

RTG Mini-Course

Perspectives in Geometry Series*

Jacob Lurie[†]

Lecture IV: Applications and Examples (1/29/2009)

Let Σ be a Riemann surface of genus g , then we can consider $\text{BDiff}(\Sigma)$, the classifying space of the orientation preserving diffeomorphism group of Σ . This can be considered as the classifying space for connected surfaces of genus g . In particular, we can form a bundle E with fiber Σ as:

$$\begin{array}{c} (\text{EDiff}(\Sigma) \times \Sigma) / \text{Diff}(\Sigma) \\ \downarrow \\ \text{BDiff}(\Sigma) \end{array}$$

On E , there is a canonical 2-dimensional vector bundle V with fiber $T_x \Sigma$. We can then consider its Euler class $e(V) \in H^2(E; \mathbb{Q})$, and its powers $e^{n+1} \in H^{2n+2}(E; \mathbb{Q})$. Since E is a surface bundle with compact fiber, we can integrate these classes to get classes $\kappa_n \in H^{2n}(\text{BDiff}(\Sigma); \mathbb{Q})$, and these classes give a map

$$\mathbb{Q}[\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \dots] \rightarrow H^*(\text{BDiff}(\Sigma); \mathbb{Q}).$$

This is in fact a map of graded rings where κ_i is degree $2i$.

Mumford Conjecture: *This map is an isomorphism in a range of degrees that goes $\rightarrow \infty$ as $g \rightarrow \infty$*

How is the Mumford conjecture related to TQFT's? Recall we have been studying an $(\infty, 2)$ -category Bord_2 ; we have an inclusion:

$$\text{BDiff}(\Sigma) \subseteq \text{Classifying space for all closed surfaces} = \text{Hom}_{\text{Hom}_{\text{Bord}_2}(\emptyset, \emptyset)}(\emptyset, \emptyset)$$

*These notes are by no means a substitute for the lectures, found at <http://www.ma.utexas.edu/users/plowrey/dev/rtg/perspectives.html>

[†]Scribes: Braxton Collier, Parker Lowrey, Michael B. Williams

In general, if \mathcal{C} is an (∞, n) -category, you can extract from \mathcal{C} a topological space $|\mathcal{C}|$, called the “classifying space” of \mathcal{C} . This is done by inverting all k -morphisms in \mathcal{C} , which yields an ∞ -groupoid; we have seen that this can be identified with a topological space.

We have a natural map of $\text{Hom}_{\text{Hom}_{\text{Bord}_2}(\emptyset, \emptyset)}(\emptyset, \emptyset)$ into $\Omega^2|\text{Bord}_2|$ since the former are a space of 2-morphisms in a simplicial category. Let Y_g be the component of $\Omega^2|\text{Bord}_2|$ containing the image of $\text{BDiff}(\Sigma)$ under

$$\text{BDiff}(\Sigma) \subseteq \text{Hom}_{\text{Hom}(\phi, \phi)_{\text{Bord}_2}}(\phi, \phi) \rightarrow \Omega^2|\text{Bord}_2|$$

To prove the Mumford conjecture, use 3 steps:

1. Prove that the map $H^*(Y_g, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^*(\text{BDiff}(\Sigma))$ is an isomorphism in a range of degrees $\rightarrow \infty$ as $g \rightarrow \infty$.
2. “Understand $|\text{Bord}_2|$ ”: construct a homotopy equivalence of $|\text{Bord}_2| \cong X$ for some understood topological space X .
3. Compute $H^*(\Omega^2 X, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}[\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \dots] \oplus \dots$

The first step is the hardest and involves Haar stability, we won’t be talking about this. The second step is related to the cobordism hypothesis: there is a statement for all Bord_n that transforms the classifying spaces to something more recognizable. Once these two steps are done, the last step is just a calculation. So our goal is to understand step 2 using the cobordism hypothesis. Recall:

Cobordism Hypothesis: *If \mathcal{C} is a symmetric monoidal (∞, n) category that has duals (see notes from lecture 3 for definition), $\text{Fun}^{\otimes}(\text{Bord}_n, \mathcal{C}) \cong \{\text{objects of } \mathcal{C}\}^{hSO(n)}$*

where the latter object is the fixed points of the $SO(n)$ action on the classifying space of objects of \mathcal{C} .

