

# Uniform mixing and completely positive sofic entropy

Tim Austin and Peter Burton

October 31, 2016

## Abstract

Let  $G$  be a countable discrete sofic group. We define a concept of uniform mixing for measure-preserving  $G$ -actions and show that it implies completely positive sofic entropy. When  $G$  contains an element of infinite order, we use this to produce an uncountable family of pairwise nonisomorphic  $G$ -actions with completely positive sofic entropy. None of our examples is a factor of a Bernoulli shift.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $G$  be a countable discrete sofic group,  $(X, \mu)$  a standard probability space and  $T : G \curvearrowright X$  a measurable  $G$ -action preserving  $\mu$ . In [2], Lewis Bowen defined the sofic entropy of  $(X, \mu, T)$  relative to a sofic approximation under the hypothesis that the action admits a finite generating partition. The definition was extended to general  $(X, \mu, T)$  by Kerr and Li in [9] and Kerr gave a more elementary approach in [8]. In [3] Bowen showed that when  $G$  is amenable, sofic entropy relative to any sofic approximation agrees with the standard Kolmogorov-Sinai entropy. Despite some notable successes such as the proof in [2] that Bernoulli shifts with distinct base-entropies are nonisomorphic, many aspects of the theory of sofic entropy are still relatively undeveloped.

Rather than work with abstract measure-preserving  $G$ -actions, we will use the formalism of  $G$ -processes. If  $G$  is a countable group and  $A$  is a standard Borel space, we will endow  $A^G$  with the right-shift action given by  $(g \cdot a)(h) = a(hg)$  for  $g, h \in G$  and  $a \in A^G$ . A  $G$ -process over  $A$  is a Borel probability measure  $\mu$  on  $A^G$  which is invariant under this action. Any measure-preserving action of  $G$  on a standard probability space is measure-theoretically isomorphic to a  $G$ -process over some standard Borel space  $A$ . We will assume the state space  $A$  is finite, which corresponds to the case of measure-preserving actions which admit a finite generating partition. Note that by results of Seward from [12] and [13], the last condition is equivalent to an action admitting a countable generating partition with finite Shannon entropy.

In [1], the first author introduced a modified invariant called model-measure sofic entropy which is a lower bound for Bowen's sofic entropy. Let  $\Sigma = (\sigma_n : G \rightarrow \text{Sym}(V_n))$  be a sofic approximation to  $G$ . Model-measure sofic entropy is constructed in terms of sequences  $(\mu_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  where  $\mu_n$  is a probability measure on  $A^{V_n}$ . If these measures replicate the process  $(A^G, \mu)$  in an appropriate sense then we say that  $(\mu_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  locally and empirically converges to  $\mu$ . We refer the reader to [1] for the precise definitions. We have substituted the phrase 'local and empirical convergence' for the phrase 'quenched convergence' which appeared in [1]. This has been done to avoid confusion with an alternative use of the word 'quenched' in the physics literature. A process is said to have completely positive model-measure sofic entropy if every nontrivial factor has positive

model-measure sofic entropy. The goal of this paper is to prove the following theorem, which generalizes the main theorem of [5].

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $G$  be a countable sofic group containing an element of infinite order. Then there exists an uncountable family of pairwise nonisomorphic  $G$ -processes each of which has completely positive model-measure sofic entropy (and hence completely positive sofic entropy) with respect to any sofic approximation to  $G$ . None of these processes is a factor of a Bernoulli shift.*

In order to prove Theorem 1.1 we introduce a concept of uniform mixing for sequences of model-measures. This uniform model-mixing will be defined formally in Section 3. It implies completely positive model-measure sofic entropy.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $G$  be a countable sofic group and let  $(A^G, \mu)$  be a  $G$ -process with finite state space  $A$ . Suppose that for some sofic approximation  $\Sigma$  to  $G$ , there is a uniformly model-mixing sequence  $(\mu_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  which locally and empirically converges to  $\mu$  over  $\Sigma$ . Then  $(A^G, \mu)$  has completely positive lower model-measure sofic entropy with respect to  $\Sigma$ .*

As in [5], the examples we exhibit to establish Theorem 1.1 are produced via a coinduction method for lifting  $H$ -processes to  $G$ -processes when  $H \leq G$ . If  $(A^H, \nu)$  is an  $H$ -process then we can construct a corresponding  $G$ -process  $(A^G, \mu)$  as follows. Let  $T$  be a transversal for the right cosets of  $H$  in  $G$ . Identify  $G$  as a set with  $H \times T$  and thereby identify  $A^G$  with  $(A^H)^T$ . Set  $\mu = \nu^T$ . We call  $(A^G, \mu)$  the coinduced process and denote it by  $\text{CInd}_H^G(\nu)$ . (See page 72 of [7] for more details on this construction.) When  $H \cong \mathbb{Z}$  this procedure preserves uniform mixing.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $G$  be a countable sofic group and let  $(A^\mathbb{Z}, \nu)$  be a uniformly mixing  $\mathbb{Z}$ -process with finite state space  $A$ . Let  $H \leq G$  be a subgroup isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}$  and identify  $A^\mathbb{Z}$  with  $A^H$ . Then for any sofic approximation  $\Sigma$  to  $G$ , there is a uniformly model-mixing sequence of measures which locally and empirically converges to  $\text{CInd}_H^G(\nu)$  over  $\Sigma$ .*

We remark that it is easy to see that if  $(A^G, \mu)$  is a Bernoulli shift (that is to say,  $\mu$  is a product measure), then there is a uniformly model-mixing sequence which locally and empirically converges to  $\mu$ . Indeed, if  $\mu = \eta^G$  for a measure  $\eta$  on  $A$  then the measures  $\eta^{V_n}$  on  $A^{V_n}$  are uniformly model-mixing and locally and empirically converge to  $\mu$ . Thus Theorem 1.2 shows that Bernoulli shifts with finite state space have completely positive sofic entropy, giving another proof of this case of the main theorem from [10]. We believe that completely positive sofic entropy for general Bernoulli shifts can be deduced along the same lines, requiring only a few additional estimates, but do not pursue the details here.

## 1.1 Acknowledgements

The first author's research was partially supported by the Simons Collaboration on Algorithms and Geometry. The second author's research was partially supported by NSF grants DMS-0968710 and DMS-1464475.

