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These are lecture notes for a series of five lectures I gave to other graduate students about characteristic classes through UT Austin’s summer minicourse program (see https://www.ma.utexas.edu/users/richard.wong/Minicourses.html for more details). Beware of potential typos. In these notes I cover the basic theory of Stiefel-Whitney, Wu, Chern, Pontrjagin, and Euler classes, introducing some interesting topics in algebraic topology along the way. In the last section the Hirzebruch signature theorem is introduced as an application. Many proofs are left out to save time. There are many exercises, which emphasize getting experience with characteristic class computations. Don’t do all of them; you should do enough to make you feel comfortable with the computations, focusing on the ones interesting or useful to you.

Prerequisites. Formally, I will assume familiarity with homology and cohomology at the level of Hatcher, chapters 2 and 3, and not much more. There will be some differential topology, which is covered by UT’s prelim course. Some familiarity with vector bundles will be helpful, but not strictly necessary.

The exercises may ask for more; in particular, you will probably want to know the standard CW structures on $\mathbb{R}P^n$ and $\mathbb{C}P^n$, as well as their cohomology rings.

References. Most of this material has been synthesized from the following sources.

- Milnor-Stasheff, “Characteristic classes,” which fleshes out all the details we neglect.

1. Five approaches to characteristic classes

Today, we’re going to discuss what characteristic classes are. The definition is not hard, but there are at least four ways to think about them, and each perspective is important. This will also be an excuse to introduce some useful notions in geometry and topology — though this will be true every day.


Definition 1.1. Recall that a (real) vector bundle over a space $M$ is a continuous map $\pi: E \to M$ such that

1. each fiber $\pi^{-1}(m)$ is a finite-dimensional real vector space, and
2. there’s an open cover $\mathcal{U}$ of $M$ such that for each $U \in \mathcal{U}$, $\pi^{-1}(U) \cong U \times \mathbb{R}^n$, and this isomorphism is linear on each fiber.
That is, it’s a continuous family of vector spaces over some topological space. We allow \( C^n \) and complex vector bundles. Often our spaces will be manifolds, and our vector bundles will usually be smooth. We will often assume the dimension of a vector bundle on a disconnected space is constant.

**Example 1.2.**

1. The tangent bundle \( TM \to M \) to a manifold \( M \) is the vector bundle whose fiber above \( x \in M \) is \( T_xM \).
2. A trivial bundle \( \mathbb{R}^n := \mathbb{R}^n \times M \to M \).
3. The tautological bundle \( S \to \mathbb{R}P^n \) is a line bundle defined as follows: each point \( \ell \in \mathbb{R}P^n \) is a line in \( \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \); we let the fiber above \( \ell \) be that line. The same construction works over \( \mathbb{C}P^n \), and Grassmannians.

It’s also possible to make new vector bundles out of old: the usual operations on vector spaces (direct sum, tensor product, dual, Hom, symmetric power, and so on) generalize to vector bundles without much fuss. Vector bundles also pull back.

**Definition 1.3.** Let \( \pi : E \to M \) be a vector bundle and \( f : N \to M \) be continuous. Then, the pullback of \( E \) to \( N \), denoted \( f^*E \to N \), is the vector bundle whose fiber above an \( x \in N \) is \( \pi^{-1}(f(x)) \).

One should check this is actually a vector bundle.

With these words freshly in our minds, we can define characteristic classes.

**Definition 1.4.** A characteristic class \( c \) of vector bundles is an assignment to each vector bundle \( E \to M \) a cohomology class \( c(E) \in H^*(M) \) that is natural, in that if \( f : N \to M \) is a map, \( c(f^*E) = f^*(c(E)) \in H^*(N) \).

Characteristic classes can be for real or complex vector bundles, but usually not both at once. The coefficient group for \( H^*(M) \) will vary.

You probably have motivations in mind for learning characteristic classes, but here are some more just in case.

- Vector bundles interpolate between geometric and algebraic information on manifolds — often they arise in a geometric context, but they’re classified with algebra. Characteristic classes provide useful algebraic invariants of geometric information.
- More specifically, the obstructions to certain structures on a manifold (orientation, spin, etc) are captured by characteristic classes, so computations with characteristic classes determine which manifolds are orientable, spin, etc.
- Pairing a product of characteristic classes against the fundamental class defines a characteristic number. These are cobordism invariants, and in many situations the set of characteristic numbers is a complete cobordism invariant, and a computable one. Fancier characteristic numbers have geometric meaning and are useful for proving geometric results, e.g. in the Atiyah-Singer index theorem.

We’ll now discuss four approaches to characteristic classes. These are not the only approaches; however, they are the most used and most useful ones. All approaches work in the setting of Chern classes, characteristic classes of complex vector bundles living in integral cohomology; most generalize to other characteristic classes, but not all of them.

1.2. **Axiomatic approach.** The axiomatic definition of Chern classes is due to Grothendieck.

**Definition 1.5.** The Chern classes are characteristic classes for a complex vector bundle \( E \to M \): for each \( i \geq 0 \), the \( i \)-th Chern class of \( E \) is \( c_i(E) \in H^{2i}(M; \mathbb{Z}) \). The total Chern class \( c(E) = c_0(E) + c_1(E) + \cdots \). One writes \( c_i(M) \) for \( c_i(TM) \), and \( c(M) \) for \( c(TM) \).

These classes are defined to be the unique classes satisfying naturality and the following axioms.

1. \( c_0(E) = 1 \).
2. The Whitney sum formula \( c(E \oplus F) = c(E)c(F) \), and hence
   \[
   c_k(E \oplus F) = \sum_{i+j=k} c_i(E)c_j(F).
   \]
3. Let \( x \) be the generator of \( H^2(\mathbb{C}P^n) \cong \mathbb{Z} \); then, \( c(S \to \mathbb{C}P^n) = 1 - x \).\(^1\)

\(^1\)There are two choices of such \( x \); we define it to be Poincaré dual to a hyperplane \( \mathbb{C}P^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{C}P^n \) with the orientation induced from the complex structure.
Of course, it’s a theorem that these exist and are unique! Thus, all characteristic-class calculations can theoretically be recovered from these, though other methods are usually employed. However, some computations follow pretty directly, including one in the exercises.

So what are these telling us?

**Example 1.6.** Let \( \mathbb{C}^n \to M \) be a trivial bundle. Then, \( c(\mathbb{C}^n) = 1 \). This is because \( \mathbb{C}^n \) is a pullback of the trivial bundle over a point.

Thus the Chern classes (and characteristic classes more generally) give us a necessary condition for a vector bundle to be trivial.

**Definition 1.7.** A complex vector bundle \( E \to M \) is stably trivial if \( E \oplus \mathbb{C}^n \) is a trivial vector bundle.

We’ll also use the analogous definition for real vector bundles.

**Lemma 1.8.** \( c(E \oplus \mathbb{C}) = c(E) \), and hence if \( E \) is stably trivial, then \( c(E) = 1 \).

**Proof.** Whitney sum formula.  

This approach is kind of rigid, and also provides no geometric intuition.

### 1.3. Linear dependency of generic sections.

This approach is geometric and slick, but one must show it’s independent of choices.

To discuss it, we need one important fact, Poincaré duality.

**Theorem 1.9** (Poincaré duality). Let \( M \) be a closed manifold.

1. Let \( A \) be an abelian group. An orientation of \( M \) determines an isomorphism \( \text{PD}: H^k(M; A) \to H_{n-k}(M; A) \) given by cap product with the fundamental class.

2. There is isomorphism \( \text{PD}: H^k(M; \mathbb{Z}/2) \to H_{n-k}(M; \mathbb{Z}/2) \) given by cap product with the mod 2 fundamental class.

This theorem is pretty much the best.

**Definition 1.10.** Let \( M \) and \( N \) be oriented manifolds and \( i: N \to M \) be an embedding. Hence it defines a pushforward \( i_*[N] \in H^*(M) \); we will refer to this as the homology class represented by \( N \), and \( N \) as a representative for this homology class.

We’ll do the same thing in homology with coefficients in any abelian group \( A \); when \( A = \mathbb{Z}/2 \), no orientation is necessary.

**Definition 1.11.** Let \( y \in H^k(M) \). A Poincaré dual submanifold to \( y \) is an embedded, oriented submanifold \( N \subset M \) which represents \( \text{PD}(y) \in H_{n-k}(M) \). Correspondingly, the Poincaré dual to an embedded oriented submanifold \( i: N \hookrightarrow M \) is \( \text{PD}(i_*[N]) \in H^{\text{codim} N}(M) \).

Again, the above applies, mutatis mutandis, to cohomology with \( \mathbb{Z}/2 \)-coefficients, but without orientations.

**Definition 1.12.** Let \( \pi: E \to M \) be a complex vector bundle over a manifold \( M \). Then, choose \( k \) sections \( s_1, \ldots, s_k \in \Gamma(E) \) that are transverse to each other and to the zero section. (It’s a theorem in differential topology that this is always possible.)

Let \( Y_k \) be the locus of dependency of \( s_1, \ldots, s_k \), i.e. the subset of \( x \in M \) on which \( \{s_1(x), \ldots, s_k(x)\} \in \pi^{-1}(x) \) is linearly dependent. Then, \( Y_k \) is a smooth \( k \)-dimensional submanifold of \( M \). The \( k \text{th Chern class of } E \), denoted \( c_k(E) \), is the Poincaré dual of \( Y_k \).

This definition provides a perspective: a Chern class is an obstruction to finding everywhere linearly independent sections of your vector bundle.

### 1.4. Chern-Weil theory.

Any concept that appears in the real cohomology of a manifold can be expressed with de Rham theory, and Chern-Weil theory does this for Chern classes.

**Definition 1.13.** Let \( E \to M \) be a vector bundle. A connection on \( E \) is an \( \mathbb{R} \)-linear map \( \nabla: \Gamma(TM) \otimes \pi^* \Gamma(E) \to \Gamma(E) \) that is \( C^\infty(M) \)-linear in its first argument and satisfies the Leibniz rule

\[ \nabla(f \psi) = (\nabla f) \psi + f \nabla \psi, \]

where \( f \) is a vector field, \( \psi \in \Gamma(E) \), and \( f \in C^\infty(M) \).
This is a way of differentiating vector fields. Locally (i.e. in coordinates $U$), a connection is like the de Rham differential, but plus some matrix-valued one-form $A \in \Gamma(T^*U \otimes \text{End}(E|_U))$: $\nabla|_U = d + A$. So if you have coordinates, you can define a connection through the matrix.

**Definition 1.14.** Let $\nabla$ be a connection. Its *curvature* is $F_\nabla \in \Omega^2_{dR}(\text{End} E) := \Gamma(\Lambda^2 T^* M \otimes \text{End} E)$ defined by

$$F_\nabla := \nabla_X \circ \nabla_Y - \nabla_Y \circ \nabla_X - \nabla_{[X,Y]}.$$ 

That is, it’s a 2-form, but instead of being valued in $T^* M$, it’s valued in $\text{End} E$. If $E$ is a line bundle, this is canonically trivial, so the curvature of a connection on a line bundle is just a differential 2-form, and in fact it’s closed, so it represents a class on $H^2_{dR}(M)$. This is $2\pi i$ times the first Chern class of that line bundle.

