Meas(\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathcal{M})$.

Proof: To see that μ_f is a measure, it is enough to prove that $\hat{\mu}_f \in \mathcal{M}[[X]]$ (rather than in $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ as the definition seems to suggest). An easy computation shows that

$$\hat{\mu}_f(X) = \sum_{m \geq 0} \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} \binom{n}{m} a_n q_N^n \right) X^m.$$

So the m^{th} -coefficient is in $\mathcal{M}_{\bullet+\frac{1}{2}}^p(\Gamma_1(N), B) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$, and its q -expansion at ∞ is $\sum_{n \geq 0} \binom{n}{m} a_n q_N^n \in B[[q_N]]$.

Therefore, the m^{th} -coefficient belongs to the p -torsion of $B[[q_N]]/\mathcal{M}_{\bullet+\frac{1}{2}}^p(\Gamma_1(N), B)$, which is trivial by the q -expansion principle. We get that all coefficients are in $\mathcal{M}_{\bullet+\frac{1}{2}}^p(\Gamma_1(N), B)$.

By density, we extend the definition to $f \in \mathcal{M}$.

The expression $\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} x^r d\mu_f$ is called the r^{th} -moment of the measure ($r \in \mathbb{Z}$). The r^{th} -moment of μ_f satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} x^r d\mu_f = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n n^r q_N^n = \left(Nq \frac{d}{dq} \right)^r f.$$

Therefore, the r^{th} -moment is $\left(Nq \frac{d}{dq} \right)^r f$.

Any measure $\mu \in \text{Meas}(\mathbb{Z}_p, A)$ “interpolates” its moments, via the Gamma transform

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_\mu : \mathbb{Z}_p &\longrightarrow A \\ s &\longmapsto \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \langle x \rangle^s d\mu|_{\mathbb{Z}_p^*} \end{aligned}$$

This can be seen as follows: if $r \xrightarrow{p} s$, $|r| \rightarrow \infty$, $r \equiv 0 \pmod{p-1}$, then $\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} x^r d\mu \rightarrow \Gamma_\mu(s)$. For $r \equiv 0 \pmod{p-1}$,

$$\Gamma_\mu(r) = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} x^r d\mu|_{\mathbb{Z}_p^*} = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} x^r d\mu - \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} x^r d\mu|_{p\mathbb{Z}_p}.$$

This is the (r^{th} -moment) – (something related to it). We next pay a closer look at $\Gamma_\mu(r)$.

3.2 Restriction of the measure to $p\mathbb{Z}_p$

If $\hat{\mu}_f(T) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n q_N^n T^n$, then

$$\hat{\mu}_f|_{p\mathbb{Z}_p}(T) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_{pn} q_N^{pn} T^{pn} = \hat{\mu}_{Rf}(T)$$

where $Rf = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} d\mu|_{p\mathbb{Z}_p} \in \mathcal{M}$, with q -expansion at ∞ : $\sum_{m \geq 0} a_{pm} q_N^{pm}$. The operator R equals VU , where $Vf = f(q^p)$, and $Uf = \sum a_{np} q_N^n$ if $f = \sum a_n q_N^n$, operators that respect the level. (See [4]).

Let $p \geq 5$, $p \nmid N$, and $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\bullet+\frac{1}{2}}^p(\Gamma_1(N), B)$, and let μ_f be the measure on \mathbb{Z}_p with values in \mathcal{M} associated to it. Then its Gamma transform $\Gamma_f = \Gamma_{\mu_f}$ “interpolates” the sequence $\left(Nq \frac{d}{dq}\right)^r f$. More precisely, for $r \equiv 0 \pmod{p-1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_f(r) &= \left(Nq \frac{d}{dq}\right)^r f - R \left(\left(Nq \frac{d}{dq}\right)^r f \right) \\ &= \left(Nq \frac{d}{dq}\right)^r f - \left(\left(Nq \frac{d}{dq}\right)^r Rf \right) \\ &= \left(Nq \frac{d}{dq}\right)^r f - p^r V \left(\left(Nq \frac{d}{dq}\right)^r Uf \right) \end{aligned}$$

Proof: We prove the first equality. The other two are left to the reader.

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_f(r) &= \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} x^r d\mu_f - \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} x^r d\mu_{Rf} \\ &= \left(Nq \frac{d}{dq}\right)^r f - \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} x^r d\left(\sum_{n \geq 0} a_{pn} q_N^{pn} T^{pn}\right) \\ &= \left(Nq \frac{d}{dq}\right)^r f - \sum_{n \geq 0} a_{pn} (pn)^r q_N^{pn} \\ &= \left(Nq \frac{d}{dq}\right)^r f - R \left(\left(Nq \frac{d}{dq}\right)^r f \right) \end{aligned}$$

We include the following particular case.