## 2 Preliminaries

### 2.1 Notation

The notation we use closely follows that in [1]; we refer the reader to that reference for further discussion. Let  $A$  be a finite set. For any pair of sets  $W \subseteq S$  we let  $\pi_W : A^S \rightarrow A^W$  be projection onto the  $W$ -coordinates

(thus our notation leaves the larger set  $S$  implicit). Let  $G$  be a countable group and let  $(A^G, \mu)$  be a  $G$ -process. For  $F \subseteq G$  we will write  $\mu_F = \pi_{F*}\mu \in \text{Prob}(A^F)$  for the  $F$ -marginal of  $\mu$ .

Let  $B$  be another finite set and let  $\phi : A^G \rightarrow B$  be a measurable function. If  $F \subseteq G$  we will say that  $\phi$  is  $F$ -local if it factors through  $\pi_F$ . We will say  $\phi$  is local if it is  $F$ -local for some finite  $F$ . Let  $\phi^G : A^G \rightarrow B^G$  be given by  $\phi^G(a)(g) = \phi(g \cdot a)$  and note that  $\phi^G$  is equivariant between the right-shift on  $A^G$  and the right-shift on  $B^G$ .

Let  $V$  be a finite set and let  $\sigma$  be a map from  $G$  to  $\text{Sym}(V)$ . For  $g \in G$  and  $v \in V$  we write  $\sigma^g \cdot v$  instead of  $\sigma(g)(v)$ . For  $F \subseteq G$  and  $S \subseteq V$  we define

$$\sigma^F(S) = \{\sigma^g \cdot s : g \in F, s \in S\}.$$

For  $v \in V$  we write  $\sigma^F(v)$  for  $\sigma^F(\{v\})$ . We write  $\Pi_{v,F}^\sigma$  for the map from  $A^V$  to  $A^F$  given by  $\Pi_{v,F}^\sigma(\bar{a})(g) = \bar{a}(\sigma^g \cdot v)$  for  $\bar{a} \in A^V$  and  $g \in F$ . We write  $\Pi_v^\sigma$  for  $\Pi_{v,G}^\sigma$ . With  $\phi : A^G \rightarrow B$  as before, we write  $\phi^\sigma$  for the map from  $A^V$  to  $B^V$  given by  $\phi^\sigma(\bar{a})(v) = \phi(\Pi_v^\sigma(\bar{a}))$ .

If  $D$  is a finite set and  $\eta$  is a probability measure on  $D$  then  $H(\eta)$  denotes the Shannon entropy of  $\eta$ , and for  $\epsilon > 0$  we define

$$\text{cov}_\epsilon(\eta) = \min\{|F| : F \subseteq D \text{ is such that } \eta(F) > 1 - \epsilon\}.$$

If  $\phi : D \rightarrow E$  is a map to another finite set then we may write  $H_\mu(\phi)$  in place of  $H(\phi_*\mu)$ . For  $p \in [0, 1]$  we let  $H(p) = -p \log p - (1-p) \log(1-p)$ .

We use the  $o(\cdot)$  and  $\lesssim$  asymptotic notations with respect to the limit  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Given two functions  $f$  and  $g$  on  $\mathbb{N}$ , the notation  $f \lesssim g$  means that there is a positive constant  $C$  such that  $f(n) \leq Cg(n)$  for all  $n$ .

## 2.2 An information theoretic estimate

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $A$  be a finite set and let  $(V_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of finite sets such that  $|V_n|$  increases to infinity. Let  $\mu_n$  be a probability measure on  $A^{V_n}$ . We have*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H(\mu_n)}{|V_n|} \leq \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|V_n|} \log \text{cov}_\epsilon(\mu_n).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\mu$  be a probability measure on a finite set  $F$  and let  $E \subseteq F$ . By conditioning on the partition  $\{E, F \setminus E\}$  and then recalling that entropy is maximized by uniform distributions we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} H(\mu) &= \mu(E) \cdot H(\mu(\cdot | E)) + \mu(F \setminus E) \cdot H(\mu(\cdot | F \setminus E)) + H(\mu(E)) \\ &\leq \mu(E) \cdot \log(|E|) + (1 - \mu(E)) \cdot \log(|F \setminus E|) + H(\mu(E)). \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Now let  $\mu_n$  and  $V_n$  be as in the statement of the lemma. Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and let  $S_n \subseteq A^{V_n}$  be a sequence of sets

with  $\mu_n(S_n) > 1 - \epsilon$  and  $|S_n| = \text{cov}_\epsilon(\mu_n)$ . By applying (2.1) with  $F = A^{V_n}$  and  $E = S_n$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H(\mu_n)}{|V_n|} &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|V_n|} (\mu(S_n) \cdot \log(|S_n|) + (1 - \mu(S_n)) \cdot \log(|A^{V_n} \setminus S_n|) + H(\mu(S_n))) \\ &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|V_n|} (\log(|S_n|) + \epsilon \cdot \log(|A^{V_n}|) + H(\epsilon)) \\ &\leq \left( \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|V_n|} \log \text{cov}_\epsilon(\mu_n) \right) + \epsilon \cdot \log(|A|). \end{aligned}$$

Now let  $\epsilon$  tend to zero to obtain the lemma. □

### 3 Metrics on sofic approximations and uniform model-mixing

Let us fix a proper right-invariant metric  $\rho$  on  $G$ : for instance, if  $G$  is finitely generated then  $\rho$  can be a word metric, and more generally we may let  $w : G \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be any proper weight function and define  $\rho$  to be the resulting weighted word metric. Again let  $V$  be a finite set and let  $\sigma$  be a map from  $G$  to  $\text{Sym}(V)$ . Let  $H_\sigma$  be the graph on  $V$  with an edge from  $v$  to  $w$  if and only if  $\sigma^g \cdot v = w$  or  $\sigma^g \cdot w = v$  for some  $g \in G$ . Define a weight function  $W$  on the edges of  $H_\sigma$  by setting

$$W(v, w) = \min\{\rho(g, 1_G) : \sigma^g \cdot v = w \text{ or } \sigma^g \cdot w = v\}.$$

If  $v$  and  $w$  are in the same connected component of  $H_\sigma$  let  $\rho_\sigma$  be the  $W$ -weighted graph distance between  $v$  and  $w$ , that is

$$\rho_\sigma(v, w) = \min \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} W(p_i, p_{i+1}) : (v = p_0, p_1, \dots, p_{k-1}, p_k = w) \text{ is an } H_\sigma\text{-path from } v \text{ to } w \right\}.$$

Having defined  $\rho_\sigma$  on the connected components of  $H_\sigma$ , choose some number  $M$  much larger than the  $\rho_\sigma$ -distance between any two points in the same connected component. Set  $\rho_\sigma(v, w) = M$  for any pair  $v, w$  of vertices in distinct connected components of  $H_\sigma$ . Note that if  $(\sigma_n : G \rightarrow \text{Sym}(V_n))$  is a sofic approximation to  $G$  then for any fixed  $r < \infty$  once  $n$  is large enough the map  $g \mapsto \sigma_n^g \cdot v$  restricts to an isometry from  $B_\rho(1_G, r)$  to  $B_{\rho_{\sigma_n}}(v, r)$  for most  $v \in V_n$ .