The trace $\text{tr}: \Omega^k_M(\text{End} E) \to \Omega^k_{dR}$ is the map induced from the map $\Gamma(\text{End} E) \to C^\infty(M)$ which takes the trace at each point. As before, one can show that $\text{tr}((F_\nabla)^k) \in \Omega^2_{dR}$ is closed, hence defines a de Rham cohomology class.

**Definition 1.15.** The $k^{\text{th}}$ *Chern class* of $E$ is $(1/2\pi i)[\text{tr}((F_\nabla)^k)] \in H^{2k}_{dR}(M)$.

Though this is *a priori* only in $H^{2k}_{dR}(M) \otimes \mathbb{C}$, it’s an integral class (as the other definitions we’ve given were for $\mathbb{Z}$-cohomology), and it doesn’t depend on the choice of connection. The proof idea is that the space of connections is convex, so you can interpolate between two connections.

So from this perspective, a Chern class measures curvature.

**Corollary 1.16.** If $E$ admits a flat connection, its (rational) Chern classes are 0, and its integral Chern classes are torsion.

1.5. **The search for the universal bundle.** The final approach for today is moduli-theoretic. It’s possible to construct a maximally twisted vector bundle: all vector bundles (of a given kind) are pullbacks of a universal vector bundle over a universal space.

Let $G$ be a Lie group. Recall that a *principal $G$-bundle* is kind of like a vector bundle, but for $G$-torsors instead of $\mathbb{R}^n$: it’s a map $\pi: E \to M$ such that $E$ carries a $G$-action and locally, $\pi^{-1}(U) \cong G \times U$ as $G$-spaces.

By $EG$ we will mean any contractible space with a free $G$-action, and $BG := EG/G$. Hence $EG \to BG$ is a principal $G$-bundle.

**Proposition 1.17.** Any two choices for $BG$ are homotopy equivalent.

**Example 1.18.** Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a separable Hilbert space and $S^\infty$ denote the unit sphere in $\mathcal{H}$, which is contractible. The antipodal map defines a free $\mathbb{Z}/2$-action on $S^\infty$, and its quotient, denoted $\mathbb{RP}^\infty$, is a model for $B\mathbb{Z}/2$.

This model for $B\mathbb{Z}/2$ realizes it as a Hilbert manifold, and in fact for any compact Lie group $G$, $BG$ has a model as a Hilbert manifold. There are other constructions, e.g. defining $\mathbb{RP}^\infty$ as a colimit of finite-dimensional spaces (which is not homeomorphic to the Hilbert manifold description) or using the bar construction, which works in great generality.

Let $\text{Bun}_G M$ denote the set of isomorphism classes of principal $G$-bundles over $M$.

**Theorem 1.19.** Let $M$ be a space. Then, the assignment $[M,BG] \to \text{Bun}_G M$ sending $f : M \to BG$ to the pullback $f^*(EG) \to M$ is a bijection.

That is, every principal $G$-bundle arises from $EG \to BG$ in an essentially unique way.

**Definition 1.20.** Let $E \to M$ be a complex vector bundle with a metric. Its *bundle of unitary frames* is the principal $U_n$-bundle that over a point $x \in M$, is the set of unitary bases for $E_x$, i.e. isometries $b : E_x \to \mathbb{C}^n$.

The analogous definition may be made for a real bundle with a metric. The isomorphism class of $\mathcal{B}(E)$ does not depend on the choice of metric.

**Proposition 1.21.** There’s a natural bijection between the isomorphism classes of complex vector bundles of rank $n$ and $\text{Bun}_{U_n}(M)$ defined by sending $E \mapsto \mathcal{B}(E)$. The same is true for real vector bundles and $\text{Bun}_{O_n}$.

“Natural” here means this bijection is compatible with pullback.

So in other words, given a complex vector bundle $E \to M$ of rank $n$, we get a principal $U_n$-bundle, hence a homotopy class of maps $f_x : M \to BU_n$. If $c \in H^*(BU_n)$, then let $c(E) := f^*_x c$. This satisfies naturality, hence is a characteristic class, and all characteristic classes for rank-$n$ vector bundles arise this way, because all principal $U_n$-bundles are pullbacks of $EU_n \to BU_n$.
In other words, a characteristic class is a cohomology class of the classifying space.

Of course, we’d like to treat characteristic classes for all vector bundles at once, not just those of rank \( n \). This is where stability jumps in: a rank-\( n \) vector bundle \( E \) defines a rank-(\( n + 1 \))-vector bundle \( E \oplus \mathbb{C} \) which should have the same Chern classes. In the classifying-space framework, there’s a map \( U_n \hookrightarrow U_{n+1} \) sending

\[
A \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},
\]

which induces a map \( BU_n \to BU_{n+1} \). If \( f_E : M \to BU_n \) is the classifying map for \( E \), then the classifying map for \( E \oplus \mathbb{C} \) is the map \( M \overset{f_E}{\to} BU_n \to BU_{n+1} \).

So now we have a directed system \( BU_1 \hookrightarrow BU_2 \hookrightarrow \cdots \), and any vector bundle defines compatible maps to objects in this system. Hence, the classifying space for vector bundles of any (finite) rank is

\[
BU := \colim_{n \to \infty} BU_n.
\]

That is, a homotopy class of maps \( M \to BU \) defines a stable isomorphism class of vector bundles \( E \to M \), and characteristic classes are exactly elements of the cohomology of \( BU \)! Exactly the same story goes forth to define \( BO \) and characteristic classes for real vector bundles.\(^2\)

**Theorem 1.22.** \( H^*(BU) \cong \mathbb{Z}[c_1, c_2, \ldots], \) with \( |c_k| = 2k \).

Thus we can define the \( k \)th Chern class to be \( c_k \). Naturality and stability follow almost immediately.

**Remark.** This approach tells us that cohomology classes of \( BG \) define characteristic classes for principal \( G \)-bundles, not just vector bundles, and this approach is sometimes useful. ∎

### 1.6. Exercises

Most important:

1. In this exercise, we’ll compute \( c(\mathbb{C}P^n) = (1 + x)^{n+1} \), where \( x \in H^2(\mathbb{C}P^n) \cong \mathbb{Z} \) is a generator, Poincaré dual to \( \mathbb{C}P^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{C}P^n \).
   a. Let \( Q = \mathbb{C}P^n/S \), the universal quotient bundle: its fiber over an \( \ell \in \mathbb{C}P^n \) is \( \mathbb{C}^{n+1}/\ell \). Show that \( \text{Hom}(S, Q) \cong T\mathbb{C}P^n \). (Hint: let \( \ell \) be a complex line in \( \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \) and \( \ell^\perp \) be a complimentary subspace, i.e. \( \ell \oplus \ell^\perp \cong \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \). Then, \( \text{Hom}(\ell, \ell^\perp) \) can be identified with the neighborhood of \( \ell \in \mathbb{C}P^n \) of lines which are graphs of functions \( \ell \to \ell^\perp \).)
   b. Using this, show that \( T\mathbb{C}P^n \cong \text{Hom}(S, S) \cong (S^*)^{\otimes(n+1)} \).
   c. If \( E \) is any line bundle, show that \( \text{Hom}(E, E) \) is trivial.
   d. If \( E \to \mathbb{C}P^n \) is a line bundle, show that \( c_1(E^*) = -c_1(E) \). (Hint: use the fact that \( E^* \cong \overline{E} \) and naturality of Chern classes.)
   e. Applying (1c) and (1d) to (1b), conclude \( c(\mathbb{C}P^n) = (1 + x)^{n+1} \).

2. If \( E \to M \) is a vector bundle, its determinant bundle \( \text{Det} E \to M \) is its top exterior power, which is a line bundle. Use the locus-of-dependency definition of Chern classes to show that \( c_1(E) = c_1(\text{Det} E) \).

3. Use Chern-Weil theory to compute the Chern classes of \( \mathbb{C}P^1 \) and \( \mathbb{C}P^2 \).

Also important, especially if you’re interested:

1. Show that \( T^2 \) is stably trivial, but not trivial. What’s an example of a manifold whose tangent bundle isn’t stably trivial?
2. Show that if \( G \) is discrete, any Eilenberg-Mac Lane space \( K(G, 1) \) is a model for \( BG \), and vice versa. Hence \( S^1 = \mathbb{B}Z \) and \( \mathbb{R}P^{\infty} = \mathbb{B}Z/2 = BO_2 \).
3. In this exercise, we construct \( BO_n \) as an infinite-dimensional manifold. Fix a separable Hilbert space, such as \( \ell^2 \). The Stiefel manifold \( St_n(\ell^2) \) is the set of linear isometric embeddings \( \mathbb{R}^n \hookrightarrow \ell^2 \) (i.e. injective linear maps preserving the inner product), topologized as a subspace of \( \text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^n, \ell^2) \). \( O_n \) acts on \( St_n(\ell^2) \) by precomposition.

The infinite-dimensional Grassmannian \( Gr_n(\ell^2) \) is the space of \( n \)-dimensional subspaces of \( \ell^2 \), topologized in a similar way to finite-dimensional Grassmannians. There’s a projection \( \pi : St_n(\ell^2) \to Gr_n(\ell^2) \) sending a map \( b : \mathbb{R}^n \to \ell^2 \) to its image.

---

\(^2\)Technically, it induces a homotopy class of maps. But there are models for \( BG \) which make \( B \) a functor on the nose.

\(^3\)The notation is suggestive, and in fact \( BU \) is the classifying space for the infinite unitary group \( U \), the colimit of \( U_n \) over all \( n \).
(a) Show that $St_{n}(\ell^{2})$ is contractible. (Hint: if $e_i$ denotes the sequence with a 1 in position $i$ and 0 everywhere else, define two homotopies, one which pushes any embedding to one orthogonal to the standard embedding $s: \mathbb{R}^n \to \ell^2$ as the first $n$ coordinates, and the other which contracts the subspace of embeddings orthogonal to $s$ onto $s$).

(b) Show that the $O_n$-action on $St_{n}(\ell^{2})$ is free, so $St_{n}(\ell^{2})$ is an $EO_n$.

(c) Show that $\pi: St_{n}(\ell^{2}) \to Gr_n(\ell^2)$ is the quotient by the $O_n$-action, so $Gr_n(\ell^2)$ is a $BO_n$.

(4) Show that the definition of Chern classes as cohomology classes on $BU$ satisfies the axiomatic characterization of Chern classes. Hint: $\mathbb{C}P^\infty = \text{colim}_n \mathbb{C}P^n$ is a $BU_1$ with a standard CW structure, and the inclusion $\mathbb{C}P^n \to \mathbb{C}P^\infty$ is cellular (for the standard CW structure on $\mathbb{C}P^n$). Conversely, show that the axiomatic definition of Chern classes implies they pull back from characteristic classes on $BU_n$, and agree under the map $BU_n \to BU_{n+1}$, and hence are unique.

Additional exercises:

(1) Why is the category of vector bundles not abelian?

(2) Make sense of the idea that “vector bundles can untwist under pullback, but can’t become more twisted.”

(3) Verify that $S^{\infty}$ is contractible.

2. Stiefel-Whitney classes

The first characteristic classes we'll discuss are Stiefel-Whitney classes, which are characteristic classes for real vector bundles in $Z/2$ cohomology. This will make things slightly easier, so when the same ideas appear again for Chern and Pontrjagin classes on Thursday, they will already be familiar.