Specialize to the case where \mathcal{C} is an ∞ -groupoid, $\mathcal{C} \cong \pi_{\leq \infty} X$, and consider the following relationships between the algebraic structure of \mathcal{C} and the topological structure of X :

- Symmetric monoidal structure on $\mathcal{C} \Leftrightarrow X$ is an E_{∞} -space (i.e. has a commutative multiplication).
- \mathcal{C} has duals $\Leftrightarrow \pi_0 X$ is an abelian group $\Rightarrow X$ is an ∞ -loop space, i.e. there exist pointed spaces $X(n)$ such that $X \cong \Omega^n(X(n))$.

If \mathcal{C} is an ∞ -groupoid, then

$$\text{Fun}^{\otimes}(\text{Bord}_n, \mathcal{C}) \cong \text{Fun}^{\otimes}(|\text{Bord}_n|, \mathcal{C}) = \text{Map}_{\infty\text{-loop spaces}}(|\text{Bord}_n|, X).$$

We have a similar picture if we look at the framed bordism theory. By the cobordism hypothesis, $\text{Fun}^{\otimes}(\text{Bord}_n^{fr}, \mathcal{C}) = \text{Map}_{I.L.}(|\text{Bord}_n^{fr}|, X) \cong X$, i.e. $|\text{Bord}_n^{fr}|$ is free on one point, here the $I.L.$ stands for maps of infinite loop spaces; it is thus homotopy equivalent to $QS^0 := \lim_n \Omega^n S^n$.

What about our original classifying space of interest? The cobordism hypothesis tells us $\text{Map}_{I.L.}(|\text{Bord}_n|, X)^{hSO(n)} = (X)^{hSO(n)}$. This tells us that $|\text{Bord}_n| \cong |\text{Bord}_n^{fr}|/SO(n)$, where the right hand side is a quotient in the world of infinite loop spaces. Therefore, this is isomorphic to the coinvariants of a homotopy action of $SO(n)$ on QS^0 . It turns out this action is given by the J homomorphism. This object has a name: $\Omega^{\infty-n}\text{MTSO}(n)$

Example: For $n = 2$, we have $\Omega^2|\text{Bord}_2| \cong \Omega^\infty\overline{\text{MTSO}(2)}$

The above has now shown

Theorem (G-M-T-W): $|\text{Bord}_n| \cong \Omega^{\infty-n}\text{MTSO}(n)$.

This theorem is equivalent to the special case of the cobordism hypothesis where \mathcal{C} is an ∞ -groupoid. We are now done discussing the Mumford conjecture. In the remaining minutes we will concentrate on Bord_2 , but first some remarks.

Remark: The proof of the cobordism hypothesis uses induction on n . How do we understand the case of n based on $n - 1$? We have the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Bord}_n & \xrightarrow{Z} & \mathcal{C} \\ \uparrow & \nearrow Z_0 & \\ \text{Bord}_{n-1} & & \end{array}$$

From induction, Z_0 is equivalent to giving an object of \mathcal{C} which is an $SO(n - 1)$ fixed point for some action of $SO(n - 1)$ on \mathcal{C} .

Question: What do we need to get from Z_0 to Z ?

Answer: Need to supply one piece of information, namely, what does Z do on an n -dimensional disc (which isn't in $\text{Bord}(n - 1)$) where D^n is regarded as an n -morphism, and the source/target are in $\text{Bord}(n - 1)$. In other words: we need to supply an n -morphism in \mathcal{C}

$$Z(D^n) : Z_0(S^{n-1}) \rightarrow 1$$

in an $SO(n)$ -equivariant way, satisfying a non-degeneracy condition (which we won't make explicit).