In the sequel the sofic approximation will be fixed, and we will abbreviate  $\rho_{\sigma_n}$  to  $\rho_n$ . We can now state the main definition of this paper.

**Definition 3.1.** *Let  $(V_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of finite sets with  $|V_n| \rightarrow \infty$  and for each  $n$  let  $\sigma_n$  be a map from  $G$  to  $\text{Sym}(V_n)$ . Let  $A$  be a finite set. For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  let  $\mu_n$  be a probability measure on  $A^{V_n}$ . We say the sequence  $(\mu_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  is **uniformly model-mixing** if the following holds. For every finite  $F \subseteq G$  and every  $\epsilon > 0$  there is some  $r < \infty$  and a sequence of subsets  $W_n \subseteq V_n$  such that*

$$|W_n| = (1 - o(1))|V_n|$$

and if  $S \subseteq W_n$  is  $r$ -separated according to the metric  $\rho_n$  then

$$H(\pi_{\sigma_n^F(S)} \mu_n) \geq |S| \cdot (H(\mu_F) - \epsilon).$$

This definition is motivated by Weiss' notion of uniform mixing from the special case when  $G$  is amenable: see [14] and also Section 4 of [5]. Let us quickly recall that notion in the setting of a  $G$ -process  $(A^G, \mu)$ . First, if  $K \subseteq G$  is finite and  $S \subseteq G$  is another subset, then  $S$  is  $K$ -**spread** if any distinct elements  $s_1, s_2 \in S$  satisfy  $s_1 s_2^{-1} \notin K$ . The process  $(A^G, \mu)$  is **uniformly mixing** if, for any finite-valued measurable function  $\phi : A^G \rightarrow B$  and any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a finite subset  $K \subseteq G$  with the following property: if  $S \subseteq G$  is another finite subset which is  $K$ -spread, then

$$H((\phi_*^G \mu)_S) \geq |S| \cdot (H_\mu(\phi) - \epsilon).$$

Beware that we have reversed the order of multiplying  $s_1$  and  $s_2^{-1}$  in the definition of ' $K$ -spread' compared with [5]. This is because we work in terms of observables such as  $\phi$  rather than finite partitions of  $A^G$ , and shifting an observable by the action of  $g \in G$  corresponds to shifting the partition that it generates by  $g^{-1}$ .

The principal result of [11] is that completely positive entropy implies uniform mixing. The reverse implication also holds: see [6] or Theorem 4.2 in [5]. Thus, uniform mixing is an equivalent characterization of completely positive entropy.

The definition of uniform mixing may be rephrased in terms of our proper metric  $\rho$  on  $G$  as follows. The process  $(A^G, \mu)$  is uniformly mixing if and only if, for any finite-valued measurable function  $\phi : A^G \rightarrow B$  and any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists an  $r < \infty$  with the following property: if  $S \subseteq G$  is  $r$ -separated according to  $\rho$ , then

$$H((\phi_*^G \mu)_S) \geq |S| \cdot (H_\mu(\phi) - \epsilon).$$

This is equivalent to the previous definition because a subset  $S \subseteq G$  is  $r$ -separated according to  $\rho$  if and only if it is  $B_\rho(1_G, r)$ -spread. The balls  $B_\rho(1_G, r)$  are finite, because  $\rho$  is proper, and any other finite subset  $K \subseteq G$  is contained in  $B_\rho(1_G, r)$  for all sufficiently large  $r$ .

This is the point of view on uniform mixing which motivates Definition 3.1. We use the right-invariant metric  $\rho$  rather than the general definition of ' $K$ -spread' sets because it is more convenient later.

Definition 3.1 is directly compatible with uniform mixing in the following sense. If  $G$  is amenable and  $(F_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  is a Følner sequence for  $G$ , then the sets  $F_n$  may be regarded as a sofic approximation to  $G$ : an element  $g \in G$  acts on  $F_n$  by translation wherever this stays inside  $F_n$  and arbitrarily at points which are too close to the boundary of  $F_n$ . If  $(A^G, \mu)$  is an ergodic  $G$ -process, then it follows easily that the sequence of marginals  $\mu_{F_n}$  locally and empirically converge to  $\mu$  over this Følner-set sofic approximation. If  $(A^G, \mu)$  is uniformly mixing, then this sequence of marginals is clearly uniformly model-mixing in the sense of Definition 3.1.

On the other hand, suppose that  $(A^G, \mu)$  admits a sofic approximation and a locally and empirically convergent sequence of measures over that sofic approximation which is uniformly model-mixing. Then our Theorem 1.2 shows that  $(A^G, \mu)$  has completely positive sofic entropy. If  $G$  is amenable then sofic entropy always agrees with Kolmogorov-Sinai entropy [3], and this implies that  $(A^G, \mu)$  has completely positive entropy and hence is uniformly mixing, by the result of [11].

Thus if  $G$  is amenable then completely positive entropy and uniform mixing are both equivalent to the existence of a sofic approximation and a locally and empirically convergent sequence of measures over it which is uniformly model-mixing. If these conditions hold, then we expect that one can actually find a

locally and empirically convergent and uniformly model-mixing sequence of measures over *any* sofic approximation to  $G$ . This should follow using a similar kind of decomposition of the sofic approximants into Følner sets as in Bowen's proof in [3]. However, we have not explored this argument in detail.

Definition 3.1 applies to a shift-system with a finite state space. It can be transferred to an abstract measure-preserving  $G$ -action on  $(X, \mu)$  by fixing a choice of finite measurable partition of  $X$ . However, in order to study actions which do not admit a finite generating partition, it might be worth looking for an extension of Definition 3.1 to  $G$ -processes with arbitrary compact metric state spaces, similarly to the setting in [1]. We also do not pursue this generalization here.

## 4 Proof of Theorem 1.2

We will use basic facts about the Shannon entropy of observables (i.e. random variables with finite range), for which we refer the reader to Chapter 2 of [4]. Let  $\Sigma = (\sigma_n : G \rightarrow \text{Sym}(V_n))$ ,  $(A^G, \mu)$  and  $(\mu_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  be as in the statement of Theorem 1.2. The following is the ‘finitary’ model-measure analog of Lemma 5.1 in [5].

