

# Scaled Random Walks

- 1 Symmetric Random Walk
- 2 Scaled Symmetric Random Walk
- 3 Log-Normal Distribution as the Limit of the Binomial Model

# Scaled Random Walks

- 1 Symmetric Random Walk
- 2 Scaled Symmetric Random Walk
- 3 Log-Normal Distribution as the Limit of the Binomial Model

## Construction

- The goal is to create a **Brownian motion**
- We begin with a symmetric random walk, i.e., we repeatedly toss a fair coin ( $p = q = 1/2$ )
- Let  $X_j$  be the random variable representing the outcome of the  $j^{\text{th}}$  coin toss in the following way

$$X_j = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if the outcome is heads} \\ -1 & \text{if the outcome is tails} \end{cases} \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \dots$$

- Define  $M_0 = 0$  and

$$M_k = \sum_{j=1}^k X_j, \text{ for } k = 1, 2, \dots$$

- We call the process  $M_k, k = 0, 1, \dots$  a **symmetric random walk**

## Construction

- The goal is to create a **Brownian motion**
- We begin with a symmetric random walk, i.e., we repeatedly toss a fair coin ( $p = q = 1/2$ )
- Let  $X_j$  be the random variable representing the outcome of the  $j^{\text{th}}$  coin toss in the following way

$$X_j = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if the outcome is heads} \\ -1 & \text{if the outcome is tails} \end{cases} \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \dots$$

- Define  $M_0 = 0$  and

$$M_k = \sum_{j=1}^k X_j, \text{ for } k = 1, 2, \dots$$

- We call the process  $M_k, k = 0, 1, \dots$  a **symmetric random walk**

## Construction

- The goal is to create a **Brownian motion**
- We begin with a symmetric random walk, i.e., we repeatedly toss a fair coin ( $p = q = 1/2$ )
- Let  $X_j$  be the random variable representing the outcome of the  $j^{\text{th}}$  coin toss in the following way

$$X_j = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if the outcome is heads} \\ -1 & \text{if the outcome is tails} \end{cases} \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \dots$$

- Define  $M_0 = 0$  and

$$M_k = \sum_{j=1}^k X_j, \text{ for } k = 1, 2, \dots$$

- We call the process  $M_k, k = 0, 1, \dots$  a **symmetric random walk**

## Construction

- The goal is to create a **Brownian motion**
- We begin with a symmetric random walk, i.e., we repeatedly toss a fair coin ( $p = q = 1/2$ )
- Let  $X_j$  be the random variable representing the outcome of the  $j^{\text{th}}$  coin toss in the following way

$$X_j = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if the outcome is heads} \\ -1 & \text{if the outcome is tails} \end{cases} \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \dots$$

- Define  $M_0 = 0$  and

$$M_k = \sum_{j=1}^k X_j, \text{ for } k = 1, 2, \dots$$

- We call the process  $M_k, k = 0, 1, \dots$  a **symmetric random walk**

## Construction

- The goal is to create a **Brownian motion**
- We begin with a symmetric random walk, i.e., we repeatedly toss a fair coin ( $p = q = 1/2$ )
- Let  $X_j$  be the random variable representing the outcome of the  $j^{\text{th}}$  coin toss in the following way

$$X_j = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if the outcome is heads} \\ -1 & \text{if the outcome is tails} \end{cases} \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \dots$$

- Define  $M_0 = 0$  and

$$M_k = \sum_{j=1}^k X_j, \text{ for } k = 1, 2, \dots$$

- We call the process  $M_k, k = 0, 1, \dots$  a **symmetric random walk**

## Increments of the symmetric random walk

- A random walk has **independent increments**, i.e., for every choice of nonnegative integers  $0 = k_0 < k_1 < \dots < k_m$ , the random variables

$$M_{k_1} - M_{k_0}, M_{k_2} - M_{k_1}, \dots, M_{k_m} - M_{k_{m-1}}$$

are **independent**

- Each of the random variables

$$M_{k_{i+1}} - M_{k_i} = \sum_{j=k_i+1}^{k_{i+1}} X_j$$

is called an **increment** of the random walk

- The **expected value** of each increment is 0
- As for the **variance**, we have

$$\text{Var}[M_{k_{i+1}} - M_{k_i}] = \sum_{j=k_i+1}^{k_{i+1}} \text{Var}[X_j] = \sum_{j=k_i+1}^{k_{i+1}} 1 = k_{i+1} - k_i$$