2.1. A Definition of Stiefel-Whitney classes.

Last time we emphasized that there are many ways to define and think about characteristic classes. To get off the ground, we're going to use one approach, and then state some properties. Other definitions are possible.

**Theorem 2.1.** As graded rings, $H^*(BO; \mathbb{F}_2) \cong \mathbb{F}_2[w_1, w_2, w_3, \ldots]$, with $|w_i| = i$.

Hence any characteristic class for real vector bundles in mod 2 cohomology is a polynomial in these classes.

**Definition 2.2.** The characteristic class defined by $w_i \in H^i(BO; \mathbb{F}_2)$ is called the $i^{th}$ Stiefel-Whitney class. We also let $w_0 = 1$. The total Stiefel-Whitney class is $w(E) := 1 + w_1(E) + w_2(E) + \cdots$. If $M$ is a manifold, $w(M) := w(TM)$ and $w_i(M) := w_i(TM)$.

**Proposition 2.3.** Some basic properties of Stiefel-Whitney classes:

1. The Stiefel-Whitney classes are natural, i.e. $f^*(w_i(E)) = w_i(f^*(E))$.
2. The Whitney sum formula: $w(E \oplus F) = w(E)w(F)$, and hence $w_k(E \oplus F) = \sum_{i+j=k} w_i(E)w_j(F)$.
3. If $x$ denotes the generator of $H^1(\mathbb{R}P^n; \mathbb{F}_2) \cong \mathbb{F}_2$, then $w(S \to \mathbb{R}P^n) = 1 + x$.
4. The Stiefel-Whitney classes are stable, i.e. $w(E \oplus \mathbb{R}) = w(E)$.
5. If $k > \text{rank} E$, then $w_k(E) = 0$.
6. If $E$ has a set of $\ell$ everywhere linearly independent sections, then $w_j(E) = 0$ for any $j \geq \ell$.

2.2. Tangential structures. Our first application of characteristic classes will be to obstructing certain structures on manifolds. The idea is that some structures, such as an orientation, can be expressed as a condition on the characteristic classes of the tangent bundle. These structures tend to be more “topological;” geometric structures (complex structure, Kähler structure, etc.) can’t be captured by this formalism.

Recall that one way to define a real vector bundle $E$ on a manifold $M$ is through transition functions: if $\mathcal{U}$ is an open cover trivializing $E$, then for every pair of intersecting opens $U, V \in \mathcal{U}$, $E$ defines a smooth function $g_{UV}: U \cap V \to \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$.

**Definition 2.4.** Let $G$ be a group with a specified map to $\rho: G \to \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$. A $G$-structure on $E$ (sometimes reduction of the structure group to $G$) is a choice of transition functions $h_{UV}: U \cap V \to G$ such that for all intersecting $U, V \in \mathcal{U}$,
We declare two such lifts to be equivalent if they’re homotopy equivalent. Thus, there is an inner product on each fiber of $G$-structures to be equal if they’re homotopic (possibly after taking a common refinement of open covers).

This is a formalization of the idea that, for example, an orientation is the structure such that all change-of-charts maps preserve the orientation of tangent vectors.

Remark. There’s an equivalent classifying-space perspective: a vector bundle determines a map $f : M \to B\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$, and a $G$-structure is a lift of that map to $BG$:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
M & \xrightarrow{f} & B\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R}) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow_{b_\rho} \\
\text{BG} & \xrightarrow{b_\rho} & \text{BG}
\end{array}
$$

We declare two such lifts to be equivalent if they’re homotopy equivalent.

\begin{proof}[Proof sketch] Introduce a Riemannian metric on $M$ (the space of metrics is contractible, so the resulting structures will be equivalent). Thus, there is an inner product on each fiber of $E$, so we can apply the Gram-Schmidt process to homotope each function so it maps into $O_n$ into $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$.
\end{proof}

\begin{proposition}
Every vector bundle has a unique $O_n$-structure.
\end{proposition}

\begin{proof}
Instead of $\text{Spin}_n$-structure, we’ll say spin structure, and so forth.

Remark. The same story goes through, of course, for complex vector bundles, with transition functions valued in $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Again the Gram-Schmidt process allows a canonical reduction of structure group to $U_n$ in the presence of a Riemannian metric.
\end{proof}

The point is: these structures are obstructed by characteristic classes.

\begin{theorem}
Let $M$ be a manifold.
\begin{itemize}
\item $M$ is orientable iff $w_1(M) = 0$.
\item $M$ is spinnable iff $w_1(M) + w_2(M) = 0$.
\end{itemize}
\end{theorem}

We’d like to say more, and say something like “an orientation is a choice of trivialization of $w_1$,” but we can’t make sense of that on the nose, since $H^1(M; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ is a set. To make sense of this, we should replace it with a space: because $H^n(M; A) = \{ M, K(A, n) \}$, we’ll look at $\text{Map}(M, K(\mathbb{Z}/2, 1))$.

That is, if $M$ is orientable, an orientation is a path from $w_1$ to 0 in this mapping space, so we want to know $\pi_1(\text{Map}(M, K(\mathbb{Z}/2, 1)), 0)$. This we can compute with abstract nonsense:

$$
\pi_1(\text{Map}(M, K(\mathbb{Z}/2, 1)), 0) = \pi_0(\Omega \text{Map}(M, K(\mathbb{Z}/2, 1)))
$$

$$
= \pi_0(S^1 \wedge M_+, K(\mathbb{Z}/2, 1))
$$

$$
= \pi_0(S^1, K(\mathbb{Z}/2, 1))
$$

$$
= \pi_0(S^1) + \pi_0(S^1) = \pi_1(S^1, K(\mathbb{Z}/2, 1))
$$

$$
= H^1(\Sigma M_+, \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong H^0(M; \mathbb{Z}/2).
$$

Hence, the number of orientations of $M$ is $|H^0(M; \mathbb{Z}/2)|$. Of course, this makes sense, because an element of $H^0(M; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}/2$-valued function on $\pi_0(M)$, corresponding to positively or negatively orienting each connected component. This isomorphism is not canonical, however; the set of orientations is a torsor for $H^0(M; \mathbb{Z}/2)$.

Look, you knew how many orientations a manifold has. The point is, this formalism applies to lots of other structures. The same argument as above proves the following.
We're going to construct some more.

\[ \nu \]

Corollary 2.9. Let \( M \) be an oriented manifold. Then, the set of spin structures on \( M \) is an \( H^1(M; \mathbb{Z}/2) \)-torsor.

2.3. Stiefel-Whitney numbers and unoriented cobordism. Fix a dimension \( n \geq 0 \); we'll allow the empty set to be an \( n \)-manifold. Recall that two \( n \)-manifolds \( M \) and \( N \) are (unoriented) cobordant if there's an \((n+1)\)-manifold \( X \) such that \( \partial X = M \sqcup N \); one says \( X \) is a cobordism from \( M \) to \( N \).

By gluing cobordisms, cobordism is an equivalence relation; the set of equivalence classes is denoted \( \Omega^O_n \). This is an abelian group under disjoint union, and

\[ \Omega^O_n := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \Omega^O_n \]

is a graded ring under Cartesian product. This is called the (unoriented) cobordism ring.

Remark. Fix a tangential structure \( G \). The above goes through when restricted to manifolds and cobordisms with \( G \)-structure, and therefore defines \( G \)-cobordism groups and rings, denoted \( \Omega^G_n \) and \( \Omega^G_* \). Frequently considered are oriented cobordism, spin cobordism, and framed cobordism.

It's a classical question in algebraic topology, and a hard one, to compute cobordism rings. Somewhat easier is the construction of cobordism invariants, maps out of \( \Omega^O_n \) to some other ring that are easier to compute. For example, one can show that the mod 2 Euler characteristic is a cobordism invariant: if \( M \) is cobordant to \( N \), then \( \chi(M) \equiv \chi(N) \) mod 2. (This admits a direct cellular argument, but we'll prove it later with characteristic classes.) We're going to construct some more.

Definition 2.10. Let \( M \) be a closed \( n \)-manifold, so that it admits a unique fundamental class in \( F_2 \) cohomology, and let \( n = i_1 + \cdots + i_k \) be a partition of \( n \). Then, the Stiefel-Whitney number

\[ w_{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k} := (w_{i_1}(M)w_{i_2}(M) \cdots w_{i_k}(M), [M]). \]

That is, multiply all of the specified Stiefel-Whitney classes together, then cap with the fundamental class.

In the exercises you'll prove this is a cobordism invariant. Great! But it turns out the Stiefel-Whitney numbers are a complete invariant.

Theorem 2.11 (Thom). As graded rings,

\[ \Omega^O_\ast \cong F_2[x_i \mid i \neq 2^j - 1] \cong F_2[x_2, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_8, \ldots], \]

where if \( i \) is even, \( x_i = [\mathbb{R}P^i] \). Moreover, two \( n \)-manifolds \( M \) and \( N \) are cobordant iff their Stiefel-Whitney numbers all agree.

The significance of this theorem is difficult to overstate: Thom more or less invented differential topology in order to prove it.

Remark. The odd-dimensional generators are certain Dold manifolds \( P(m, n) := (S^m \times \mathbb{C}P^n)/\mathbb{Z}/2 \), where \( \mathbb{Z}/2 \) acts by the antipodal map on \( S^m \) and complex conjugation on \( \mathbb{C}P^n \).

The lesson today is: we know how to compute Stiefel-Whitney numbers, so we can tell whether two manifolds are cobordant. Later we'll give analogous results for other kinds of cobordism.

2.4. Some example calculations.

Proposition 2.12. There is no immersion \( \mathbb{R}P^9 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{14} \).

Proof. Suppose \( f : \mathbb{R}P^9 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{14} \) is such an immersion. Then, there is a short exact sequence of vector bundles on \( \mathbb{R}P^9 \)

\[ 0 \to T\mathbb{R}P^9 \to f^*(T\mathbb{R}^{14}) \to \nu \to 0, \]

where \( \nu \) is the normal bundle. Hence by the Whitney sum formula,

\[ w(\mathbb{R}P^9)w(\nu) = w(f^*T\mathbb{R}^{14}) = 1, \]

because \( T\mathbb{R}^3 \) is trivial. Expanding,

\[ w(\mathbb{R}P^9) = (1 + x)^{10} = 1 + x^2 + x^8, \]
so if you solve for \( w(v) \), it has to be
\[
w(v) = 1 + x^2 + x^4 + x^6.
\]
However, \( v \) is 5-dimensional, so \( w_6(v) = 0 \).

Some more useful facts about Stiefel-Whitney classes follow. Recall that the determinant of a vector bundle \( E \) is its top exterior power \( \det E := \Lambda^{\dim E} E \).

**Proposition 2.13.** If \( E \to M \) is a real vector bundle, \( w_1(E) = w_1(\det E) \).

The analogous result for Chern classes was an exercise yesterday, and this is true for the same reasons.

**Proposition 2.14.** Let \( E, E' \to M \) be real line bundles, where \( M \) is a closed manifold. Then, the following are equivalent:
1. \( E \cong E' \).
2. \( w(E) = w(E') \).
3. \( w_1(E) = w_1(E') \).