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $F \subseteq G$  be finite. Let  $B$  be a finite set and let  $\phi : A^G \rightarrow B$  be an  $F$ -local observable. Let  $S_n \subseteq V_n$  be a sequence of sets such that  $|S_n| \gtrsim |V_n|$ . Then we have*

$$\mathbb{H}(\mu_F) - \frac{1}{|S_n|} \mathbb{H}(\pi_{\sigma_n^F(S_n)} \mu_n) \geq \mathbb{H}_\mu(\phi) - \frac{1}{|S_n|} \mathbb{H}(\pi_{S_n} \phi_*^{\sigma_n} \mu_n) - o(1).$$

*Proof of Lemma 4.1.* Let  $\theta : A^F \rightarrow B$  be a map with  $\theta \circ \pi_F = \phi$ . Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $S \subseteq V_n$ . Let  $\alpha = \pi_{\sigma_n^F(S)} : A^{V_n} \rightarrow A^{\sigma_n^F(S)}$  and let  $\beta = \pi_S \circ \phi^{\sigma_n} : A^{V_n} \rightarrow B^S$ . For  $s \in S$  let  $\alpha_s = \Pi_{s, F}^{\sigma_n} : A^{V_n} \rightarrow A^F$  and let  $\beta_s = \theta \circ \Pi_{s, F}^{\sigma_n} : A^{V_n} \rightarrow B$ . Then we have  $\alpha = (\alpha_s)_{s \in S}$  and  $\beta = (\beta_s)_{s \in S}$ . Enumerate  $S = (s_k)_{k=1}^m$  and write  $\alpha_{s_k} = \alpha_k$ . All entropies in the following display are computed with respect to  $\mu_n$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{H}(\alpha) &= \mathbb{H}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) \\ &= \mathbb{H}(\alpha_1) + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \mathbb{H}(\alpha_{k+1} | \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) \\ &= \mathbb{H}(\alpha_1, \beta_1) + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \mathbb{H}(\alpha_{k+1}, \beta_{k+1} | \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) \\ &= \mathbb{H}(\beta_1) + \mathbb{H}(\alpha_1 | \beta_1) + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \mathbb{H}(\beta_{k+1} | \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \mathbb{H}(\alpha_{k+1} | \beta_{k+1}, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) \\ &\leq \mathbb{H}(\beta_1) + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \mathbb{H}(\beta_{k+1} | \beta_1, \dots, \beta_k) + \sum_{k=1}^m \mathbb{H}(\alpha_k | \beta_k) \\ &= \mathbb{H}(\beta) + \sum_{k=1}^m \mathbb{H}(\alpha_k | \beta_k). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\iota$  be the identity map on  $A^F$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
|S| \cdot \mathbf{H}(\mu_F) - \mathbf{H}(\pi_{\sigma_n^F(S)} \mu_n) &= |S| \cdot \mathbf{H}_{\mu_F}(\iota) - \mathbf{H}_{\mu_n}(\alpha) \\
&\geq |S| \cdot \mathbf{H}_{\mu_F}(\theta) + |S| \cdot \mathbf{H}_{\mu_F}(\iota|\theta) - \mathbf{H}_{\mu_n}(\beta) - \sum_{s \in S} \mathbf{H}_{\mu_n}(\alpha_s|\beta_s) \\
&= |S| \cdot \mathbf{H}_{\mu}(\phi) - \mathbf{H}(\pi_{S_*} \phi_*^{\sigma_n} \mu_n) + |S| \cdot \mathbf{H}_{\mu_F}(\iota|\theta) - \sum_{s \in S} \mathbf{H}_{\mu_n}(\alpha_s|\beta_s). \tag{4.1}
\end{aligned}$$

Now allowing  $n$  to vary, let  $S_n \subseteq V_n$  be a sequence of sets such that  $|S_n| \gtrsim |V_n|$ . Write  $\nu_n = \pi_{\sigma_n^F(S_n)} \mu_n$ . Let  $s \in S_n$  be such that the obvious map from  $F$  to  $\sigma_n^F(s)$  is injective. Then the function  $\bar{a} \mapsto \Pi_{s,F}^{\sigma_n}(\bar{a})$  provides an identification of  $A^{\sigma_n^F(s)}$  with  $A^F$ . This identification sends  $\alpha_s$  to  $\iota$  and  $\beta_s$  to  $\theta$ . When  $n$  is large the  $\sigma_n^F(s)$  marginal of  $\mu_n$  will resemble  $\mu_F$  for most  $s \in S_n$ . Since  $\alpha_s$  and  $\beta_s$  are  $\pi_{\sigma_n^F(s)}$  measurable this implies that  $\mathbf{H}_{\mu_F}(\iota|\theta) \approx \mathbf{H}_{\nu_n}(\alpha_s|\beta_s)$  for most  $s$ . More precisely, we can find a sequence of sets  $C_n \subseteq S_n$  with

$$|C_n| = (1 - o(1))|S_n|$$

such that

$$\max_{s \in C_n} |\mathbf{H}_{\mu_F}(\iota|\theta) - \mathbf{H}_{\nu_n}(\alpha_s|\beta_s)| = o(1).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| |S_n| \cdot \mathbf{H}_{\mu_F}(\iota|\theta) - \sum_{s \in S_n} \mathbf{H}_{\nu_n}(\alpha_s|\beta_s) \right| &\leq \sum_{s \in C_n} |\mathbf{H}_{\mu_F}(\iota|\theta) - \mathbf{H}_{\nu_n}(\alpha_s|\beta_s)| + \sum_{s \in S_n \setminus C_n} |\mathbf{H}_{\mu_F}(\iota|\theta) - \mathbf{H}_{\nu_n}(\alpha_s|\beta_s)| \\
&= o(|S_n|).
\end{aligned}$$

The lemma then follows from (4.1) and the above.  $\square$

Recall that for a measure space  $(X, \mu)$  and two observables  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  on  $X$  the Rokhlin distance between  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  is defined by

$$d_{\mu}^{\text{Rok}}(\alpha, \beta) = \mathbf{H}_{\mu}(\alpha|\beta) + \mathbf{H}_{\mu}(\beta|\alpha).$$

This is a pseudometric on the space of observables on  $X$ . An easy computation shows that if  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  and  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n$  are two families of observables on  $X$  then

$$d_{\mu}^{\text{Rok}}((\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n), (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)) \leq \sum_{k=1}^n d_{\mu}^{\text{Rok}}(\alpha_k, \beta_k).$$