Corollary. If $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\bullet+\frac{1}{2}}^p(\Gamma_1(N), B)$, $f_\infty(q_N) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n q_N^n$, satisfies $v_p(n) \neq 1$ when $a_n \neq 0$ (the standard theta function θ , and $\theta_{\psi,t}$, the generators of all

forms of weight $\frac{1}{2}$ given in [13] are examples), then the interpolation can be further refined:

For $r \equiv 0 \pmod{p-1}$,

$$\Gamma_f(r) = \left(Nq \frac{d}{dq}\right)^r f - p^{2r} \left[\left(Nq \frac{d}{dq}\right)^r (U^2 f)\right] (q^{p^2})$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_f(r) &= \left(Nq \frac{d}{dq}\right)^r f - \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} x^r d \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} a_{np^2} q_N^{np^2} T^{np^2} \right) \\ &= \left(Nq \frac{d}{dq}\right)^r f - p^{2r} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} x^r d \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} a_{np^2} q_N^{np^2} T^n \right) \\ &= \left(Nq \frac{d}{dq}\right)^r f - p^{2r} \sum_{n \geq 0} a_{np^2} n^r q_N^{np^2} \\ &= \left(Nq \frac{d}{dq}\right)^r f - p^{2r} \left[\left(Nq \frac{d}{dq}\right)^r (U^2 f)\right] (q^{p^2}) \end{aligned}$$

4 Applications

As mentioned in the introduction, this kind of interpolation was used in several instances to obtain p -adic L -functions. For that, we start with a classical modular form f , we interpret it as a p -adic form, and we compose the interpolating function $\Gamma_f : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ from Proposition 3.2 with evaluation at an appropriate modular point. For half-integral weight, this can not be done directly, since we do not know how to evaluate half-integral weight p -adic forms yet. In [18], we evaluated, instead, the function Γ_f/θ , that takes values on Katz's generalized p -adic modular forms. This provides a function $\mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow B$, that interpolates the values obtained by evaluating the sequence $\left\{ \frac{1}{\theta} \left(\left(Nq \frac{d}{dq}\right)^r f - R \left(\left(Nq \frac{d}{dq}\right)^r f \right) \right) \right\}$ at the modular point.

Via Shimura Reciprocity, these evaluations become an Euler Factor times the evaluation of $\left\{ \frac{1}{\theta} \left(Nq \frac{d}{dq}\right)^r f \right\}$.

Finally, the evaluation of $\frac{1}{\theta} \left(Nq \frac{d}{dq}\right)^r f$ is proved to equal the evaluation of $\frac{1}{\theta} W^r f$, where W is the Maass-Weil operator. This puts us in the realm of the classical theory, where the connection between $W^r f$ and special values

of L -series is established. The extra θ in the denominator is finally absorbed by the power of the complex period that naturally appears in the resulting formula.

References

- [1] F. Gouvêa, *Arithmetic of p -adic Modular Forms*, Springer-Verlag LNM **1304** (1988).
- [2] N. Jochnowitz, *Congruences between modular forms of half integral weights, and implications for class numbers and elliptic curves*, to appear in *Invent. Math.*
- [3] N. Jochnowitz, *An alternate approach to non- p divisibility of coefficients of half-integral forms with implications for Iwasawa λ -invariants and for Kolyvagin, Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer results*, to appear.
- [4] N. Jochnowitz, *A p -adic theory for half-integral weight forms*, to appear.
- [5] N. Katz, *p -adic properties of modular schemes and modular forms*, in *Modular functions of one variable III*, Springer-Verlag LNM **350** (1973), 69-190.
- [6] N. Katz, *p -adic L -functions via moduli of elliptic curves*, in *Algebraic Geometry: Arcata 1974*, *Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics* **29**, AMS (1975).
- [7] N. Katz, *Higher congruences between modular forms*, *Ann. Math.* **101** (1975), 332-367.
- [8] N. Katz, *p -adic interpolation of real analytic Eisenstein series*, *Ann. of Math.* **104** (1976), 459-571.
- [9] N. Koblitz, *Introduction to Elliptic Curves and Modular Forms*, Springer-Verlag (1984).
- [10] N. Koblitz, *p -adic Congruences and Modular Forms of Half Integral Weight*, *Math. Ann.* **274** (1986), 199-220.

- [11] S. Lang, *Elliptic Functions*, Springer-Verlag (1987).
- [12] J.P. Serre, *Formes Modulaires et Fonctions Zêta p -adiques*, in Modular functions of one variable III, Springer-Verlag LNM **350** (1973), 191-268.
- [13] J.P. Serre and H. Stark, *Modular Forms of Weight $\frac{1}{2}$* , in Modular functions of one variable VI, Springer-Verlag LNM **627** (1977), 28-67.
- [14] G. Shimura, *Introduction to the arithmetic theory of automorphic functions*, Princeton Univ. Press (1971).
- [15] G. Shimura, *Modular Forms of Half-Integral Weight*, in Modular Forms of One Variable I, Springer-Verlag LNM **320** (1972), 57-74.
- [16] G. Shimura, *On modular forms of half integral weight*, Ann. of Math. **97** (1973), 440-481.
- [17] W. Sinnott, *On the μ -invariant of the Γ -transform of a rational function*, Inv. Math **75** (1984), 273-282.
- [18] A. Sofer, *p -adic interpolation of square roots of central values of Hecke L -series*, Ph.D. thesis, The Ohio State University (1993).
- [19] G. Stevens, article in this volume.
- [20] R. Yager, *On two variable p -adic L -functions*, Annals of Math. **115** (1982), 411-449.