**Corollary 2.15.** Let \( M \) be a closed \( n \)-manifold. The following three maps are group isomorphisms:
\[
\text{Bun}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(M) \overset{w_{2,\mathbb{Z}/2}}{\longrightarrow} \text{Line}(M) \overset{w_1}{\longrightarrow} H^1(M; \mathbb{Z}/2) \overset{\text{PD}}{\longrightarrow} H_{n-1}(M; \mathbb{Z}/2).
\]
The first map is the associated bundle construction, the second is the first Stiefel-Whitney class, and the third is Poincaré duality.

It is possible, and enlightening, to describe compositions or maps going the other way. For example, given an embedded \((n-1)\)-manifold \( N \subset M \), one can construct a principal \( \mathbb{Z}/2 \)-bundle on \( M \) by declaring it to be trivial on \( M \setminus N \), and on \( N \), glue by switching the two fibers.

**Proposition 2.16.** The top Stiefel-Whitney number \( \langle w_n, [M] \rangle \) of a closed manifold is its Euler characteristic modulo 2.

Later we'll see that if \( M \) is orientable, \( w_n \) is the reduction of another characteristic class which encodes the Euler characteristic in \( \mathbb{Z} \).

### 2.5 Exercises.

Most important:
1. Analogous to yesterday’s calculation of \( c(C\mathbb{P}^n) \), show that \( w(\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^n) = (1 + x)^{n+1} \), where \( x \) is the nonzero element of \( H^1(\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^n; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2 \).
2. For which \( n \) is \( \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^n \) orientable? Spin?
3. We provided a definition of the \( k \)-th Chern class as the Poincaré dual of the dependency locus of \( k \) generic sections. Can you provide the analogous definition for the \( k \)-th Stiefel-Whitney class and prove it’s equivalent to the one given in lecture?
4. Show that the top Stiefel-Whitney class of an odd-dimensional manifold vanishes.
5. Show that when \( n \neq 2^k - 1 \), \( \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^n \) does not embed in \( \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \).

Also important, especially if you’re interested:
1. There are two groups \( \text{Pin}^+ \) and \( \text{Pin}^- \) which are double covers of \( \text{O}_n \); for each one, the connected component of the identity is \( \text{Spin}_n \). Thus, one may speak of \( \text{Pin}^+ \) and \( \text{Pin}^- \)-structures on manifolds; the former is a trivialization of \( w_2 \), and the latter is a trivialization of \( w_2 + w_1 \). For which \( n \) is \( \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^n \) \( \text{Pin}^+ \)? \( \text{Pin}^- \)?
2. Show that an orientation and either a \( \text{Pin}^+ \) or a \( \text{Pin}^- \) structure determines a Spin structure. (This is not the same as: an orientable and \( \text{Pin}^+ \) manifold is spin: we’re choosing structures.)
3. If \( M \) admits a \( \text{Pin}^+ \) structure, how many does it have? What about \( \text{Pin}^- \)-structures?
4. Find a manifold \( M \) which is not parallelizable, but with \( w(M) = 1 \).
5. Express \( w(M \times N) \) in terms of \( w(M) \) and \( w(N) \).
6. Show that if \( E \) is any vector bundle, \( E \oplus \mathbb{R} \) is orientable. Can you make sense of this geometrically?
7. Show that a Stiefel-Whitney number defines a group homomorphism \( \Omega^n_1 \to \mathbb{Z}_2 \).
8. Show that if an \( n \)-manifold \( M \) embeds in \( \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \), then \( w_1(M) = w_1(M)^j \).
9. Consider the fiber bundle \( S^2 \to E \to S^1 \) where we quotient \( S^2 \times [0,1] \) by \( (x,0) \sim (f(x),1) \), where \( f \) has degree \(-1 \). What are its Stiefel-Whitney classes? Is it orientable? If instead you use a degree-1 map, what’s the total space?
(10) Show there’s no immersion $\mathbb{R}^{2k} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2^{k-1}-2}$ (hence Whitney’s theorem is optimal).

(11) Show a real vector bundle $E$ is orientable iff $\text{Det } E$ is trivial.

Additional exercises:

(1) If $E_1$ and $E_2$ are vector bundles such that two of $E_1$, $E_2$, and $E_1 \oplus E_2$ are spin, show that the third is also spin.

(2) Find two Pin$^+$ manifolds $M$ and $N$ such that $M \times N$ is not Pin$^+$. Repeat for Pin$^-$. (This is ultimately the reason why the cobordism groups $\Omega_{\text{pin}}^*$ and $\Omega_{\text{pin}}^-$ aren’t rings. As a spin structure determines a Pin$^\pm$ structure, at least they’re still modules over $\Omega_{\text{spin}}^*$. Said another way, $MPin^+$ and $MPin^-$ aren’t ring spectra, but they are module spectra over $MSpin$.)

(3) Show that all Stiefel-Whitney numbers of $M$ vanish iff the Stiefel-Whitney numbers of its stable normal bundle vanish.

(4) Let $y \in H^1(M; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ and $N \hookrightarrow M$ be a Poincaré dual to $y$. Obtain a formula for the mod 2 Euler characteristic of $N$ as $\langle c, [M] \rangle$ for some $c \in H^n(M; \mathbb{Z}/2)$. Hint: feel free to assume that if $L \to M$ is a line bundle and $N \subset M$ is Poincaré dual to $w_1(L)$, then $\beta_N \equiv L|_N$.

(5) Show that if $n$ is an odd number and $M$ is a closed, $n$-dimensional manifold then for $0 \leq k \leq (d - 1)/2$ and any $y \in H^1(M; \mathbb{Z}/2)$, $w_{n-2k}(M)y^{2k} = 0$.

(6) Show there is no vector bundle $E \to \mathbb{R}P^\infty$ whose direct sum with the tautological bundle $S$ is trivial.

3. Stable cohomology operations and the Wu formula

Today, we’re going to discuss Wu classes, which are also characteristic classes for real vector bundles in $\mathbb{Z}/2$ cohomology. This means they’re polynomials over the Stiefel-Whitney classes, but they way in which they arise is interesting and useful.

3.1. Stable cohomology operations. Wu classes arise through stable cohomology operations, which are a worthwhile digression.

**Definition 3.1.** A cohomology operation is a natural transformation of functors $\theta : H^p(\_; A) \to H^q(\_; B)$, meaning it commutes with pullback. If in addition it commutes with suspension, $\theta$ is said to be stable.

**Example 3.2.**

- One simple example is the squaring map $x \mapsto x^2$ in any degree and any coefficients. This is not stable.
- The Pontrjagin square $\mathcal{P} : H^2(X; \mathbb{Z}/2) \to H^4(X; \mathbb{Z}/4)$ is a more interesting example, which is the squaring map, but using the fact that if $x \in \mathbb{Z}$, knowing $x \bmod 2$ suffices to determine $x^2 \bmod 4$.
- Here’s an explicit example of a stable operation. The short exact sequence
  \[ 0 \to \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}^2 \to \mathbb{Z}/2 \to 0 \]
  induces a short exact sequence of cochain complexes
  \[ 0 \to C^*(M; \mathbb{Z}) \to C^*(M; \mathbb{Z})^2 \to C^*(M; \mathbb{Z}/2) \to 0, \]
  and hence a long exact sequence in cohomology:
  \[ \cdots \to H^n(M; \mathbb{Z}) \to H^n(M; \mathbb{Z}) \to H^n(M; \mathbb{Z}/2) \xrightarrow{\beta_0} H^{n+1}(M; \mathbb{Z}) \to \cdots. \]
  The connecting morphism $\beta_0$ is called the Bockstein homomorphism.

  If we instead started with the short exact sequence
  \[ 0 \to \mathbb{Z}/2 \to \mathbb{Z}/4 \to \mathbb{Z}/2 \to 0, \]
  we’d obtain a different Bockstein homomorphism $\beta_4 : H^1(M; \mathbb{Z}/2) \to H^{1+1}(M; \mathbb{Z}/2)$. Both of these are stable.

Since Eilenberg-Mac Lane spaces represent cohomology, a cohomology operation of type $H^p(\_; A) \to H^q(\_; B)$ is determined by a homotopy class of maps $K(A, p) \to K(B, q)$. That is, the abelian group of cohomology operations from $H^p(\_; A) \to H^q(\_; B)$ is $[K(A, p), K(B, q)] = H^q(K(A, p); B)$. Calculating this is a complicated problem.

Stable cohomology operations admit an axiomatic description. It turns out that over $\mathbb{Z}$, all stable cohomology operations are either multiples of the identity, or come from stable cohomology operations over $\mathbb{F}_p$. We’ll only need the case $p = 2$ today, though.
Definition 3.3. The stable cohomology operations \( H^*(-; \mathbb{F}_2) \to H^*(-; \mathbb{F}_2) \) form a graded \( \mathbb{F}_2 \)-algebra called the Steenrod algebra \( \mathcal{A} \), which is generated by classes \( \mathrm{Sq}^n \in \mathcal{A}_n \) for \( n \geq 0 \), called Steenrod squares, such that:

- \( \mathrm{Sq}^0 : H^*(-; \mathbb{F}_2) \to H^k*(-; \mathbb{F}_2) \) commutes with pullback and is a group homomorphism.
- \( \mathrm{Sq}^0 = \text{id} \).
- \( \mathrm{Sq}^1 = \beta_q \).
- Restricted to classes of degree \( n \), \( \mathrm{Sq}^n \) is the map \( x \mapsto x^2 \).
- If \( n > |x| \), then \( \mathrm{Sq}^n x = 0 \).
- The Cartan formula
  \[
  \mathrm{Sq}^n(xy) = \sum_{i+j=n} \mathrm{Sq}^i(x)\mathrm{Sq}^j(y).
  \]
  Equivalently, the total Steenrod square \( \mathrm{Sq} : 1 + \mathrm{Sq}^1 + \mathrm{Sq}^2 + \cdots \) is a ring homomorphism.

It’s a theorem that these axioms uniquely determine \( \mathcal{A} \), but actually constructing the Steenrod squares is involved.

As a consequence, the Steenrod squares satisfy the Adem relations

\[
\mathrm{Sq}^i \mathrm{Sq}^j = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor i/2 \rfloor} \binom{j-k-1}{i-2k} \mathrm{Sq}^{i+j-k} \mathrm{Sq}^k.
\]

Since we can apply any element of \( \mathcal{A} \) to any cohomology class, \( H^*(M; \mathbb{F}_2) \) is a module over \( \mathcal{A} \) for any \( M \). Pullback maps are \( \mathcal{A} \)-module homomorphisms, as is the connecting morphism in a long exact sequence.

Example 3.4. Let’s determine the \( \mathcal{A} \)-module structure on \( H^*(\mathbb{R}P^4; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2[a]/(a^5) \) with \(|a| = 1\). We know \( \mathrm{Sq}^0 a = a \) and \( \mathrm{Sq}^n a = a^2 \), and all higher Steenrod squares vanish. Now we can use the Cartan formula:

- \( \mathrm{Sq}(a^2) = \mathrm{Sq}(a) \mathrm{Sq}(a) = (a + a^2)^2 = a^2 + a^4 \). Hence \( \mathrm{Sq}^1 a^2 = 0 \), \( \mathrm{Sq}^2 a^2 = a^4 \), and all others vanish.
- \( \mathrm{Sq}(a^3) = \mathrm{Sq}(a) \mathrm{Sq}(a^2) = (a + a^2)(a^2 + a^4) = a^3 + a^4 \), so \( \mathrm{Sq}^1 a^3 = a^4 \) and all others vanish.