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $\phi, \psi : A^G \rightarrow B$  be two local observables. Let  $S_n \subseteq V_n$  be a sequence of sets with  $|S_n| \gtrsim |V_n|$ . Then we have*

$$\frac{1}{|S_n|} |\mathbf{H}(\pi_{S_n} \phi_*^{\sigma_n} \mu_n) - \mathbf{H}(\pi_{S_n} \psi_*^{\sigma_n} \mu_n)| \leq d_{\mu}^{\text{Rok}}(\phi, \psi) + o(1).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha_n = \pi_{S_n} \circ \phi^{\sigma_n} : A^{V_n} \rightarrow B^{S_n}$  and let  $\beta_n = \pi_{S_n} \circ \psi^{\sigma_n} : A^{V_n} \rightarrow B^{S_n}$ . Let  $F$  be a finite subset of  $G$  such that both  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are  $F$ -local. Let  $\theta : A^F \rightarrow B$  be a map such that  $\theta \circ \pi_F = \phi$  and let  $\kappa : A^F \rightarrow B$

be a map such that  $\kappa \circ \pi_F = \psi$ . For  $s \in S_n$  let  $\alpha_{n,s} = \theta \circ \Pi_{s,F}^{\sigma_n} : A^{V_n} \rightarrow B$  so that  $\alpha_n = (\alpha_{n,s})_{s \in S_n}$ . Also let  $\beta_{n,s} = \kappa \circ \Pi_{s,F}^{\sigma_n} : A^{V_n} \rightarrow B$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|S_n|} |\mathbb{H}(\pi_{S_n} * \phi_*^{\sigma_n} \mu_n) - \mathbb{H}(\pi_{S_n} * \psi_*^{\sigma_n} \mu_n)| &= \frac{1}{|S_n|} |\mathbb{H}_{\mu_n}(\alpha_n) - \mathbb{H}_{\mu_n}(\beta_n)| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|S_n|} \cdot d_{\mu_n}^{\text{Rok}}(\alpha_n, \beta_n) \\ &= \frac{1}{|S_n|} \cdot d_{\mu_n}^{\text{Rok}}((\alpha_{n,s})_{s \in S_n}, (\beta_{n,s})_{s \in S_n}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|S_n|} \sum_{s \in S_n} d_{\mu_n}^{\text{Rok}}(\alpha_{n,s}, \beta_{n,s}) \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

If the map  $g \mapsto \sigma_n^g \cdot s$  is injective on  $F$ , we can identify  $A^{\sigma_n^F(s)}$  with  $A^F$  and thereby identify  $\alpha_{n,s}$  with  $\theta$  and  $\beta_{n,s}$  with  $\kappa$ . Note that

$$d_{\mu_F}^{\text{Rok}}(\theta, \kappa) = d_{\mu}^{\text{Rok}}(\phi, \psi).$$

It follows that for any  $\epsilon > 0$  we can find a weak star neighborhood  $\mathcal{O}$  of  $\mu$  such that if  $s \in S_n$  is such that  $(\Pi_s^{\sigma_n})_* \mu_n \in \mathcal{O}$  then

$$\left| d_{\mu_n}^{\text{Rok}}(\alpha_{n,s}, \beta_{n,s}) - d_{\mu}^{\text{Rok}}(\phi, \psi) \right| < \epsilon.$$

Thus, since  $\mu_n$  locally and empirically converges to  $\mu$ , there are sets  $C_n \subseteq S_n$  with  $|C_n| = (1 - o(1))|S_n|$  such that

$$\max_{s \in C_n} \left| d_{\mu_n}^{\text{Rok}}(\alpha_{n,s}, \beta_{n,s}) - d_{\mu}^{\text{Rok}}(\phi, \psi) \right| = o(1). \quad (4.3)$$

The lemma now follows from (4.2) and (4.3).  $\square$

**Corollary 4.1.** *Let  $(\phi_m : A^G \rightarrow B)_{m=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of local observables and let  $\phi : A^G \rightarrow B$  be a local observable. Let  $S_n \subseteq V_n$  be a sequence of sets with  $|S_n| \gtrsim |V_n|$ . Then if  $(m_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  increases to infinity at a slow enough rate we have*

$$\frac{1}{|S_n|} |\mathbb{H}(\pi_{S_n} * \phi_*^{\sigma_n} \mu_n) - \mathbb{H}(\pi_{S_n} * \phi_{m_n}^{\sigma_n} \mu_n)| \leq d_{\mu}^{\text{Rok}}(\phi, \phi_{m_n}) + o(1).$$

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* Let  $B$  be a finite set and let  $\psi : A^G \rightarrow B$  be an observable with  $\mathbb{H}_{\mu}(\psi) > 0$ . Let  $(\phi_m)_{m=1}^{\infty}$  be an AL approximating sequence for  $\psi$  rel  $\mu$  (see Definition 4.4 in [1]). Then the sequence  $\phi_m$  converges to  $\psi$  in  $d_{\mu}^{\text{Rok}}$ . In particular,  $\phi_m$  is a Cauchy sequence and so we can find  $M \in \mathbb{N}$  so that for all  $m \geq M$  we have

$$d_{\mu}^{\text{Rok}}(\phi_m, \phi_M) \leq \frac{\mathbb{H}_{\mu}(\psi)}{8}. \quad (4.4)$$

We will also assume  $M$  is large enough that

$$\mathbb{H}_{\mu}(\phi_M) \geq \frac{\mathbb{H}_{\mu}(\psi)}{2}. \quad (4.5)$$

Let  $F$  be a finite subset of  $G$  such that  $\phi_M$  is  $F$ -local. Then Definition 3.1 provides an  $r < \infty$  and a sequence of subsets  $W_n \subseteq V_n$  such that  $|W_n| = (1 - o(1))|V_n|$  and if  $S \subseteq W_n$  is  $r$ -separated then

$$\mathbb{H}(\mu_F) - \frac{1}{|S|} \mathbb{H}(\pi_{\sigma_n^F(S)} * \mu_n) \leq \frac{\mathbb{H}_{\mu}(\phi_M)}{2}. \quad (4.6)$$