- We say that the **variance of the symmetric random walk accumulates at the rate one per unit time**



## Increments of the symmetric random walk

- A random walk has **independent increments**, i.e., for every choice of nonnegative integers  $0 = k_0 < k_1 < \dots < k_m$ , the random variables

$$M_{k_1} - M_{k_0}, M_{k_2} - M_{k_1}, \dots, M_{k_m} - M_{k_{m-1}}$$

are **independent**

- Each of the random variables

$$M_{k_{i+1}} - M_{k_i} = \sum_{j=k_i+1}^{k_{i+1}} X_j$$

is called an **increment** of the random walk

- The **expected value** of each increment is 0
- As for the **variance**, we have

$$\text{Var}[M_{k_{i+1}} - M_{k_i}] = \sum_{j=k_i+1}^{k_{i+1}} \text{Var}[X_j] = \sum_{j=k_i+1}^{k_{i+1}} 1 = k_{i+1} - k_i$$

- We say that the **variance of the symmetric random walk accumulates at the rate one per unit time**

## Increments of the symmetric random walk

- A random walk has **independent increments**, i.e., for every choice of nonnegative integers  $0 = k_0 < k_1 < \dots < k_m$ , the random variables

$$M_{k_1} - M_{k_0}, M_{k_2} - M_{k_1}, \dots, M_{k_m} - M_{k_{m-1}}$$

are **independent**

- Each of the random variables

$$M_{k_{i+1}} - M_{k_i} = \sum_{j=k_i+1}^{k_{i+1}} X_j$$

is called an **increment** of the random walk

- The **expected value** of each increment is 0
- As for the **variance**, we have

$$\text{Var}[M_{k_{i+1}} - M_{k_i}] = \sum_{j=k_i+1}^{k_{i+1}} \text{Var}[X_j] = \sum_{j=k_i+1}^{k_{i+1}} 1 = k_{i+1} - k_i$$

- We say that the **variance of the symmetric random walk accumulates at the rate one per unit time**

## Increments of the symmetric random walk

- A random walk has **independent increments**, i.e., for every choice of nonnegative integers  $0 = k_0 < k_1 < \dots < k_m$ , the random variables

$$M_{k_1} - M_{k_0}, M_{k_2} - M_{k_1}, \dots, M_{k_m} - M_{k_{m-1}}$$

are **independent**

- Each of the random variables

$$M_{k_{i+1}} - M_{k_i} = \sum_{j=k_i+1}^{k_{i+1}} X_j$$

is called an **increment** of the random walk

- The **expected value** of each increment is 0
- As for the **variance**, we have

$$\text{Var}[M_{k_{i+1}} - M_{k_i}] = \sum_{j=k_i+1}^{k_{i+1}} \text{Var}[X_j] = \sum_{j=k_i+1}^{k_{i+1}} 1 = k_{i+1} - k_i$$

- We say that the variance of the symmetric random walk accumulates at the rate one per unit time

## Increments of the symmetric random walk

- A random walk has **independent increments**, i.e., for every choice of nonnegative integers  $0 = k_0 < k_1 < \dots < k_m$ , the random variables

$$M_{k_1} - M_{k_0}, M_{k_2} - M_{k_1}, \dots, M_{k_m} - M_{k_{m-1}}$$

are **independent**

- Each of the random variables

$$M_{k_{i+1}} - M_{k_i} = \sum_{j=k_i+1}^{k_{i+1}} X_j$$

is called an **increment** of the random walk

- The **expected value** of each increment is 0
- As for the **variance**, we have

$$\text{Var}[M_{k_{i+1}} - M_{k_i}] = \sum_{j=k_i+1}^{k_{i+1}} \text{Var}[X_j] = \sum_{j=k_i+1}^{k_{i+1}} 1 = k_{i+1} - k_i$$