3.2. The Wu class and Wu formula. We’re going to use Poincaré duality to turn the Steenrod squares into characteristic classes. One formulation of Poincaré duality is that for any closed \( n \)-manifold \( M \),

\[
H^k(M; \mathbb{Z}/2) \otimes H^{n-k}(M; \mathbb{Z}/2) \xrightarrow{-} H^n(M; \mathbb{Z}/2) \xrightarrow{-[M]} \mathbb{Z}/2
\]

is a nondegenerate pairing. This is the adjoint to the usual Poincaré duality statement (an isomorphism between \( H^k \) and \( H_{n-k} \)).

In particular, \( H^k(M; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong (H^{n-k}(M; \mathbb{Z}/2))^* \), so if we can produce linear functionals on \( H^{n-k}(M; \mathbb{Z}/2) \), they will define cohomology classes for us. And \( \mathrm{Sq}^k : H^{n-k}(M; \mathbb{Z}/2) \to H^n(M; \mathbb{Z}/2) \) is such a linear functional, so it’s represented by some class \( v_k \in H^k(M; \mathbb{Z}/2) \). \( v_k \sim x = \mathrm{Sq}^k(x) \). This class is called the \( k \)-th Wu class of \( M \). Similarly, the total Wu class is \( v := 1 + v_1 + v_2 + \cdots \). The total Wu class satisfies

\[
(v \sim x, [M]) = (\mathrm{Sq}x, [M])
\]

for all \( x \in H^*(M; \mathbb{Z}/2) \).

Lemma 3.5. The Wu classes are natural, and hence are \( \mathbb{Z}/2 \) characteristic classes of real vector bundles.

By natural we mean the pullback of the total Wu class on \( M \) by \( f : N \to M \) is the total Wu class on \( N \).

Proof sketch. The Stiefel-Whitney classes and Steenrod squares determine the Wu class, and both are natural.

The Wu classes are something we haven’t seen before: there’s no vector bundle, just the manifold. So the theorem that every \( \mathbb{Z}/2 \) characteristic class for real vector bundles is a polynomial in Stiefel-Whitney classes doesn’t literally apply. But the Wu classes are still closely related to Stiefel-Whitney classes.

Theorem 3.6 (Wu). \( \mathrm{Sq}(v) = w \).

Corollary 3.7. The Stiefel-Whitney classes of a manifold are homotopy invariants.

Corollary 3.8. Homotopy equivalent manifolds of the same dimension are unoriented cobordant.

Here’s another application of Theorem 3.6:
Proposition 3.9 (Wu formula).
\[
Sq^i w_k = \sum_{j=0}^{i} \binom{k + j - i - 1}{j} w_{i-j} w_{k+j},
\]

3.3. Some example applications. The point of all this formalism is to be useful, so let’s see some applications.

Proposition 3.10. If \( M \) is a closed 2- or 3-manifold, \( w_1(M)^2 = w_2(M) \).

Proof. Here we use the fact that \( w = Sq(v) \). Looking at the homogeneous terms,
\[
w_1 = Sq^1 v_0 + Sq^0 v_1 = v_1,
\]
\[
w_2 = Sq^2 v_0 + Sq^1 v_1 + Sq^0 v_2 = v_1^2 + v_2 = w_1^2,
\]

because \( v_2 = 0 \) on a 3-manifold.

Corollary 3.11. Every orientable manifold of dimension at most 3 is spin.

So the Wu classes force certain Steifel-Whitney numbers to vanish. It’s a theorem of Brown and Peterson that all such relationships between Steifel-Whitney classes arise in this way.

Proposition 3.12. Let \( M \) be an orientable 4-manifold. Then, \( M \) is spin iff all embedded surfaces have even intersection number.

Proof. Since the intersection product is Poincaré dual to cup product, it suffices to show \( \langle a^2, [M] \rangle = 0 \) for all \( a \in H^2(M; \mathbb{Z}/2) \) iff \( w_2(M) = 0 \).

Now we use the Wu formula. \( w_1 \) is the degree-1 piece of \( Sq^1 \), so
\[
w_1 = Sq^1 v_0 + Sq^0 v_1 = v_1,
\]
and hence \( v_1 = 0 \). Next,
\[
w_2 = Sq^2 v_0 + Sq^1 v_1 + Sq^0 v_2,
\]
so \( w_2 = v_2 \). For any \( a \in H^2(M; \mathbb{Z}/2) \),
\[
\langle a^2, [M] \rangle = \langle Sq^2 a, [M] \rangle = \langle v_2 a, [M] \rangle = \langle w_2 a, [M] \rangle.
\]
Poincaré duality tells us the cup product pairing \( H^2(M; \mathbb{Z}/2) \otimes H^2(M; \mathbb{Z}/2) \to \mathbb{Z}/2 \) is nondegenerate, so \( w_2 = 0 \) iff \( \langle a^2, [M] \rangle = 0 \) for all \( a \), as desired.

The Wu classes tell you that you can get the Steifel-Whitney classes directly out of the \( \mathcal{A} \)-module structure on \( H^*(M; \mathbb{Z}/2) \), which can be useful if you don’t have a good geometric description of your space.

Example 3.13. Just as one has real and complex projective spaces, one can define quaternionic projective space \( \mathbb{HP}^n := \mathbb{H}P^n/\mathbb{H}^\times \), a 4n-dimensional manifold which behaves quite a bit like \( \mathbb{RP}^n \) and \( \mathbb{CP}^n \). For example, \( H^*(\mathbb{HP}^n) \cong \mathbb{Z}[a]/(a^{n+1}) \), where \( |a| = 4 \). This fact completely determines the Steifel-Whitney classes of \( \mathbb{HP}^n \).

For example, let \( n = 4 \). By degree reasons, \( Sq^4 a = a^2 \) and no other Steenrod squares are nonzero, so \( Sq(a) = a + a^2 \). By the Cartan formula, \( Sq(a^k) = (Sq a)^k \) and so
\[
Sq(a^2) = (a + a^2)^2 = a^2 + a^4,
\]
\[
Sq(a^3) = (a + a^2)(a^2 + a^4) = a^3 + a^4 + a^5 + a^6 = a^3 = a^4,
\]
\[
Sq(a^4) = a^4.
\]

Often this is encoded in a diagram such as Figure 1.

The only possible nonzero Wu classes are \( v_0, v_4, \) and \( v_8 \), and looking at the \( \mathcal{A} \)-action, \( v_4 = a \) and \( v_8 = a^2 \). Thus
\[
w(\mathbb{HP}^4) = Sq(v) = Sq(1 + a + a^2)
\]
\[
= 1 + (a + a^2) + (a^2 + a^4)
\]
\[
= 1 + a + a^4,
\]
so \( w_4(\mathbb{HP}^4) = a, w_8(\mathbb{HP}^4) = a^4 \), and all other Steifel-Whitney classes are zero.
Figure 1. The $\mathbb{Z}/2$-cohomology of $\mathbb{H}P^4$, as an $A$-module.

Example 3.14. The Wu manifold $W := SU_3/SO_3$ is a five-dimensional manifold. One can show that its mod 2 cohomology is $H^*(W; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2[z_2, z_3]/(z_2^2, z_3^2)$, and the $A$-action is $Sq^1 z_2 = z_3$ and $Sq^2 z_3 = z_5$. Hence $v(W) = 1 + v_2$, which determines the Stiefel-Whitney classes. Only $w_2$ and $w_3$ can be nonzero, by looking at cohomology. And indeed,

$w_2(W) = Sq^1 v_0 + Sq^0 v_1 = v_2 = z_2$

$w_3(W) = Sq^2 v_0 + Sq^1 v_1 + v_2 = Sq^1 z_2 = z_3$,

so $w(W) = 1 + z_2 + z_3$.

This is noteworthy because it means the Stiefel-Whitney number $w_{2,3} = \langle w_2(W)w_3(W), [W]\rangle = 1$, and you'll show in the exercises that in dimension 5, all Stiefel-Whitney numbers are either 0 or equal to $w_{2,3}$. Thus, $\Omega^5_5 \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$ with $W$ as a generator, and you can check you don't get a generator from any 5-dimensional product of projective spaces.

3.4. The Bockstein and integral Stiefel-Whitney classes.

Definition 3.15. Let $E \to M$ be a real vector bundle. The $k$th integral Stiefel-Whitney class of $E$, denoted $W_n(E)$, is $w_0w_{n-1}(E) \in H^n(M; \mathbb{Z})$.

For every $n$, there's a Lie group $Spin^c_n$ which can be defined in a few ways: it's the quotient

$Spin^c_n := (Spin_n \times U_1)/\mathbb{Z}/2$,

where $\mathbb{Z}/2$ acts as $-1$ on both components.

Proposition 3.16. A $Spin^c$-structure on an oriented manifold is obstructed by the third integral Stiefel-Whitney class.

Using the Bockstein long exact sequence, this is the same thing as $w_2$ being in the image of the reduction map $H^2(M, \mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(M; \mathbb{Z}/2)$.

Remark. $W_3(M)$ is also an obstruction to the existence of a contact structure on $M$, though it’s not the only obstruction.

3.5. Exercises. Most important:

(1) Which Wu classes vanish on a 5-manifold? What about an orientable 5-manifold?

(2) Show that any orientable 4-manifold is spin$^c$.

Also important, especially if you’re interested:

(1) Let $M$ be a $2n$-dimensional manifold. Show that there exists an $n$-dimensional embedded submanifold $Y$ such that for any other $n$-dimensional embedded submanifold $N \subset M$, $I_2(N, N) = I_2(Y, N)$. (Here $I_2$ denotes the mod 2 intersection number.)

(2) Show that if $M$ is a closed, orientable manifold of dimension 6 or 10, $\chi(M)$ is even.

(3) Determine the action of the Steenrod algebra on $H^*(\mathbb{R}P^n, \mathbb{Z}/2)$.

(4) Show that for any vector bundle $E \to M$, the smallest $k \geq 1$ such that $w_k(E) \neq 0$, if one exists, is a power of 2.
(5) Show that $\beta_3 w_{2k+1}(E) = w_1(E)w_{2k+1}(E)$ and $\beta_4 w_{2k}(E) = w_{2k+1}(E) + w_1(E)w_{2k}(E)$. Hint: check this on the universal bundle $E\mathcal{O}_n \to B\mathcal{O}_n$.

(6) Show that all Stiefel-Whitney numbers on a closed 5-manifold either vanish or are equal to $w_{2, 3}$.  

Additional exercises:

(1) Show that if $M$ is an oriented manifold and $H^*(M)$ contains no torsion, then $M$ is spin. Conclude that $\mathbb{C}P^n$ is spin for all $n$.

(2) There’s a group $\text{Spin}_n^\mathbb{C} = (\text{Spin}_n^\mathbb{C} \times U_1)/\mathbb{Z}/2$ analogous to the definition of $\text{Spin}^\mathbb{C}$. The obstruction to a $\text{Spin}^\mathbb{C}$-structure on $E \to M$ is exactly $w_3(E)$. Show that $\mathbb{R}P^n$ is spin if it’s $\text{Spin}^\mathbb{C}$ if it’s spin.