Let  $K = |B_\rho(1_G, r)|$ . Since  $\sigma_n$  is a sofic approximation there are sets  $W'_n \subseteq V_n$  with  $|W'_n| = (1 - o(1))|V_n|$  such that if  $w \in W'_n$  then the  $\rho_n$  ball of radius  $r$  around  $w$  has cardinality at most  $K$ . Write  $Y_n = W_n \cap W'_n$  and note that we have  $|Y_n| = (1 - o(1))|V_n|$ . For each  $n$  let  $S_n$  be an  $r$ -separated subset of  $Y_n$  with maximal cardinality. Then  $Y_n \subseteq \bigcup_{s \in S_n} B_{\rho_n}(s, r)$  so that

$$|S_n| \geq \frac{|Y_n|}{K} = (1 - o(1)) \frac{|V_n|}{K}. \quad (4.7)$$

By Lemma 4.1 and (4.6) we have

$$\mathbb{H}_\mu(\phi_M) - \frac{1}{|S_n|} \mathbb{H}(\pi_{S_n} * \phi_{M*}^{\sigma_n} \mu_n) - o(1) \leq \frac{\mathbb{H}_\mu(\phi_M)}{2}$$

so that from (4.5) we have

$$\frac{\mathbb{H}_\mu(\psi)}{4} - o(1) \leq \frac{1}{|S_n|} \mathbb{H}(\pi_{S_n} * \phi_{M*}^{\sigma_n} \mu_n). \quad (4.8)$$

By Proposition 5.15 in [1] if  $(m_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  increases to infinity at a slow enough rate then  $(\phi_{m_n}^{\sigma_n})_* \mu_n$  will locally and empirically converge to  $\psi_*^G \mu$ . Since  $A$  is finite, by the same argument as for Proposition 8.1 in [1] we have

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{h}_\Sigma^q(\psi_*^G \mu) &\geq \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|V_n|} \log \text{cov}_\epsilon((\phi_{m_n}^{\sigma_n})_* \mu_n) \\ &\geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|V_n|} \mathbb{H}((\phi_{m_n}^{\sigma_n})_* \mu_n) \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

where the second inequality follows from Lemma 2.1. We also assume that  $(m_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  increases slowly enough for Corollary 4.1 to hold. By (4.4) we have

$$\left| \frac{1}{|S_n|} \mathbb{H}(\pi_{S_n} * \phi_{M*}^{\sigma_n} \mu_n) - \frac{1}{|S_n|} \mathbb{H}(\pi_{S_n} * (\phi_{m_n}^{\sigma_n})_* \mu_n) \right| \leq \frac{\mathbb{H}_\mu(\psi)}{8} + o(1).$$

Combining this with (4.8) we see that

$$\frac{1}{|S_n|} \mathbb{H}(\pi_{S_n} * (\phi_{m_n}^{\sigma_n})_* \mu_n) \geq \frac{\mathbb{H}_\mu(\psi)}{8} - o(1).$$

By the above and (4.7) we have that for all sufficiently large  $n$ ,

$$\mathbb{H}((\phi_{m_n}^{\sigma_n})_* \mu_n) \geq \frac{\mathbb{H}_\mu(\psi)}{8K + 1} |V_n| \quad (4.10)$$

Theorem 1.2 now follows from (4.9) and (4.10).  $\square$

## 5 Proof of Theorem 1.3

Let  $(A^\mathbb{Z}, \nu)$  be a uniformly mixing  $\mathbb{Z}$ -process, and for each positive integer  $l$  let  $\nu_l$  be the marginal of  $\nu$  on  $A^l$ . Let  $\Sigma = (\sigma_n : G \rightarrow \text{Sym}(V_n))$  be an arbitrary sofic approximation to  $G$ . Let  $h \in G$  have infinite order

and write  $H = \langle h \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}$ . We construct a measure  $\mu_n$  on  $A^{V_n}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We will later show that the sequence  $(\mu_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  is uniformly model-mixing and locally and empirically converges to  $\mu$  over  $\Sigma$ .

We first construct a measure  $\mu_n^l$  on  $A^{V_n}$  for each pair  $(n, l)$  with  $l$  much smaller than  $n$ . For a given  $n$ , the single permutation  $\sigma_n^h$  partitions  $V_n$  into a disjoint union of cycles. Since  $h$  has infinite order and  $\Sigma$  is a sofic approximation, once  $n$  is large most points will be in very long cycles. In particular we assume that most points are in cycles with length much larger than  $l$ . Partition the cycles into disjoint paths so that as many of the paths have length  $l$  as possible, and let  $\mathcal{P}_n^l = (P_{n,1}^l, \dots, P_{n,k_n}^l)$  be the collection of all length- $l$  paths that result (so  $\mathcal{P}_n^l$  is not a partition of the whole of  $V_n$ , but covers most of it). Fix any element  $\bar{a}_0 \in A^{V_n}$  and define a random element  $\bar{a} \in A^{V_n}$  by choosing each restriction  $\bar{a} \upharpoonright_{P_{n,i}^l}$  independently with the distribution of  $\nu_l$  and extending to the rest of  $V_n$  according to  $\bar{a}_0$ . Let  $\mu_n^l$  be the law of this  $\bar{a}$ .

Now let  $(l_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  increase to infinity at a slow enough rate that the following two conditions are satisfied:

- (a) The number of points of  $V_n$  that lie in some member of the family  $\mathcal{P}_n^{l_n}$  is  $(1 - o(1))|V_n|$ .
- (b) Whenever  $g, g' \in G$  lie in distinct right cosets of  $H$ , so that  $g^{-1}h^p g' \neq 1_G$  for all  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have

$$|\{v \in V_n : (\sigma_n^g)^{-1}(\sigma_n^h)^p \sigma_n^{g'} \cdot v = v \text{ for some } p \in \{-l_n, \dots, l_n\}\}| = o(|V_n|)$$

Set  $\mu_n = \mu_n^{l_n}$ . We separate the proof that  $(\mu_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  has the required properties into two lemmas.

**Lemma 5.1.**  $(\mu_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  locally and empirically converges to  $\mu$  over  $\Sigma$ .

*Proof of Lemma 5.1.* Since  $(A^G, \mu)$  is ergodic, by Corollary 5.6 in [1] it suffices to show that  $\mu_n$  locally weak star converges to  $\mu$ . For a set  $I \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$  write  $h^I = \{h^i : i \in I\}$ . Fix a finite set  $F \subseteq G$ . By enlarging  $F$  if necessary we can assume there is an interval  $I \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $F = \bigcup_{k=1}^m h^I t_k$  for  $t_1, \dots, t_m$  in some transversal for the right cosets of  $H$  in  $G$ . For each  $g \in F$  let  $j_g$  be a fixed element of  $A$ . Let  $B \subseteq A^G$  be defined by

$$B = \{a \in A^G : a(g) = j_g \text{ for all } g \in F\}$$

and let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then sets such as

$$\mathcal{O} = \{\eta \in \text{Prob}(A^G) : \eta(B) \approx_\epsilon \mu(B)\}$$

form a subbasis of neighborhoods around  $\mu$ . It therefore suffices to show that when  $n$  is large we have  $(\Pi_v^{\sigma_n})_* \mu_n \in \mathcal{O}$  with high probability in the choice of  $v \in V_n$ .