- We say that **the variance of the symmetric random walk accumulates at the rate one per unit time**

# Quadratic Variation of the Symmetric Random Walk

- Consider the **quadratic variation** of the symmetric random walk, i.e.,

$$[M, M]_k = \sum_{j=1}^k (M_j - M_{j-1})^2 = k.$$

- Note that the quadratic variation is computed **path-by-path**
- Also note that **seemingly** the quadratic variation  $[M, M]_k$  equals the variance of  $M_k$  - but these are computed in a different fashion and have different meanings:
- The variance is an average over all possible paths; it is a **theoretical** quantity
- The quadratic variation is evaluated with a **single path** in mind; from tick-by-tick price data, one can calculate the quadratic variation for any **realized** path

# Quadratic Variation of the Symmetric Random Walk

- Consider the **quadratic variation** of the symmetric random walk, i.e.,

$$[M, M]_k = \sum_{j=1}^k (M_j - M_{j-1})^2 = k.$$

- Note that the quadratic variation is computed **path-by-path**
- Also note that **seemingly** the quadratic variation  $[M, M]_k$  equals the variance of  $M_k$  - but these are computed in a different fashion and have different meanings:
- The variance is an average over all possible paths; it is a **theoretical** quantity
- The quadratic variation is evaluated with a **single path** in mind; from tick-by-tick price data, one can calculate the quadratic variation for any **realized path**

# Quadratic Variation of the Symmetric Random Walk

- Consider the **quadratic variation** of the symmetric random walk, i.e.,

$$[M, M]_k = \sum_{j=1}^k (M_j - M_{j-1})^2 = k.$$

- Note that the quadratic variation is computed **path-by-path**
- Also note that **seemingly** the quadratic variation  $[M, M]_k$  equals the variance of  $M_k$  - but these are computed in a different fashion and have different meanings:
  - The variance is an average over all possible paths; it is a **theoretical** quantity
  - The quadratic variation is evaluated with a **single path** in mind; from tick-by-tick price data, one can calculate the quadratic variation for any **realized** path

# Quadratic Variation of the Symmetric Random Walk

- Consider the **quadratic variation** of the symmetric random walk, i.e.,

$$[M, M]_k = \sum_{j=1}^k (M_j - M_{j-1})^2 = k.$$

- Note that the quadratic variation is computed **path-by-path**
- Also note that **seemingly** the quadratic variation  $[M, M]_k$  equals the variance of  $M_k$  - but these are computed in a different fashion and have different meanings:
- The variance is an average over all possible paths; it is a **theoretical** quantity
- The quadratic variation is evaluated with a **single path** in mind; from tick-by-tick price data, one can calculate the quadratic variation for any **realized** path



## Quadratic Variation of the Symmetric Random Walk

- Consider the **quadratic variation** of the symmetric random walk, i.e.,

$$[M, M]_k = \sum_{j=1}^k (M_j - M_{j-1})^2 = k.$$

- Note that the quadratic variation is computed **path-by-path**
- Also note that **seemingly** the quadratic variation  $[M, M]_k$  equals the variance of  $M_k$  - but these are computed in a different fashion and have different meanings:
- The variance is an average over all possible paths; it is a **theoretical** quantity
- The quadratic variation is evaluated with a **single path** in mind; from tick-by-tick price data, one can calculate the quadratic variation for any **realized** path

# Scaled Random Walks

- 1 Symmetric Random Walk
- 2 Scaled Symmetric Random Walk
- 3 Log-Normal Distribution as the Limit of the Binomial Model

## The definition

- Recall the illustrative graphs on the convergence of random walks  
...
- For a fixed integer  $n$ , we define the **scaled symmetric random walk** by

$$W^{(n)}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} M_{nt}$$

for all  $t \geq 0$  such that  $nt$  is an integer; for all other nonnegative  $t$  - we define  $W^{(n)}(t)$  by **linear interpolation**