(3) Show that if $M$ is a spin 5-manifold, $w(M) = 1$. If $M$ is a $\text{Spin}^\mathbb{C}$-5-manifold, show that $w(M) = 1 + w_1(M)$.

(4) Show that $w_3(M) = 0$ for a closed 4-manifold $M$.

(5) Generalize Proposition 3.12 to the unoriented setting.

(6) How many spin structures are there on an oriented manifold $M$?

4. Chern, Pontrjagin, and Euler classes

4.1. Chern classes. We’ve been here before. Let’s quickly recall a definition, and then discuss some properties. Many are directly analogous to properties of Stiefel-Whitney classes, in a way that’s strongly reminiscent of the passage from mod 2 intersection theory of unoriented submanifolds to integral intersection theory with orientations. This analogy is not a coincidence.

We’ve provided several definitions of Chern classes already. From a universal perspective, $H^*(BU) \cong \mathbb{Z}[c_1, c_2, \ldots]$, with $|c_i| = 2k$, thus defining characteristic classes for complex vector bundles. Things like naturality, stability, and the Whitney sum formula follow.

If $M$ is an almost complex manifold, its tangent bundle has the structure of a complex vector bundle. In this case we may define Chern numbers of $M$ as usual. We can also do this if $M$ is a stably almost complex manifold, meaning we’ve placed a complex structure on $TM \oplus \mathbb{R}^k$; this uses the fact that Chern classes are stable.

Here are some more properties of Chern classes. Some of these will be reminiscent of analogous properties for Stiefel-Whitney classes.

Proposition 4.1. Let $E \to M$ be a complex vector bundle.

1. $c_1(E) = c_1(\text{Det } E)$.

2. If $\overline{E}$ denotes the complex conjugate bundle, then $\overline{E} \cong E^*$ and $c_i(\overline{E}) = (-1)^k c_i(E)$.

3. If $M$ is a stably almost complex manifold, its top Chern number is equal to $\chi(M)$.

4. Under the reduction homomorphism $H^*(M) \to H^*(M; \mathbb{Z}/2)$, $c_n(E) \to w_{2n}(E)$, and $w_{2n+1}(E) = 0$.

Just as $w_1$ classifies real line bundles, $c_1$ classifies complex line bundles.

Proposition 4.2. Let $E, E' \to M$ be complex line bundles, where $M$ is closed. Then, the following are equivalent:

1. $E \cong E'$.

2. $c_1(E) = c_1(E')$.

3. $c_1(E) = c_1(E')$.

Corollary 4.3. Let $M$ be a closed manifold. Then, the following maps are group isomorphisms.

\[ \text{Bun}_{U_1}(M) \xrightarrow{- \otimes \mathcal{L}} \text{Line}_c(M) \xrightarrow{c_1} H^2(M). \]

If $M$ is orientable, we also have the Poincaré duality isomorphism $H^2(M) \to H_{n-2}(M)$.

Though Chern classes are obstructions to tangential structures, these structures aren’t considered as often as orientations or spin structures.

Proposition 4.4. A complex vector bundle $E$ has an SU-structure iff $c_1(E) = 0$.

Though we can’t define a cobordism ring of complex manifolds (what’s a complex structure on an odd-dimensional manifold?), stably almost complex structures work fine. The stably almost complex cobordism ring is denoted $\Omega_{\text{SU}}$.

\[ \Omega_{\text{SU}} \xrightarrow{\text{even}} \Omega_{\text{SU}} \]

4 There’s a similar issue with defining a cobordism ring of symplectic manifolds, and what one obtains is stably almost symplectic cobordism.
Theorem 4.5 (Milnor, Novikov). As graded rings,
\[ \Omega^V \cong \mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2, \ldots], \]
where \( |x_i| = 2k \). Moreover, two stably almost complex manifolds are cobordant iff all of their Chern numbers agree.

In \( \Omega^V \otimes \mathbb{Q} \), we can take \( \mathbb{C}P^k \) as a generator of the degree-2k piece, but over \( \mathbb{Z} \), things are more complicated.

Remark. The identification of \( \Omega^V \) with the ring of formal group laws is a major organizing principle in stable homotopy theory, allowing one to define generalized cohomology theories that see a lot of the structure of stable homotopy theory. This is an active area of research known as the chromatic program.

There isn’t a single characteristic class which obstructs a stably almost complex structure. However, a stably almost complex structure is exactly what it means to have Chern classes, so we obtain a necessary condition.

Proposition 4.6. If \( M \) is a stably almost complex manifold, \( w_{2k+1}(M) = 0 \) and \( W_{2k+1}(M) = 0 \) for all \( k \).

That is, the odd-degree Stiefel-Whitney classes are zero and the even-degree ones are reductions of integral classes (namely, Chern classes of the tangent bundle).

4.2. The splitting principle. When it comes to computing Chern classes, if a vector bundle is a direct sum of line bundles, life is good. But there are vector bundles \( E \) which aren’t direct sums of line bundles, and this is sad. But if we knew of a space \( Y \) and a map \( p : Y \to M \) such that
- \( p^* : H^*(M) \to H^*(Y) \) is injective, and
- after pullback to \( Y \), \( E \) is a direct sum of line bundles,

then things would be OK: one could compute the Chern class of \( E \to M \) by pulling it back to \( Y \), decomposing it as a direct sum of line bundles, and computing their Chern classes. Since \( p^* \) is injective, we’ve lost no information, so this determines the Chern class of \( E \). This is known as the splitting principle.

Such a \( Y \) exists, and we will construct it.

Definition 4.7. Let \( V \) be a finite-dimensional complex Hilbert space. The flag manifold \( \text{Fl}(V) \) is the manifold whose points are orthogonal decompositions of \( V \) as a direct sum of one-dimensional subspaces.

The diffeomorphism class of the flag manifold does not depend on the choice of Hermitian metric.

Example 4.8. \( \text{Fl}(\mathbb{C}^2) = \mathbb{C}P^1 \): in an orthogonal decomposition of \( \mathbb{C}^2 \), one line determines the other, so \( \text{Fl}(\mathbb{C}^2) \) is the space of lines through the origin in \( \mathbb{C}^2 \).

For the rest of this subsection, we fix a complex vector bundle \( E \to M \) of rank \( k \).

Definition 4.9. The flag bundle \( p : \text{Fl}(E) \to M \) is the fiber bundle whose fiber at an \( x \in M \) is \( \text{Fl}(E_x) \). The total space is called the flag manifold.

This requires a Hermitian metric to construct, as \( \text{Fl}(V) \) did, but is independent of the choice of metric. There are tautological line bundles \( L_1, \ldots, L_k \to \text{Fl}(E) \): the fiber of \( L_i \) over \((x, W_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus W_k)\) is \( W_i \).

Proposition 4.10. The pullback \( p^* : H^*(E) \to H^*(\text{Fl}(E)) \) is injective.

This is an application of the Leray-Hirsch theorem.

Proposition 4.11. After being pulled back to \( \text{Fl}(E) \), \( p^* E \cong L_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus L_k \).

Why is this? At every point \((x, W_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus W_k)\), we have an isomorphism
\[ E_x \cong W_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus W_k \cong (L_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus L_k)_x, \]
and these vary smoothly.

Let \( x_i := c_i(L_i) \); these are called the Chern roots of \( E \). By the Whitney sum formula, \( c_i(E) \) is the \( i \)th symmetric polynomial in the Chern roots. In particular,
\[ c(E) = \prod_{i=1}^k (1 + x_i). \]

You can actually use the splitting principle to provide yet another definition of Chern classes: once \( c_1 \) is defined, then all the others follow from the Whitney sum formula on the flag bundle.
4.3. Pontrjagin classes. We’ll leverage the Chern classes to define integral cohomology classes for real vector bundles. At this point you broadly know how the story goes.

**Definition 4.12.** Let \( E \to M \) be a real vector bundle. Then, \( E_\mathbb{C} := E \otimes \mathbb{C} \) is a complex vector bundle, which we call the **complexification** of \( E \).

Note that complexification doubles the rank.

**Definition 4.13.** Let \( E \to M \) be a real vector bundle. Then, its \( k \)-th **Pontrjagin class** is \( p_k(E) := (-1)^k c_{2k}(E_\mathbb{C}) \in H^{4k}(M) \). The total **Pontrjagin class** is \( p(E) := 1 + p_1(E) + \cdots \). As usual, \( p_i(M) := p_i(TM) \), and \( p(M) := p(TM) \).

**Remark.** Not everyone uses the same sign convention when defining Pontrjagin classes.

The Pontrjagin classes satisfy most of the usual axioms; in particular, they are stable. However, they do **not** follow the Whitney sum formula! Thankfully, the difference \( p(E \oplus F) - p(E)p(F) \) is 2-torsion, so if you work over \( \mathbb{Q} \) (or even \( \mathbb{Z}[1/2] \)) Pontrjagin classes satisfy the Whitney sum formula.

**Proposition 4.14** (Splitting principle for the Pontrjagin class). The **Chern roots** of \( E_\mathbb{C} \) come in pairs \( x_1, -x_1, \ldots, x_k, -x_k \), and so

\[
p(E) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} (1 + x_i^2).
\]

Pontrjagin numbers are used to classify oriented cobordism. The answer is not as clean as for unoriented cobordism

**Theorem 4.15** (Thom, Wall).

1. *All torsion in \( \Omega^*_\mathbb{Q} \) is 2-torsion.*
2. *As graded rings,*

\[
\Omega^*_{SO} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong \mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2, \ldots,]
\]

where \( |x_k| = 4k \) and \( x_k = [\mathbb{C}P^{2k}] \).
3. *Two oriented \( n \)-manifolds are oriented cobordant iff their Pontrjagin and Stiefel-Whitney numbers agree.*

**Remark.** Ultimately because \( \text{Spin}_n \to \text{SO}_n \) is a double cover, the forgetful map \( \Omega^*_{\text{Spin}} \to \Omega^*_{\text{SO}} \) is an isomorphism after tensoring with \( \mathbb{Z}[1/2] \). In particular, \( \Omega^*_{\text{Spin}} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong \mathbb{Q}[\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \ldots] \) with \( |\bar{x}_k| = 4k \). However, we can’t take \( \mathbb{C}P^{2k} \) to be generators anymore.

To get characteristic numbers that characterize spin cobordism, one has to define characteristic classes for real \( K \)-theory, a generalized cohomology theory.

4.4. The Euler class. The Euler class is an unstable characteristic class for oriented vector bundles, arising because the map \( H^*(BO_n) \to H^*(BSO_n) \) induced by the inclusion \( SO_n \to O_n \) is not surjective. Throughout this section, \( E \to M \) is an oriented real vector bundle of rank \( k \).

**Definition 4.16.** The **Euler class** of \( E, e(E) \in H^k(M) \), is the Poincaré dual to the zero locus of a generic section of \( E \).

That is, choose a section \( s \in \Gamma(E) \) that’s transverse to the zero section, and let \( N = s^{-1}(0) \), which is a codimension-\( k \) submanifold of \( M \). Then, \( e(E) \) is Poincaré dual to the class \( N \) represents in \( H_{n-k}(M) \).

