

AN EXAMPLE OF REWORDING A QUANTIFIED STATEMENT

In the following, f represents a real-valued function of a real variable. That is, f is a function, $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

PROBLEM: Reword the given statement S to an equivalent statement which does not use the word "sufficient."

S : "Being continuous is not a sufficient condition for a function f to be differentiable."

It is not at first obvious what should be done here. It seems to be a negation of another statement.

It would help to formulate a wording of the statement that S is the negation of.

So, $S \equiv \neg T$, where T is as follows:

T : "Being continuous is a sufficient condition for a function f to be differentiable."

Now, the reference to "a function" means the same as "any function f ," that is, T is a UNIVERSAL STATEMENT. Also, the use of the term "sufficient condition" suggests that this statement T could equivalently be rephrased as a universal conditional (IF-THEN) statement.

An Example of Rewriting ... (cont.)

$T \equiv$ "For all functions f , if f is continuous,
then f is differentiable."

Now, the original problem was to reword $S \equiv \neg T$.

To help in formulating the negation of T , it helps to determine the symbolic representation of T first, and then apply the rules to form the symbolic representation of its negation.

Let D be the collection of all functions $f: R \rightarrow R$.

In symbols: $T: \forall f \in D, \text{ If } f \text{ is continuous,}$
 $\text{Then } f \text{ is differentiable.}$

$S \equiv \neg T \equiv \exists f \in D \text{ such that}$
 $\neg(\text{If } f \text{ is continuous, Then } f \text{ is differentiable.})$

The negation of " $p \rightarrow q$ " is " $p \wedge \neg q$ ".

$S \equiv \neg T \equiv \exists f \in D \text{ such that} \begin{array}{l} "f \text{ is continuous AND} \\ "f \text{ is not differentiable.} \end{array}$

One correct rewording of S is the following:

"There exists a function f such that
 f is continuous AND f is not differentiable."