- The scaled random walk has **independent increments**, i.e., if  $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_m$  are such that  $nt_j$  is an integer for all  $j$ , then the random variables

$$W^{(n)}(t_1) - W^{(n)}(t_0), W^{(n)}(t_2) - W^{(n)}(t_1), \dots, W^{(n)}(t_m) - W^{(n)}(t_{m-1})$$

are **independent**

## The definition

- Recall the illustrative graphs on the convergence of random walks  
...
- For a **fixed** integer  $n$ , we define the **scaled symmetric random walk** by

$$W^{(n)}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} M_{nt}$$

for all  $t \geq 0$  such that  $nt$  is an integer; for all other nonnegative  $t$  - we define  $W^{(n)}(t)$  by **linear interpolation**

- The scaled random walk has **independent increments**, i.e., if  $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_m$  are such that  $nt_j$  is an integer for all  $j$ , then the random variables

$$W^{(n)}(t_1) - W^{(n)}(t_0), W^{(n)}(t_2) - W^{(n)}(t_1), \dots, W^{(n)}(t_m) - W^{(n)}(t_{m-1})$$

are **independent**

## The definition

- Recall the illustrative graphs on the convergence of random walks  
...
- For a **fixed** integer  $n$ , we define the **scaled symmetric random walk** by

$$W^{(n)}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} M_{nt}$$

for all  $t \geq 0$  such that  $nt$  is an integer; for all other nonnegative  $t$  - we define  $W^{(n)}(t)$  by **linear interpolation**

- The scaled random walk has **independent increments**, i.e., if  $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_m$  are such that  $nt_j$  is an integer for all  $j$ , then the random variables

$$W^{(n)}(t_1) - W^{(n)}(t_0), W^{(n)}(t_2) - W^{(n)}(t_1), \dots, W^{(n)}(t_m) - W^{(n)}(t_{m-1})$$

are **independent**

## More properties of the scaled symmetric random walk

- Let  $0 \leq s \leq t$  be such that both  $ns$  and  $nt$  are integers, then

$$\mathbb{E}[W^{(n)}(t) - W^{(n)}(s)] = 0$$

$$\text{Var}[W^{(n)}(t) - W^{(n)}(s)] = t - s$$

- The quadratic variation for any  $t$  such that  $nt$  is an integer equals

$$\begin{aligned} [W^{(n)}, W^{(n)}](t) &= \sum_{j=1}^{nt} \left[ W^{(n)}\left(\frac{j}{n}\right) - W^{(n)}\left(\frac{j-1}{n}\right) \right]^2 \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{nt} \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} X_j \right]^2 \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{nt} \frac{1}{n} = 1 \end{aligned}$$

## More properties of the scaled symmetric random walk

- Let  $0 \leq s \leq t$  be such that both  $ns$  and  $nt$  are integers, then

$$\mathbb{E}[W^{(n)}(t) - W^{(n)}(s)] = 0$$

$$\text{Var}[W^{(n)}(t) - W^{(n)}(s)] = t - s$$

- The quadratic variation for any  $t$  such that  $nt$  is an integer equals

$$\begin{aligned} [W^{(n)}, W^{(n)}](t) &= \sum_{j=1}^{nt} \left[ W^{(n)}\left(\frac{j}{n}\right) - W^{(n)}\left(\frac{j-1}{n}\right) \right]^2 \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{nt} \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} X_j \right]^2 \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{nt} \frac{1}{n} = 1 \end{aligned}$$

## More properties of the scaled symmetric random walk

- Let  $0 \leq s \leq t$  be such that both  $ns$  and  $nt$  are integers, then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[W^{(n)}(t) - W^{(n)}(s)] &= 0 \\ \text{Var}[W^{(n)}(t) - W^{(n)}(s)] &= t - s\end{aligned}$$

- The quadratic variation for any  $t$  such that  $nt$  is an integer equals

$$\begin{aligned}[W^{(n)}, W^{(n)}](t) &= \sum_{j=1}^{nt} \left[ W^{(n)}\left(\frac{j}{n}\right) - W^{(n)}\left(\frac{j-1}{n}\right) \right]^2 \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{nt} \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} X_j \right]^2 \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{nt} \frac{1}{n} = 1\end{aligned}$$