For  $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  let

$$B_k = \{x \in A^{\mathbb{Z}} : x(i) = j_{h^i t_k} \text{ for all } i \in I\}.$$

Note that  $\mu$  is defined in such a way that  $\mu(B) = \prod_{i=1}^k \nu(B_k)$ . Now, let  $W_n$  be the set of all points  $v \in V_n$  such that the following conditions hold.

- (i) The map  $g \mapsto \sigma_n^g \cdot v$  is injective on  $F$ .

(ii)  $\sigma_n^{h^i t_k} \cdot v = (\sigma_n^h)^i \sigma_n^{t_k} \cdot v$  for all  $i \in I$  and  $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ .

(iii) For all pairs  $g, g' \in F$ ,  $\sigma_n^g \cdot v$  is in the same path as  $\sigma_n^{g'} \cdot v$  if and only if  $g$  and  $g'$  lie in the same right coset of  $H$ . In particular, each of the images  $\sigma_n^g \cdot v$  for  $g \in F$  is contained in some member of  $\mathcal{P}_n^{l_n}$ .

We claim that  $|W_n| = (1 - o(1))|V_n|$ . Clearly Conditions (i) and (ii) are satisfied with high probability in  $v$ , and so is the last part of Condition (iii), by Condition (a) in the choice of  $(l_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ .

Fix  $g, g' \in F$  and suppose that  $g$  and  $g'$  are in the same coset of  $H$ , so that we have  $g = h^i t_k$  and  $g' = h^{i'} t_k$  for some  $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  and  $i, i' \in I$ . If  $v$  satisfies Condition (ii) then we have

$$(\sigma_n^h)^{i'-i} \sigma_n^g \cdot v = (\sigma_n^h)^{i'-i} (\sigma_n^h)^i \sigma_n^{t_k} \cdot v = (\sigma_n^h)^{i'} \sigma_n^{t_k} \cdot v = \sigma_n^{g'} \cdot v$$

so that  $\sigma_n^g \cdot v$  and  $\sigma_n^{g'} \cdot v$  will lie in the same path assuming that  $\sigma_n^{t_k} \cdot v$  is not one of the first or last  $|I|$  elements of its path. Note that for any  $v \in V_n$  we have

$$|\{w : \sigma_n^{t_k} \cdot w = v \text{ for some } k \in \{1, \dots, m\}\}| \leq m.$$

It follows that the number of points  $v \in V_n$  such that  $\sigma_n^{t_k} \cdot v$  is one of the first or last  $|I|$  elements of a path is at most  $2mp_n|I| + o(|V_n|)$  where  $p_n$  is the total number of paths in  $V_n$ . By Condition (a) in the choice of  $(l_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ , most of  $V_n$  is covered by paths whose lengths increase to infinity. Since also  $p_n = o(V_n)$ , it follows that  $\sigma_n^g \cdot v$  lies in the same path as  $\sigma_n^{g'} \cdot v$  with high probability in  $v$ .

On the other hand, suppose that  $g$  and  $g'$  are in distinct cosets of  $H$ . Assume that  $\sigma_n^g \cdot v$  and  $\sigma_n^{g'} \cdot v$  are in the same path. Then there is  $p \in \{-l_n, \dots, l_n\}$  with  $\sigma_n^g \cdot v = (\sigma_n^h)^p \sigma_n^{g'} \cdot v$ , and hence  $(\sigma_n^g)^{-1} (\sigma_n^h)^p \sigma_n^{g'} \cdot v = v$ . By Condition (b) in the choice of  $(l_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  there are only  $o(|V_n|)$  vertices  $v$  for which this holds. Thus we have established the claim.

Now let  $v \in W_n$ . We have

$$(\Pi_v^{\sigma_n})_* \mu_n(B) = \mu_n(\{\bar{a} \in A^{V_n} : \bar{a}(\sigma_n^g \cdot v) = j_g \text{ for all } g \in F\}).$$

For each  $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  the set  $\{(\sigma_n^h)^i \sigma_n^{t_k} \cdot v : i \in I\}$  is contained in a single path. Since the marginal of  $\mu_n$  on each path is  $\nu_{l_n}$  the probability that

$$\bar{a}((\sigma_n^h)^i \sigma_n^{t_k} \cdot v) = j_{h^i t_k}$$

for all  $i \in I$  is equal to  $\nu_{l_n}(B_k) = \nu(B_k)$ . On the other hand, the marginals of  $\mu_n$  on distinct paths are independent, so the probability that  $\bar{a}(\sigma_n^g \cdot v) = j_g$  for all  $g \in F$  is actually equal to  $\prod_{i=1}^k \nu(B_k)$ .  $\square$

If  $(A^{\mathbb{Z}}, \nu)$  is weakly mixing, then so is the co-induced  $G$ -action. In particular, this certainly holds if  $(A^{\mathbb{Z}}, \nu)$  is uniformly mixing. Therefore we may immediately promote Lemma 5.1 to the fact that  $(\mu_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  locally and doubly empirically converges to  $\mu$  over  $\Sigma$ , by Lemma 5.15 of [1]. In fact, we suspect that local and double empirical convergence holds here whenever  $(A^{\mathbb{Z}}, \nu)$  is ergodic.

**Lemma 5.2.**  $(\mu_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  is uniformly model-mixing.

*Proof of Lemma 5.2.* Let  $F \subseteq G$  be finite and let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Again decompose  $F = \bigcup_{k=1}^m h^I t_k$  for some interval  $I \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$  and elements  $t_k \in T$ . Note that the restriction of the metric  $\rho$  to  $H$  is a proper right invariant metric on  $H \cong \mathbb{Z}$ , even though it might be different from the usual metric on  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Thus since  $\nu$  is uniformly mixing we can find some  $r_0 < \infty$  such that if  $(I_j)_{j=1}^q$  is a family of intervals in  $\mathbb{Z}$  which are each of length  $|I|$  and are pairwise at distance at least  $r_0$  then writing  $K = \bigcup_{j=1}^q I_j$  we have

$$\mathbf{H}(\nu_K) \geq q \cdot \left( \mathbf{H}(\nu_I) - \frac{\epsilon}{m} \right). \quad (5.1)$$

Let  $r < \infty$  be large enough that for all  $g, g' \in G$  if  $\rho(g, g') \geq r$  then  $\rho(fg, f'g') \geq r_0$  for all  $f, f' \in F$ . Such a choice of  $r$  is possible since by right-invariance of  $\rho$  we have  $\rho(fg, g) = \rho(f, 1_G)$  and  $\rho(f'g', g') = \rho(f', 1_G)$ . Let  $W_n$  be as in the proof of Lemma 5.1 and recall that  $|W_n| = (1 - o(1))|V_n|$ . Let  $S \subseteq W_n$  be  $r$ -separated according to  $\rho_n$ .

