

Jan 19/10

Higson I (K-theory from func. analysis)

M old mfd

D 1st order PDo of Dirac type

$$D = \sum a_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \quad a_j - \text{locally const. } n \times n \text{ matrices.} \quad a_i a_j^* + a_j a_i^* = \begin{cases} 2I & i=j \\ 0 & i \neq j \end{cases}$$

$$\text{e.g.: } D = \gamma_x + i \gamma_y$$

Fundamental fact: $\dim \ker D, \dim \text{coker } D < \infty$

$$\text{Index}(D) = \dim \ker D - \dim \text{coker } D \quad (D \text{ is "Fredholm"})$$

$$= \text{same for } C^\infty, L^2, \text{ distributions}$$

Also: $\text{coker } D = \ker D^*$ (formal adjoint)

Idea! In index theory (à la Atiyah-Singer) it is useful to study Fredholm ops abstractly in particular via L^2 -? (Hilbert spaces, operators, C^* -algebras etc.)

Basics of Fredholm operators:bounded op $T: H_0 \rightarrow H_1$ + Fredholm (H_i -Hilbert)

Fredholm is "practically" invertible.

$$\begin{pmatrix} T & \text{Proj} \\ \text{Proj} & 0 \end{pmatrix}: H_0 \oplus \ker T^* \longrightarrow H_1 \oplus \ker(T) \text{ is invertible!}$$

Hence Fredholm condition is open. (in norm topo.)

The ~~top~~ index is locally const.

Fredholm + compt = Fredholm with the same index.
(closure of fin rank op)

Atkinson's Thm: op is Fredholm iff invertible modulo cpt.

Space of Fredholm op $\text{Fred}(H_0, H_1)$ is a "reasonable" topo sp.Assume for the moment H_0, H_1 ∞ -dim (+separable).Thm (Atiyah-Janich): $K(X) \cong [X; \text{Fred}]$ X is cpt top. sp.all ∞ dim separable

Hilb op. are the same

hence $\text{Fred} = \text{Fred}(H_0, H_1)$

↑

K-theory of vect. bun.

Suppose we have $F: X \rightarrow \text{Fred}$ thought of as family of Fred op labelled by X . Suppose $\dim(\ker F_x)$ is locally const. Then the spaces $\ker F_x$ s.t. constitute a vector bun, and so do $\ker F_x^*$.

We can define: $\text{Index}(F) = [\ker F] - [\ker F^*] \in K(X)$

This gives a map $[X, \text{Fred}] \leadsto K(X)$ abelian grp

Que: What if $F_x = \text{invertible}$?

Thm (Kuiper): If F_x is invertible $\forall x$ then F is homotopic to a constant.

(but there is no explicit construction)

Que: What if $\dim(\ker F_x)$ is not locally const?

(Atiyah-Singer main idea) Then replace F by $FP =: F'$ where $P: H_0 \rightarrow H_0$ is a finite edim projection to fix the problem, i.e. $\dim(\ker F')$ is loc. const.

Idea: Fred is classifying space for K -theory.

Principle of Pseudo-locality (Atiyah)

D Dirac op. (as in intro).

$D: C^\infty(M, S_0) \rightarrow C^\infty(M, S_1)$

e.g. 2-vect bun / M of and D mapping sections of one to the other.

Problem: It is not defined

as an operator $L^2(M, S_0) \rightarrow L^2(M, S_1)$
(not bounded)

Fix D can be "extended" to $D: H_0 \rightarrow H_1$ is such a way that if $u_n \rightarrow u$ and $u_n \in C^\infty$, $u \in L^2$ and convergence is in L^2 and $Du_n \rightarrow v$ in L^2 then $\text{Domain}(D) \ni u$
 $Du = v$. " D is closed". there is a ~~min~~ minimal extension.

Von Neumann: D has a "polar decomposition":

$$D = AF \quad (\text{think } z = re^{i\theta})$$

$$A = \sqrt{DD^*}$$

$F =$ partial isometry.

and: $\ker F = \ker D$, $\ker F^* = \ker D^*$

F is Fredholm, bounded, same index as D .

Think of D & F related by "homotopy".

⊗ Thm (Atiyah): If F is continuous f_n on M ⊗

Think of it as operator defined by multi. Then

$$[F, f] = Ff - fF$$

is compact ("practically zero").

} for example of sections.

Que! Why should we care?

Consider vect bun ^{E} on M . It can be realized as a proj-val map

$$P: M \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$$

$$\text{s.t. } P(m) \mathbb{C}^n = E_m$$

We can consider P as a projection in $M_n(C(M))$ and so as a projection operator

$$P_0: \overbrace{H_0 \oplus \dots \oplus H_0}^n \rightarrow \overbrace{H_0 \oplus \dots \oplus H_0}^n$$

$$\text{and same for } P_1: \overbrace{H_1 \oplus \dots \oplus H_1}^n \rightarrow \overbrace{H_1 \oplus \dots \oplus H_1}^n$$

$$P_1 \begin{pmatrix} F \\ F \end{pmatrix} P_0: \text{range}(P_0) \rightarrow \text{range}(P_1)$$

Then $P_1 \begin{pmatrix} F \\ F \end{pmatrix} P_0$ is Fredholm.

Thanks to thm ⊗ we get a map:

$$\text{Index}_D: K(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} = K(\text{pt})$$

and more generally (via Atiyah-Jacich) functorial

$$\text{Index}_D: K(X \times M) \rightarrow K(X) \text{ in } X.$$

(think of X as a variable, a place-holder)

I.4

The maps Index_D (for every X) determine a class in $K_0(M)$ (K -homology of M). Denote this class by $[D]$.

Claim (Atiyah): Every class comes this way!

Que: How to define $K_0(M)$ using Fredholm $C(M)$ -modules

Defn: A Fredholm $C(M)$ -module is the following collection of data:

- $F: H_0 \rightarrow H_1$ Fred
- $C(M)$ actions on H_0, H_1
- $[F, f]$ compact $\forall f$.

Answer: (Kasparov) ...

but first some terminology ...

Defn: A continuous field of Hilbert spaces $\{H_x\}_{x \in X}$ is

- a set of Hilb spaces
- a family of sections called "continuous sections" such that $x \mapsto \|s(x)\|$ is continuous,
- * the continuous sections are a $C(X)$ -module, etc...

Defn: A bounded operator on a continuous field is ... what you think ... (except we require the adjoint to be continuous).

Defn: A cpt op on a Cont. field parametrized by loc. cpt X is a (norm) limit of operators of the form:

$$s \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n \langle s, t_i \rangle r_i$$

where t_i and r_i are C_0 -sections.

Defn: A Fred operator is one which is invertible mod compact

Ex: ~~F~~ $F: H_0 \rightarrow H_1$ Fred op ($X = \text{pt}$)

$H_0 = \mathbb{R}$ field over $[0,1]$ with fibers H_0 except 0 at 0

$H_1 = dH_0$.

If F is invertible (Index $F \neq 0$). Define $\mathbb{F}: H_0 \rightarrow H_1$ to be F at each fiber. This \mathbb{F} is Fred in the sense of continuous fields. So \mathbb{F} is a homotopy from F to $0: 0 \rightarrow 0$.

This is not true if F is not invertible! So there is s/t subtle about these definitions

Thm (Improved Atiyah-^{Jainich}~~Janich~~) due to Kasparov
 $K^0(X) =$ homotopy classes of Fredholm families $/ X$.

Thm (Kasparov)

$K_0(X) =$ homotopy classes of Fred $C(X)$ -modules