# Limiting Distribution of the Scaled Random Walk

- Central Limit Theorem

Fix  $t \geq 0$ . As  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , the distribution of the scaled random walk  $W^{(n)}(t)$  evaluated at time  $t$  converges to the normal distribution with mean zero and variance  $t$ , i.e., for every  $t \geq 0$

$$W^{(n)}(t) \Rightarrow N(0, t)$$

- We use the CLT in statements such as:

$$\mathbb{E}[g(W^{(100)}(0.25))] \approx \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x) e^{-2x^2} dx$$

where  $g$  is any continuous, bounded function

# Limiting Distribution of the Scaled Random Walk

- Central Limit Theorem

Fix  $t \geq 0$ . As  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , the distribution of the scaled random walk  $W^{(n)}(t)$  evaluated at time  $t$  converges to the normal distribution with mean zero and variance  $t$ , i.e., for every  $t \geq 0$

$$W^{(n)}(t) \Rightarrow N(0, t)$$

- We use the CLT in statements such as:

$$\mathbb{E}[g(W^{(100)}(0.25))] \approx \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x) e^{-2x^2} dx$$

where  $g$  is any continuous, bounded function

# Limiting Distribution of the Scaled Random Walk

- Central Limit Theorem

Fix  $t \geq 0$ . As  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , the distribution of the scaled random walk  $W^{(n)}(t)$  evaluated at time  $t$  converges to the normal distribution with mean zero and variance  $t$ , i.e., for every  $t \geq 0$

$$W^{(n)}(t) \Rightarrow N(0, t)$$

- We use the CLT in statements such as:

$$\mathbb{E}[g(W^{(100)}(0.25))] \approx \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x) e^{-2x^2} dx$$

where  $g$  is any continuous, bounded function

# Scaled Random Walks

- 1 Symmetric Random Walk
- 2 Scaled Symmetric Random Walk
- 3 Log-Normal Distribution as the Limit of the Binomial Model**

## Back to the binomial pricing model

- The Central Limit Theorem allows us to conclude that the limit of a properly scaled binomial asset-pricing model leads to a stock-price with a log-normal distribution.
- Consider a binomial model for a stock price on the time interval  $[0, t]$  with  $n$  steps (binomial periods); assume that  $n$  and  $t$  are chosen so that  $nt$  is an integer
- Let the “up factor” be  $u_n = 1 + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$  and let the “down factor” be  $d_n = 1 - \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$
- For simplicity, assume that there is no interest rate and that the stock pays no dividends. The final result will hold in those cases as well, but it is a bit harder to exhibit
- The risk neutral probabilities are

$$p^* = \frac{1 - d_n}{u_n - d_n} = \frac{1}{2}, \quad q^* = 1 - p^* = \frac{1}{2}$$

## Back to the binomial pricing model

- The Central Limit Theorem allows us to conclude that the limit of a properly scaled binomial asset-pricing model leads to a stock-price with a log-normal distribution.
- Consider a binomial model for a stock price on the time interval  $[0, t]$  with  $n$  steps (binomial periods); assume that  $n$  and  $t$  are chosen so that  $nt$  is an integer
- Let the “up factor” be  $u_n = 1 + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$  and let the “down factor” be  $d_n = 1 - \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$
- For simplicity, assume that there is no interest rate and that the stock pays no dividends. The final result will hold in those cases as well, but it is a bit harder to exhibit
- The risk neutral probabilities are

$$p^* = \frac{1 - d_n}{u_n - d_n} = \frac{1}{2}, \quad q^* = 1 - p^* = \frac{1}{2}$$

## Back to the binomial pricing model

- The Central Limit Theorem allows us to conclude that the limit of a properly scaled binomial asset-pricing model leads to a stock-price with a log-normal distribution.
- Consider a binomial model for a stock price on the time interval  $[0, t]$  with  $n$  steps (binomial periods); assume that  $n$  and  $t$  are chosen so that  $nt$  is an integer
- Let the “up factor” be  $u_n = 1 + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$  and let the “down factor” be  $d_n = 1 - \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$
- For simplicity, assume that there is no interest rate and that the stock pays no dividends. The final result will hold in those cases as well, but it is a bit harder to exhibit
- The risk neutral probabilities are

$$p^* = \frac{1 - d_n}{u_n - d_n} = \frac{1}{2}, \quad q^* = 1 - p^* = \frac{1}{2}$$