Example: $n = 2$.

$Z : \text{Bord}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is equivalent to giving:

1. $\text{Bord}_1 \cong \text{Bord}^{fr} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, which is just an object $X \in \mathcal{C}$
2. $Z(D^2) = \eta \in \text{Hom}(Z_0(S^1), 1)^{S^1}$ such that η is "non-degenerate".

Example: The B -model.

Let \mathcal{C} be a symmetric monoidal $(\infty, 2)$ -category defined as follows:

1. Objects of \mathcal{C} are algebraic varieties over \mathbb{C} .

2. Morphisms $X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathcal{C} are chain complexes of quasi-coherent sheaves on $X \times Y$.
3. Composition: the convolution product (i.e. pulling back, tensoring and pushing forward) defined using the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & X \times Y \times Z & \\
 \swarrow & \downarrow & \searrow \\
 X \times Y & Y \times Z & X \times Z
 \end{array}$$

Note: Some care must be taken, we may need to take a free resolution in the tensor product.

4. 2-morphisms are maps of chain complexes (again, in the possibly derived sense)
5. higher morphisms: Chain homotopies, chain homotopies between chain homotopies, etc.
6. The monoidal structure is coming from products of varieties. Clearly this is symmetric and a point is the identity.

We want to find some field theories. By the cobordism hypothesis we just need the following:

Goal: Lets find a dualizable object of \mathcal{C} . This is easy, because every object in \mathcal{C} is self-dual: first we need a candidate for evaluation and coevaluation:

$$* \xrightarrow{coev} X \times X \xrightarrow{ev} *$$

We will let both the coev and ev be given by the structure sheaf of the diagonal in $X \times X$. One can check that this gives the necessary conditions for duality. This is already enough for a 1-dimensional field theory. To a point it assigns a variety X . Once given X , the only other interesting thing we can ask is what it assigns a circle.

If we let $Z_0 : \text{Bord}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be our functor, then $Z_0(S^1) = \text{“dim}X\text{”}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 * & \xrightarrow{coev} & X \times X & \xrightarrow{ev} & * \\
 & \searrow & \text{compose} & \swarrow & \\
 & & & &
 \end{array}$$

Calculating this out explicitly, we see that $ev \circ coev$ corresponds to $R\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X \times X}}^L \mathcal{O}_X)$, where we treat \mathcal{O}_X as a bimodule (one can easily compute that \mathcal{O}_X is supported on the diagonal when treated as a bimodule). However, this is the definition of Hochschild homology, so $Z_0(S^1)$ is the Hochschild homology of X .

To get a 2-dimensional field theory, we need

$$\eta \in \text{Hom}_{ch.cmplx}(Z_0(S^1), 1)^{S^1} = \text{Hom}_{ch.cmplx}(\text{Hoch}_*(X), \mathbb{C})^{S^1}.$$

So, we are looking for a trace of Hochschild homology that is "circle" invariant. This implies that rather than Hochschild homology, we should be using cyclic cohomology. This is something we only expect for a Calabi-Yau. If X is Calabi-Yau variety of dimension n , then

$$\mathrm{Hoch}_{-n}(X) \cong H^n(X; \mathcal{O}_x) \cong H^n(X; \omega) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{tr}} \mathbb{C}$$

Disclaimer: The above trace map doesn't fit in with $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{ch.cmplx}}(\mathrm{Hoch}_*(X), \mathbb{C})^{S^1}$, unless we introduce appropriate twistings. Ignoring this aspect, we use the trace map to give us η , which is automatically circle invariant due to degree considerations.

So, we get η above if X is Calabi-Yau. To get a two dimensional field theory we need some non-degeneracy. In this case, non-degeneracy of $\eta \Leftrightarrow$ Serre duality. The upshot is we get a two dimensional field theory associated to X ; it is the "B-model".