

Relations Revisited

We investigate here relations $\mathcal{R} : A \rightarrow A$ from a set A to itself, “**Relations on A .**”

Of particular interest are those that have one or more of the following properties:

Definition: Let $\mathcal{R} \subseteq A \times A$ be a relation on A .

a) \mathcal{R} is **reflexive** if $a\mathcal{R}a \ \forall a \in A$.

b) \mathcal{R} is **transitive** if

$$a\mathcal{R}b \wedge b\mathcal{R}c \implies a\mathcal{R}c, \quad \forall a, b, c \in A .$$

c) \mathcal{R} is **symmetric** if

$$a\mathcal{R}b \implies b\mathcal{R}a \quad \forall a, b \in A .$$

d) \mathcal{R} is **antisymmetric** if

$$a\mathcal{R}b \wedge b\mathcal{R}a \implies a = b, \quad \forall a, b \in A .$$

Discussion and Examples:

a): **The equality relation on A**

$$=_A \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{(a, a) : a \in A\}$$

is sometimes called **the diagonal** and denoted by Δ . The reflexivity a) means but

$$=_A \subseteq \mathcal{R} .$$

b): Given relations $\mathcal{R} : A \rightarrow B$ and $\mathcal{S} : B \rightarrow C$ their **composition** $\mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{S} : A \rightarrow C$, pronounced “ \mathcal{R} before \mathcal{S} ,” is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{S} &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{(a, c) \in A \times C : \\ &\quad \exists b \in B \ni (a, b) \in \mathcal{R} \wedge (b, c) \in \mathcal{S} \\ a\mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{S}c &\iff \exists b \in B \ni a\mathcal{R}b \wedge b\mathcal{S}c . \end{aligned}$$

In these terms, transitivity is equivalent to

$$\mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{R} .$$

Proof: Assume \mathcal{R} is transitive. Then for all $a, b, c, \dots \in A$

$$\begin{aligned}(a, c) \in \mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{R} &\implies \exists b \ni (a, b) \in \mathcal{R} \wedge (b, c) \in \mathcal{R} \\ &\implies (a, c) \in \mathcal{R}; && \text{hence} \\ &\mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{R}.\end{aligned}$$

Conversely, assume $\mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{R}$. Then, with $\mathcal{U} = A$

$$\begin{aligned}(a, b) \in \mathcal{R} \wedge (b, c) \in \mathcal{R} &\implies (a, c) \in \mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{R} \\ &\implies (a, c) \in \mathcal{R}\end{aligned}$$

Hence \mathcal{R} is transitive.

c): Recall the **transpose** \mathcal{R}^T of a relation $\mathcal{R} : A \rightarrow B$:

$$\mathcal{R}^T = \{(b, a) \in B \times A : (a, b) \in \mathcal{R}\}.$$

Using this term, $\mathcal{R} : A \rightarrow B$ is symmetric iff $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}^T$.

d): \mathcal{R} is antisymmetric iff $\mathcal{R} \cap \mathcal{R}^T \subseteq =_A$
Proof: Let $\mathcal{U} = A$. First assume \mathcal{R} is antisymmetric. If $(a, b) \in \mathcal{R} \cap \mathcal{R}^T$ then $(a, b) \in \mathcal{R}$ and $(b, a) \in \mathcal{R}$, which implies $a = b$ or $(a, b) \subseteq =_A$.

Next assume $\mathcal{R} \cap \mathcal{R}^T \subseteq =_A$. Then if $(a, b) \in \mathcal{R}$ and $(b, a) \in \mathcal{R}$ then $(a, b) \in \mathcal{R} \cap \mathcal{R}^T \subseteq =_A$.

The equality relation $=_A$ on A is reflexive, transitive, symmetric, and antisymmetric; it is the only relation having these four properties; it is a function (which?).

A relation \mathcal{R} on A is both symmetric and antisymmetric iff it is a subset of $=_A$.

A relation \mathcal{R} on A that is both symmetric and antisymmetric is transitive.

If $|A| = m$, how many relations on A are

there that are
reflexive? symmetric? antisymmetric?
both symmetric and antisymmetric?
reflexive and symmetric? reflexive and
antisymmetric? transitive?

Definition: Let $A \subset \mathcal{U}$.

I) A relation $\preceq: A \rightarrow A$ is a **<Partial> Order** if it is reflexive, transitive, and anti-symmetric. The pair (A, \preceq) is often given the unattractive moniker **Poset**.

Ib) A partial order \preceq on A is a **Total Order** or a **Linear Order** if $\forall a, b \in A$

$(a \preceq b)$ or $(b \preceq a)$ holds.

II) A relation $\approx: A \rightarrow A$ is an **Equivalence Relation** if it is reflexive, transitive, and

symmetric.

Examples: Let \leq denote the natural order on numbers. Then (\mathbb{N}, \leq) , (\mathbb{Z}, \leq) , (\mathbb{Q}, \leq) , (\mathbb{R}, \leq) are posets. Actually, $\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}$ are linearly ordered by \leq .

Let $B \subset \mathcal{U}$ and set $A = \mathcal{P}[B]$. Then (A, \subseteq) is a poset, not totally ordered unless ??

Let A denote the tasks that go into building a house. Define $a \preceq b$ to mean that task a must be done before task b ; for example, the electric cables must be laid before the wallboard goes on. This is a partial and not a total order (why?). This is an example of a poset entering industrial design – more later.

Define a relation on this class by

$a \approx b \iff a$ and b have the same hair color.

This is an equivalence relation on this class.

So is the relation

$a \simeq b \iff a$ and b weigh the same.

So is the relation

$a = b \iff a$ and b are the same.

On \mathbb{Z} define the relation \cong_{36} by

$a \cong_{36} b \iff a, b$ have same remainder mod 36.

The relation \cong_n on \mathbb{Z} is very important in Number Theory and $a \cong_n b$ is usually written

$a \cong b \pmod n$ or $a \cong b (n)$.

Exercise: If \preceq is a partial order on a set A then so is its transpose \preceq^T . It is called the **Reverse Order**.

Example: Let $A \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12\}$ and define \preceq by

$$a \preceq b \iff a|b .$$

This is a partial order on A .