## Back to the binomial pricing model

- The Central Limit Theorem allows us to conclude that the limit of a properly scaled binomial asset-pricing model leads to a stock-price with a log-normal distribution.
- Consider a binomial model for a stock price on the time interval  $[0, t]$  with  $n$  steps (binomial periods); assume that  $n$  and  $t$  are chosen so that  $nt$  is an integer
- Let the “up factor” be  $u_n = 1 + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$  and let the “down factor” be  $d_n = 1 - \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$
- For simplicity, assume that there is no interest rate and that the stock pays no dividends. The final result will hold in those cases as well, but it is a bit harder to exhibit
- The risk neutral probabilities are

$$p^* = \frac{1 - d_n}{u_n - d_n} = \frac{1}{2}, \quad q^* = 1 - p^* = \frac{1}{2}$$



## Back to the binomial pricing model

- The Central Limit Theorem allows us to conclude that the limit of a properly scaled binomial asset-pricing model leads to a stock-price with a log-normal distribution.
- Consider a binomial model for a stock price on the time interval  $[0, t]$  with  $n$  steps (binomial periods); assume that  $n$  and  $t$  are chosen so that  $nt$  is an integer
- Let the “up factor” be  $u_n = 1 + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$  and let the “down factor” be  $d_n = 1 - \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$
- For simplicity, assume that there is no interest rate and that the stock pays no dividends. The final result will hold in those cases as well, but it is a bit harder to exhibit
- The risk neutral probabilities are

$$p^* = \frac{1 - d_n}{u_n - d_n} = \frac{1}{2}, \quad q^* = 1 - p^* = \frac{1}{2}$$

## Coin tosses

- $S(0)$  ... initial stock price
- $H_{nt}$  ... the number of heads in the first  $nt$  coin tosses
- $T_{nt}$  ... the number of tails in the first  $nt$  coin tosses, i.e.,

$$T_{nt} = nt - H_{nt}$$

- Then, the **symmetric random walk**  $M_{nt}$  is the number of heads minus the number of tails, i.e.,

$$M_{nt} = H_{nt} - T_{nt}$$

- Hence,

$$H_{nt} = \frac{1}{2}(nt + M_{nt}) \text{ and } T_{nt} = \frac{1}{2}(nt - M_{nt})$$

## Coin tosses

- $S(0)$  ... initial stock price
- $H_{nt}$  ... the number of heads in the first  $nt$  coin tosses
- $T_{nt}$  ... the number of tails in the first  $nt$  coin tosses, i.e.,

$$T_{nt} = nt - H_{nt}$$

- Then, the **symmetric random walk**  $M_{nt}$  is the number of heads minus the number of tails, i.e.,

$$M_{nt} = H_{nt} - T_{nt}$$

- Hence,

$$H_{nt} = \frac{1}{2}(nt + M_{nt}) \text{ and } T_{nt} = \frac{1}{2}(nt - M_{nt})$$

## Coin tosses

- $S(0)$  ... initial stock price
- $H_{nt}$  ... the number of heads in the first  $nt$  coin tosses
- $T_{nt}$  ... the number of tails in the first  $nt$  coin tosses, i.e.,

$$T_{nt} = nt - H_{nt}$$

- Then, the **symmetric random walk**  $M_{nt}$  is the number of heads minus the number of tails, i.e.,

$$M_{nt} = H_{nt} - T_{nt}$$

- Hence,

$$H_{nt} = \frac{1}{2}(nt + M_{nt}) \text{ and } T_{nt} = \frac{1}{2}(nt - M_{nt})$$

## Coin tosses

- $S(0)$  ... initial stock price
- $H_{nt}$  ... the number of heads in the first  $nt$  coin tosses
- $T_{nt}$  ... the number of tails in the first  $nt$  coin tosses, i.e.,

$$T_{nt} = nt - H_{nt}$$

- Then, the **symmetric random walk**  $M_{nt}$  is the number of heads minus the number of tails, i.e.,

$$M_{nt} = H_{nt} - T_{nt}$$

- Hence,

$$H_{nt} = \frac{1}{2}(nt + M_{nt}) \text{ and } T_{nt} = \frac{1}{2}(nt - M_{nt})$$