**Proposition 4.17.**

1. *The Euler class is natural.*
2. *The Euler class satisfies the Whitney sum formula:* \( e(E_1 \oplus E_2) = e(E_1)e(E_2) \).
3. *If \( E \) possesses a nonvanishing section, \( e(E) = 0 \).*
4. *If \( E^{op} \) denotes \( E \) with the opposite orientation, then \( e(E^{op}) = -e(E) \).*
5. *If \( k \) is odd, \( e(E) \) is 2-torsion.*

Most of these follow directly from the definition.

**Proposition 4.18** (Relationship with other characteristic classes).

1. *Reduction mod 2* \( H^k(M) \to H^k(M; \mathbb{Z}/2) \) carries \( e(E) \to w_4(E) \).

\[^5\text{If } k > \dim M, \text{ this does not make sense, but then } H^k(M) = 0 \text{ anyways, so we let } e(E) = 0.\]
(2) If $F \to M$ is a complex vector bundle of rank $2k$, $e(F) = c_k(F)$.
(3) $e(E^2) = c(E^2)$. Hence if $k$ is even, $e(E^2) = p_{k/2}(E)$.

The characteristic number associated to the Euler class is familiar.

**Proposition 4.19.** For any oriented manifold $M$, $(e(M), [M]) = \chi(M)$, its Euler characteristic.

Sometimes, people define the Euler class for sphere bundles, i.e., fiber bundles whose fibers are spheres. This definition is equivalent to ours: given a sphere bundle $S^k \to E \to M$, we can create a vector bundle $V(E) \to M$ whose unit sphere bundle is $E$. The Euler class of $E$ is defined to be that of $V(E)$.

Sphere bundles are good examples to play with: you can build them out of manifolds you already understand, but they may twist in interesting ways. Moreover, there are tools for computing with them.

**Definition 4.20.** Let $A$ be an abelian group and $\pi: E \to M$ be a fiber bundle, where $M$ is $n$-dimensional and the fiber is $k$-dimensional, and (if $A \neq \mathbb{Z}/2$) assume that both $E$ and $M$ are oriented. For each $j$, there’s a sequence of maps

$$H^{k+j}(E; A) \xrightarrow{\text{PD}} H_{n-j}(E; A) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} H_{n-j}(M; A) \xrightarrow{\text{PD}} H^j(M; A),$$

where the first and third arrows are Poincaré duality. The composition of these maps is called the Gysin map $\pi_*: H^{k+j}(E; A) \to H^j(M; A)$.

The Gysin map goes by a variety of colorful names, including the wrong-way map, the umkehr map, the shriek map, the pushforward map, and the surprise map. Indeed, it’s surprising: we have a covariant map in cohomology!

**Remark.** For intuition, you can look to de Rham cohomology, where the Gysin map is integration on the fiber. That is, since $E$ is locally $S^k \times U$, we can integrate a differential $(j+k)$-form over $S^k$ to obtain a $j$-form on $U$. This is precisely the Gysin map.

**Theorem 4.21** (Gysin long exact sequence). Let $A$ be an abelian group and $\pi: E \to M$ be a sphere bundle with fiber $S^k$. Assume (unless $A = \mathbb{Z}/2$) that the fibers of $E \to M$ are consistently oriented. Then, there is a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\pi_*} H^m(E; A) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} H^{m-k}(M; A) \overset{e(E)}{\to} H^{m+1}(M; A) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} H^{m+1}(E; A) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} \cdots$$

That is, Gysin map, cup with the Euler class, pullback.

**Remark.** The Gysin long exact sequence is a special case of the Serre spectral sequence, and may be proven in that way.

### 4.5. Exercises.

Most important:

(1) Show that $T\mathbb{C}P^n$ is not isomorphic to its complex conjugate.
(2) Show that $CP^4$ cannot be embedded in $\mathbb{R}^5$.
(3) Let $M$ be a manifold with an orientation-reversing diffeomorphism. Show that $[M] \in \Omega^2_{SO}$ is torsion. (Hint: this diffeomorphism sends $[M] \to -[M]$. How does it affect the Pontrjagin classes? Alternatively, by a direct argument, you could find a manifold bounding $M \cup M$, showing $[M]$ is 2-torsion.)
(4) Show that if $E \subset TS^{2n}$, $E$ is either trivial or all of $TS^{2n}$.
(5) The Euler class of a complex vector bundle is equal to its top Chern class, but the Euler class is unstable and Chern classes are stable. How can this be?
(6) Prove Proposition 4.19. Hint: use the definition of the Euler characteristic as the sum of local indices of a vector field.

Also important, especially if you’re interested:

(1) Why is $p(S^n) = 1$?
(2) In contrast to Chern, Pontrjagin, and Stiefel-Whitney numbers, there are manifolds with nonzero Euler characteristic that bound. What’s an example?
(3) Exhibit two manifolds cobordant as unoriented manifolds, but not oriented manifolds.
(4) Show that $\Omega^2_{SO} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$, and the Wu manifold is a generator. This is the lowest-degree torsion in $\Omega^2_{SO}$.
(5) Show that the mod 2 reduction of $p_k(E)$ is $w_{2k}(E)^2$.
(6) Show that odd Chern classes are 2-torsion.
(7) Let $N \subset M$ be an embedded submanifold with normal bundle $v$. Show that $\langle [N], e(v) \rangle = I_2(N, N)$ (i.e., the mod 2 intersection number).
(8) Complexification of line bundles commutes with tensor product, hence defines a group homomorphism $H^4(X; \mathbb{Z}/2) \to H^2(X)$ for any space $X$.

(a) Show this is a cohomology operation.

(b) Show this is the Bockstein homomorphism $\beta_0$. Hence, if $E \to M$ is a real line bundle, $c_1(E \otimes \mathbb{C}) = \beta_0w_1(E)$.

(c) Using the splitting principle, show that if $E \to M$ is a real vector bundle, $c_1(E \otimes \mathbb{C}) = \beta_0w_1(E)$.

Additional exercises:

(1) For which $n$ is $\mathbb{C}P^n$ spin?

(2) Let $u \in H^4(\mathbb{H}P^n)$ be the generator. Show that $p(\mathbb{H}P^n) = (1 + u)^{2n+2}/(1 + 4u)$.

(3) Complexification turns a real vector bundle into a complex vector bundle. Hence it turns a principal $O_n$-bundle into a principal $U_n$-bundle. Describe this process.

(4) Let $E \to M$ be an oriented $(2k + 1)$-dimensional vector bundle. Show that $e(E) = \beta_0w_{2k}(E)$.

(5) Prove part (3) of Proposition 4.18.

(6) Give an example of:

(a) an even-dimensional stably almost complex manifold which is not almost complex, and

(b) an odd-dimensional stably almost complex manifold.

5. Genera and the Hirzebruch signature theorem

Today, we're going to talk about genera and a few of their applications. Genera touch on homotopy theory, topology, and even physics; our tour will be more modest.

Definition 5.1. A genus is a homomorphism out of a cobordism ring.

Example 5.2. The mod 2 Euler characteristic is a signature $\chi_2 : \Omega_*^{\mathbb{Q}} \to \mathbb{F}_2$ and $\chi_2 : \Omega_*^{SO} \to \mathbb{F}_2$. The Euler characteristic is a signature $\chi : \Omega_*^{SO} \to \mathbb{Z}$.

Example 5.3. Let $M$ be a closed, oriented $4k$-manifold. Then, the cup product $H^{2k}(M; \mathbb{R}) \otimes H^{2k}(M; \mathbb{R}) \to H^{4k}(M; \mathbb{R})$ is commutative, and Poincaré dual to the intersection pairing on half-dimensional homology. As a quadratic form on a real vector space, it's conjugate to one of the form $x_1^2 + \cdots + x_p^2 - x_{p+1}^2 - \cdots - x_{p+q}^2$.

The difference $\sigma := p - q$ is called the signature.

If $M$ is a manifold whose dimension isn't a multiple of 4, define $\sigma(M) := 0$.

The signature is our first nontrivial example of a genus: since it's defined using intersection numbers, you can check that it vanishes on a manifold that bounds. It's fairly clearly additive under disjoint union, and by the Künneth formula, multiplicative under Cartesian product. Hence we obtain a map $\Omega_*^{SO} \to \mathbb{Z}$.

This is a pretty broad definition, especially since relatively few, specific genera are considered. But some genera are better than others. What makes a particular genus worth considering?

- Some genera arise from geometric considerations, as the signature did.
- Some genera arise as the indices of Dirac operators. In this way they apply to geometry and to physics.
- A genus $\Omega_*^{G} \to R$ can be thought of as a ring homomorphism $\Omega_*^{G}(pt) \to H^*(pt; R)$, so it's reasonable to ask whether it lifts to a natural transformation of generalized cohomology theories: $\Omega_*^{G}(-) \to H^*(-; R)$.
- In homotopy theory, you might ask to refine further to ring morphisms of the objects representing these theories (i.e. ring spectra) $MG \to HR$.

What's interesting about the theory is that mostly the same genera arise from all these considerations.

We're going to build some genera. We already have a bunch of awesome cobordism invariants lying around, namely characteristic numbers, let's use them. We discussed yesterday how any symmetric function in the Chern roots defines a polynomial in Chern classes, so given a power series $a(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$, consider the class $G_a(M) := \prod_{i=1}^n a(x_i)$, where $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ are the Chern roots of the $n$-manifold $M$. Hence we get a number $[G_a(M), [M]]$. Since the Chern numbers are cobordism invariants, this is a cobordism-invariant function, and similarly one can show it's additive and multiplicative. You can do the same thing with Pontrjagin classes.

Using this, we'll quickly define some genera.
Example 5.4.

(1) The \textit{L-genus or L-polynomial} is associated to the power series
\[ \frac{x}{\tanh x}, \]
which ends up being a power series in \( x^2 \), hence polynomials in Pontrjagin classes: \( L_4 = (1/3)p_1 \), \( L_8 = (1/45)(7p_2 - p_1^2) \), and so forth.

(2) The \textit{Todd genus} \( \text{td}(E) \) is associated to the power series for
\[ \frac{z}{1 - e^{-z}}, \]
which begins \( 1 + (1/2)c_1 + (1/12)(c_1^2 + c_2) + \cdots \).

(3) The \( \hat{A} \)-\textit{genus} is associated to the power series
\[ \frac{z/2}{\sinh(z/2)}, \]
which ends up being a power series Pontrjagin classes, e.g. \( \hat{A}_4 = -(1/24)p_1, \hat{A}_8 = (1/5760)(-4p_2 + 7p_1^2) \), and so forth.

(4) The \textit{Chern character} is a sum over the Chern roots, not a product:
\[ \text{ch}(E) := \sum_{i=1}^k e^{x_i}, \]
where we use the power series for \( e^x \). It begins
\[ \text{ch}(E) = \text{rank } E + c_1(E) + (1/2)(c_1^2(E) + c_2(E)) + \cdots \]

(5) The \textit{Pontrjagin character} \( \text{ph}(E) := \text{ch}(E_C) \).

We’re going to focus on the \( L \)-polynomial, for the following reason.

Theorem 5.5 (Hirzebruch signature theorem). \textit{For any closed manifold} \( M \), \( \sigma(M) = \langle L(M), [M] \rangle \).

Since these are both \( \mathbb{Q} \)-valued genera for oriented cobordism, it’ll suffice to check on a generating set for \( \Omega_*^{SO} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \).