Fix a path  $P \in \mathcal{P}_n^{l_n}$  and let  $S_P$  be the set of points  $v \in S$  such that  $\sigma_n^{t_{k(v)}} \cdot v \in P$  for some  $k(v) \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ . Since  $S \subseteq W_n$ , Condition (iii) from the previous proof implies that

$$\sigma_n^F(S) \cap P = \bigcup_{v \in S_P} \{(\sigma_n^h)^i \sigma_n^{t_{k(v)}} \cdot v : i \in I\}.$$

Each of the sets in the latter union is an interval of length  $|I|$  in  $P$  and by our choice of  $r$  these are pairwise at distance  $r_0$  in  $\rho_n$  restricted to  $P$ . Since the marginal of  $\mu_n$  on  $P$  is equal to  $\nu_{n_I}$ , (5.1) implies that

$$\mathbf{H}(\pi_{(\sigma_n^F(S) \cap P) * \mu_n}) \geq |S_P| \cdot \left( \mathbf{H}(\nu_I) - \frac{\epsilon}{m} \right).$$

Since the marginals of  $\mu_n$  on distinct paths are independent, this implies that

$$\mathbf{H}(\pi_{\sigma_n^F(S) * \mu_n}) \geq \left( \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}_n^{l_n}} |S_P| \right) \cdot \left( \mathbf{H}(\nu_I) - \frac{\epsilon}{m} \right). \quad (5.2)$$

By Condition (iii) in the definition of  $W_n$ , each  $v \in S$  appears in  $S_P$  for exactly  $m$  paths  $P$ . Therefore

$$\sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}_n^{l_n}} |S_P| = m \cdot |S|. \quad (5.3)$$

Now  $\mathbf{H}(\mu_F) = m \cdot \mathbf{H}(\nu_I)$  so from (5.2) and (5.3) we have

$$\mathbf{H}(\pi_{\sigma_n^F(S) * \mu_n}) \geq |S| \cdot (\mathbf{H}(\mu_F) - \epsilon)$$

as required. □

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* Theorem 1.3 now follows from Theorem 1.2 and Lemmas 5.1 and 5.2. □

## 6 Proof of Theorem 1.1

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* This part of the argument is essentially the same as the corresponding part of [5]. Consider the family of uniformly mixing  $\mathbb{Z}$ -processes  $\{(4^{\mathbb{Z}}, \nu_{\omega}) : \omega \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}\}$  constructed in Section 6 of [5]. Fix an isomorphic copy  $H$  of  $\mathbb{Z}$  in  $G$  and let  $\mu_{\omega} = \text{CInd}_H^G(\nu_{\omega})$ . By Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 the process  $(4^G, \mu_{\omega})$  has completely positive model-measure sofic entropy. Note that the restriction of the  $G$ -action to  $H$  is a permuted power of the original  $\mathbb{Z}$ -process in the sense of Definition 6.5 from [5]. Thus by Proposition 6.6 in that reference, the processes  $\{(4^G, \mu_{\omega}) : \omega \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}\}$  are pairwise nonisomorphic.

Suppose toward a contradiction that for some  $\omega$ ,  $(4^G, \mu_{\omega})$  is a factor of a Bernoulli shift  $(Z^G, \eta^G)$  over some standard probability space  $(Z, \eta)$ . Let  $\psi : Z^G \rightarrow 4^G$  be an equivariant measurable map with  $\psi_*\eta^G = \mu_{\omega}$ . Note that the restricted right-shift action  $H \curvearrowright (Z^G, \eta^G)$  is still isomorphic to a Bernoulli shift and  $\psi$  is still a factor map from this process to the restricted action  $H \curvearrowright (4^G, \mu_{\omega})$ . Thus the latter  $\mathbb{Z}$ -process is isomorphic to a Bernoulli shift and so is its factor  $(4^{\mathbb{Z}}, \nu_{\omega})$ . This contradicts Corollary 6.4 in [5].  $\square$

## References

- [1] T. Austin. Additivity properties of sofic entropy and measures on model spaces. preprint, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1510.02392>, 2015.
- [2] L. Bowen. Measure conjugacy invariants for actions of countable sofic groups. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, pages 217–245, 2010.
- [3] L. Bowen. Sofic entropy and amenable groups. *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems*, 32(2):427–466, 2012.
- [4] Thomas M. Cover and Joy A. Thomas. *Elements of information theory*. Wiley-Interscience [John Wiley & Sons], Hoboken, NJ, second edition, 2006.
- [5] A. Dooley, V. Golodets, D. Rudolph, and S. Sinel’schikov. Non-Bernoulli systems with completely positive entropy. *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems*, 28:87–124, 2007.
- [6] Valentin Ya. Golodets and Sergey D. Sinel’schikov. Complete positivity of entropy and non-Bernoullicity for transformation groups. *Colloq. Math.*, 84/85(part 2):421–429, 2000. Dedicated to the memory of Anzelm Iwanik.
- [7] A.S. Kechris. *Global aspects of ergodic group actions*, volume 160 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, 2010.
- [8] D. Kerr. Sofic measure entropy via finite partitions. *Groups Geom. Dyn.*, 7:617–632, 2013.
- [9] D. Kerr and H. Li. Entropy and the variational principle for actions of sofic groups. *Inventiones Mathematicae*, 186:501–558, 2011.
- [10] David Kerr. Bernoulli actions of sofic groups have completely positive entropy. *Israel J. Math.*, 202(1):461–474, 2014.
- [11] D. Rudolph and B. Weiss. Entropy and mixing for amenable group actions. *Annals of Mathematics*, 151(3):1119–1150, 2000.

- [12] B. Seward. Krieger's finite generator theorem for ergodic actions of countable groups I. preprint, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1405.3604>, 2014.
- [13] B. Seward. Krieger's finite generator theorem for ergodic actions of countable groups II. preprint, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1501.03367>, 2014.
- [14] Benjamin Weiss. Actions of amenable groups. In *Topics in dynamics and ergodic theory*, volume 310 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 226–262. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2003.

Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences  
New York University  
New York NY, 10012  
[tim@cims.nyu.edu](mailto:tim@cims.nyu.edu)

Department of Mathematics  
California Institute of Technology  
Pasadena CA, 91125  
[pjburton@caltech.edu](mailto:pjburton@caltech.edu)