## Coin tosses

- $S(0)$  ... initial stock price
- $H_{nt}$  ... the number of heads in the first  $nt$  coin tosses
- $T_{nt}$  ... the number of tails in the first  $nt$  coin tosses, i.e.,

$$T_{nt} = nt - H_{nt}$$

- Then, the **symmetric random walk**  $M_{nt}$  is the number of heads minus the number of tails, i.e.,

$$M_{nt} = H_{nt} - T_{nt}$$

- Hence,

$$H_{nt} = \frac{1}{2}(nt + M_{nt}) \text{ and } T_{nt} = \frac{1}{2}(nt - M_{nt})$$

# Binomial stock-price. Convergence Theorem

- Using the above notation and the binomial pricing model, we get that the stock price at time  $t$  equals

$$\begin{aligned} S_n(t) &= S(0) u_n^{H_{nt}} d_n^{T_{nt}} \\ &= S(0) \left(1 + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}(nt + M_{nt})} \left(1 - \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}(nt - M_{nt})} \end{aligned}$$

- Theorem.**

As  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , the distribution of  $S_n(t)$  converges to the distribution of

$$S(t) = S(0) \exp \left\{ \sigma W(t) - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 t \right\}$$

where  $W(t)$  is a normal random variable with mean zero and variance  $t$ .

- The above is **very important** !!!!!

## Binomial stock-price. Convergence Theorem

- Using the above notation and the binomial pricing model, we get that the stock price at time  $t$  equals

$$\begin{aligned} S_n(t) &= S(0) u_n^{H_{nt}} d_n^{T_{nt}} \\ &= S(0) \left(1 + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}(nt+M_{nt})} \left(1 - \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}(nt-M_{nt})} \end{aligned}$$

- Theorem.**

As  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , the distribution of  $S_n(t)$  converges to the distribution of

$$S(t) = S(0) \exp \left\{ \sigma W(t) - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 t \right\}$$

where  $W(t)$  is a normal random variable with mean zero and variance  $t$ .

- The above is **very important** !!!!!



## Binomial stock-price. Convergence Theorem

- Using the above notation and the binomial pricing model, we get that the stock price at time  $t$  equals

$$\begin{aligned} S_n(t) &= S(0) u_n^{H_{nt}} d_n^{T_{nt}} \\ &= S(0) \left(1 + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}(nt + M_{nt})} \left(1 - \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}(nt - M_{nt})} \end{aligned}$$

- Theorem.**

As  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , the distribution of  $S_n(t)$  converges to the distribution of

$$S(t) = S(0) \exp \left\{ \sigma W(t) - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 t \right\}$$

where  $W(t)$  is a normal random variable with mean zero and variance  $t$ .

- The above is **very important** !!!!!

# The log-normal distribution

- **Definition.** The distribution of  $S(t)$  is called **log-normal**.
- In general, any random variable of the form  $ce^X$  with  $c$  a constant and  $X$  a normally distributed random variable is referred to as log-normal
- In the present case,

$$X = \sigma W(t) - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 t$$

is normal with mean  $-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 t$  and variance  $\sigma^2 t$

# The log-normal distribution

- **Definition.** The distribution of  $S(t)$  is called **log-normal**.
- In general, any random variable of the form  $ce^X$  with  $c$  a constant and  $X$  a normally distributed random variable is referred to as log-normal
- In the present case,

$$X = \sigma W(t) - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 t$$

is normal with mean  $-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 t$  and variance  $\sigma^2 t$

# The log-normal distribution

- **Definition.** The distribution of  $S(t)$  is called **log-normal**.
- In general, any random variable of the form  $ce^X$  with  $c$  a constant and  $X$  a normally distributed random variable is referred to as log-normal
- In the present case,

$$X = \sigma W(t) - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 t$$

is normal with mean  $-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 t$  and variance  $\sigma^2 t$