Remark. From the perspective of Dirac operators, this theorem is a quick corollary of the Atiyah-Singer index theorem (which is lurking in the background of this whole lecture). The genera we mentioned as examples also appear in this way, and the Atiyah-Singer index theorem also applies to them, proving useful theorems in topology and geometry. For example, applied to the Euler characteristic, one finds a generalization of the Gauss-Bonnet theorem.

We’re not going to go into this in detail, partly because it would take a lot of time, but also because we don’t need its full power to prove the Hirzebruch signature theorem and recover its applications.

Lemma 5.6.

\[ \sigma(\mathbb{C}P^n) = \begin{cases} 1, & n \text{ even} \\ 0, & n \text{ odd} \end{cases} \]

You’ll prove this in an exercise.

Lemma 5.7.

\[ \langle L(\mathbb{C}P^n), [\mathbb{C}P^n] \rangle = \begin{cases} 1, & n \text{ even} \\ 0, & n \text{ odd} \end{cases} \]

Proof. Earlier this week, we saw that \( T\mathbb{C}P^n \oplus \mathbb{C} \cong (S^*)^{\otimes(n+1)} \). This is a direct sum of line bundles, so its Chern roots are all \( c_1(S^*) = a \), where \( a \) is the positive generator of \( H^2(\mathbb{C}P^n) \) as usual. Hence we need to isolate the degree-\( n \) coefficient in \( L(\mathbb{C}P^n) = (a/\tanh a)^{n+1} \). The Cauchy integral formula tells us this is
\[ L(\mathbb{C}P^n)[n] = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{B(0)} \frac{1}{2^{n+1}(\frac{z}{\tanh z})^n}. \]
Let \( y = \tanh z \), so \( dy = (1 - z^2) dz \).

\[
\begin{align*}
&= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{B(0)} \frac{dy}{(1 - y^2)y^{n+1}} \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{B(0)} \frac{1 + y^2 + y^4 + \cdots}{y^{n+1}} dy \\
&= \begin{cases} 
1, & n \text{ even} \\
0, & n \text{ odd}
\end{cases}.
\end{align*}
\]

Proof of Theorem 5.5. Because \( \mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \otimes \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q} \) is injective, the signature and \( L \)-genus are determined by their values on \( \Omega^4_{SO} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \). Using Theorem 4.15, this ring is generated by \([\mathbb{C}P^{2k}]\) for \( k \geq 1 \).

By Lemmas 5.6 and 5.7, the signature and \( L \)-genus agree on \( \mathbb{C}P^{2k} \). Hence they agree on all of \( \Omega^4_{SO} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \).

Corollary 5.8. If \( M \) is an oriented 4-manifold, \( \langle p_1(M), [M] \rangle = 3\sigma(M) \), and in particular \( p_1/3 \) is an integer.

This is one of many integrality theorems. Here’s another, which is harder.

Theorem 5.9 (Borel-Hirzebruch). Let \( M \) be a closed spin manifold. Then, \( \langle \hat{A}(M), [M] \rangle \) is an integer, and even if \( \dim(M) \equiv 4 \mod 8 \).

One way to prove this in dimension 4 is to check on the generators of \( \Omega^4_{Spin} \cong \mathbb{Z}^2 \), which are a K3 surface and \((S^1)^4\).

Corollary 5.10 (Rokhlin). If \( M \) is a closed spin 4-manifold, \( 16 | \sigma(M) \).

Proof. We know \( \langle \hat{A}(M), [M] \rangle = (-1/24)p_1(M), [M] \rangle \) is even, so \( 48 | \langle p_1(M), [M] \rangle \), and hence \( 16 | \langle p_1(M)/3, [M] \rangle = \sigma(M) \).

Definition 5.11. The Rokhlin invariant \( \mu(M) \in \mathbb{Z}/16 \) of a spin 3-manifold \( M \) is the signature of any spin 4-manifold bounding \( M \); this is well-defined by Corollary 5.10.

Every orientable 3-manifold is spinnable (do you remember why?), but the Rokhlin invariant may depend on the spin structure. However, every homology 3-sphere admits a unique spin structure (exercise (6), below), so we can envision the Rokhlin invariant as an invariant of homology 3-spheres.

It’s a theorem that if a homology 3-sphere embeds in \( S^3 \), it splits \( S^4 \) into two homology 3-balls, which necessarily have signature 1. Hence a nonzero Rokhlin invariant is an obstruction to embedding a homology 3-sphere into \( \mathbb{R}^4 \).

For example, the Poincaré homology sphere (the quotient of \( SO_3 \) by the subgroup of symmetries of an icosahedron) has Rokhlin invariant 1, and therefore cannot be smoothly embedded into \( \mathbb{R}^4 \). This is interesting because it’s parallelizable, so all of its characteristic classes vanish, but we were able to find an embedding result anyways.

Genera also show up in algebraic geometry.

Definition 5.12. Fix a ring \( R \) and a space \( X \). A sheaf \( \mathcal{F} \) of \( R \)-modules on \( X \) is an assignment to every open set \( U \subset X \) an \( R \)-module \( \mathcal{F}(U) \) together with \( R \)-linear restriction maps \( \rho^U_V : \mathcal{F}(U) \to \mathcal{F}(V) \) whenever \( V \subset U \), such that:

- \( \rho^U_U \) is the identity.
- If \( W \subset V \subset U \), \( \rho^V_W \circ \rho^U_V = \rho^U_W \).
- Let \( \mathcal{U} \) be an open cover of an open \( W \subset X \) and \( s_U \in \mathcal{F}(U) \) for each \( U \in \mathcal{U} \), such that \( \rho^U_{U \cap V}s_U = \rho^V_{U \cap V}s_V \) for all \( U, V \in \mathcal{U} \). Then, there’s a unique \( s \in \mathcal{F}(W) \) such that \( \rho^U_Ws = s_U \) for each \( U \).

The idea of this definition is things which behave like functions: you can define smooth functions on an open set, and restrict them to smaller open sets. Then, if you know a function on an open cover of \( U \), you know it on \( U \).

Example 5.13.

1. On a smooth manifold, \( C^\infty \) is a sheaf of \( R \)-vector spaces, assigning to each open set \( U \) the smooth functions \( U \to R \).
2. On a complex manifold, \( \mathcal{O} \), the sheaf of holomorphic functions on a given open set, is a sheaf of \( C \)-vector spaces.

\[6\]You can express this part of the axioms of a sheaf functionally: a sheaf is a functor from the poset of open sets of \( X \) to \( \text{Mod}_R \).
(3) Let \( \pi: E \to M \) be a complex vector bundle. Then, we define its sheaf of sections \( \mathcal{F}_E \) of \( \mathbb{C} \)-vector spaces to be the sheaf whose value at \( U \) is \( \Gamma(E|_U) \), the space of sections over \( U \) (that is, maps \( s: U \to E|_U \) such that \( \pi \circ s = \text{id} \)). Since compatible local sections glue together, this defines a sheaf. 

The category of sheaves of \( R \)-modules on \( X \) is an abelian category.

**Definition 5.14.** The assignment \( \Gamma: \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}(X) \) is called the global sections functor. This is a left exact functor, so one defines the sheaf cohomology \( H^i(M; \mathcal{F}) := R^i \Gamma(\mathcal{F}) \), i.e. the \( k \)-th right derived functor of global sections applied to \( \mathcal{F} \).

This is an abstract definition, but the idea is that at index \( k \), it’s measuring local sections on \( k \)-fold intersections of open sets that do not extend globally. Moreover, for sheaves of sections of a vector bundle, there’s a more explicit, Čech-like construction of a cochain complex and a differential whose homology computes sheaf cohomology.

**Remark.** Given an abelian group \( A \), let \( \mathcal{A} \) denote the constant sheaf valued in \( A \), whose value on any connected open \( U \subset X \) is \( A \), with restriction maps given by the identity. Then, the sheaf cohomology of \( X \) with coefficients in \( \mathcal{A} \) is the usual cohomology \( H^*(X; A) \).

**Definition 5.15.** Let \( E \to M \) be a holomorphic vector bundle over a compact, complex manifold. Its holomorphic Euler characteristic is

\[
\chi(M, E) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (-1)^i \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^i(M; \mathcal{F}_E).
\]

Since \( M \) is compact, it can have only finitely many cohomology groups in any sheaf, and this sum makes sense. For \( E = \mathcal{O} \), this reduces to the usual Euler characteristic.

The Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch theorem is an analogue of the Gauss-Bonnet theorem for surfaces.

**Theorem 5.16 (Gauss-Bonnet).** Let \( \Sigma \) be a closed, oriented surface and \( F_\nabla \in \Omega^2(\Sigma) \) be the curvature two-form of the Levi-Civita connection. Then,

\[
\int_{\Sigma} F_\nabla = 2\pi \chi(\Sigma).
\]

This can be understood as Chern-Weil theory for the Euler class:

\[
[F_\nabla] = 2\pi e(\Sigma) \in H^2_{\text{dR}}(\Sigma).
\]

Anyways, for the holomorphic Euler characteristic we have the Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch theorem.

**Theorem 5.17 (Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch).**

\[
\chi(M, E) = \int_M \text{ch}(E) \text{td}(TM).
\]

**Remark.** The genera we discussed today extend to natural transformations of cohomology theories and maps of spectra:

- The mod 2 Euler characteristic extends to a natural transformation \( \Omega^* \to H^*(-; F_2) \) and to a morphism of ring spectra \( MO \to HF_2 \).
- The \( \tilde{A} \)-genus extends to a natural transformation of cohomology theories \( \Omega^*_{\text{Spin}} \to KO^* \); that is, it goes from spin cobordism to real \( K \)-theory. This extends to a ring map of ring spectra \( M\text{Spin} \to KO \), which is exactly the Spin orientation of \( KO \) of Atiyah-Bott-Shapiro.
- The Todd genus similarly extends to a natural transformation \( \Omega^*_{\text{Spin}} \to K^* \), i.e. from Spin\(^\vee\)-cobordism to complex \( K \)-theory. This extends to the complex Atiyah-Bott-Shapiro orientation \( M\text{Spin}^\vee \to KU \).
- The Chern character extends to a natural homomorphism of cohomology theories \( K^* \to H^*(-; \mathbb{Q}[u, u^{-1}]) \) (with \( |u| = 2 \)), and to a morphism of ring spectra

\[
\text{ch}: KU \to KU \wedge HQ \xrightarrow{\cong} \bigvee_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \Sigma^{2k} HQ.
\]

- The Pontrjagin character extends to a natural homomorphism of cohomology theories \( KO^* \to H^*(--; \mathbb{Q}[u, u^{-1}]) \) (with \( |u| = 4 \)), and to a morphism of ring spectra

\[
\text{ph}: KO \to KO \wedge HQ \xrightarrow{\cong} \bigvee_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \Sigma^{4k} HQ.
\]
5.1. **Exercises.**

(1) Why does the mod 2 Euler characteristic define a ring homomorphism $\Omega^O \to \mathbb{Z}/2$?

(2) Why does the Euler characteristic define a ring homomorphism $\Omega^U \to \mathbb{Z}$?

(3) Show that the signature is cobordism-invariant.

(4) Show that the signature is a ring homomorphism $\Omega^SO \to \mathbb{Z}$.

(5) Prove Lemma 5.6.

(6) Prove that every homology 3-sphere admits a unique spin structure.

(7) Why is the Poincaré homology sphere parallelizable?