# Day 3: Primer on Noetherian Stuff

### Tom Gannon

### August 17, 2017

# 1 Introduction

Today we'll deal with everything regarding the finitely generated and Noetherian properties. A lot of times finitely generated things turn out to be the right thing to work with in algebra, and we'll show why this is with a few strange pathologies. We'll also prove a property we'll need to use tomorrow that any submodule of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is free and of rank  $\leq n$  if R is a PID.

## 1.1 Motivation from Principal Ideal Domains

Recall that a *Principal Ideal Domain* R is an integral domain R such that any ideal  $I \subset R$  can be written I = Ra for some element  $a \in R$ , and specifically recall a part of the proof that principal ideals are unique factorization domains:

**Exercise 1.1.** (PIDs Are Factorization Domains): Show that if R is a PID, then any nonzero element  $r \in R$  has some factorization  $r = s_1...s_n$  where  $s_i$  are not necessarily distinct irreducible elements of R. (Hint: If  $r_i = r_{i+1}t_{i+1}$  was reducible, then  $(r_i) \subsetneq (r_{i+1})$ . Let  $I = \bigcup_i (r_i)$ .)

### 1.2 The Initial Definition

The definition of a Noetherian ring generalizes the above proof technique we used:

**Definition 1.2.** We say a ring A is Noetherian if any chain of ideals  $I_1 \subset I_2 \subset ... \subset A$  stabilizes<sup>1</sup> at some point-that is, there exists an  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $m \ge n$  implies that  $I_n = I_m$ .

Another (equivalent) way to think of Noetherian rings is that the chain  $I_1 \subsetneq I_2 \subsetneq I_3 \subsetneq ...$  can't happen for ideals  $I_k \subset A$ . This is called the **ascending chain condition** on ideals. Also, note that ideals of A are just A modules that \*just so happen\* to be a submodule of the A module A. In particular, it is possible to define the concept of a **Noetherian Module**, and you should do so. Feel free to do so before I tell you to in this next exercise. That way, you can feel like you're ahead of the game.

**Exercise 1.3.** Define what it means for an A module M to be **Noetherian** using the ascending chain condition on submodules. Show that equivalently, an A module M is Noetherian if and only if each submodule of M is finitely generated as an A module. Determine what this condition specifically means in terms of rings and ideals.

**Exercise 1.4.** (A Non-Noetherian Ring/Module) Show that the ring  $\mathbb{R}[x_i]_{i\in\mathbb{N}^{>0}} = \mathbb{R}[x_1, x_2, ...]$  is not a Noetherian ring.

If you ever come across something with "Noetherian" in the hypothesis, it's a good idea to throw the above ring in the theorem and see if it still holds.

# 2 Primer on Stock Results on Noetherian Modules that I Like To Keep Around

**Exercise 2.1.** (Submodules/Quotients of Noetherian modules are Noetherian) Show that if  $N \subset M$  is a submodule of an A module M, then N and M/N are Noetherian.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>A word on notation. " $\subset$ " and " $\subseteq$ " will mean the same thing–"is a subset of" and  $\subsetneq$  means that the two sets aren't equal.

**Exercise 2.2.** (Finitely Generated Module with Non Finitely Generated Submodule) Let  $R := \mathbb{R}[x_i]_{i \in \mathbb{N}^{>0}} = \mathbb{R}[x_1, x_2, ...]$ . Show that R is a finitely generated R module, but R has a submodule which is not finitely generated as an R module.

This shows that the hypothesis of a ring/module being Noetherian is stronger than requiring it to be finitely generated, because any Noetherian ring/module is finitely generated.

But on the other hand:

**Exercise 2.3.** Show that any finitely generated module of a Noetherian ring R is a Noetherian module. (Easy mode: Assume that  $R^n$  is Noetherian. Hard Mode: Prove it.)

We also have a very helpful:

**Theorem 2.4.** (Hilbert's Basis Theorem) If A is a Noetherian ring, so is A[x].

**Exercise 2.5.** Prove this theorem. (Hint: Don't actually try to prove this theorem, just go look it up in a book somewhere. Like Dummit and Foote. To check if you really understand the proof, modify the idea of the proof slightly to show that if A is a Noetherian ring, then the ring of formal power series of A, A[[x]], is a Noetherian ring.)

# **3** Primer on the Module $R^n$

Note that in the world of being "well behaved", modules are just the absolute worst. We have above that a finitely generated module can have a not finitely generated submodule. Also even if the submodules are finitely generated (i.e. the module is Noetherian), we don't have any good rank bounds:

**Exercise 3.1.** Show that the ring  $R := \mathbb{Q}[x, y]$  has rank 1-that is, there is a subset of R consisting of one linearly independent element over R but no set of two linearly independent elements over R. However, construct a submodule (ideal) of R with rank 2.

**Definition 3.2.** An A-module M is free on generators  $m_1, ..., m_k \in M$  if  $r_1m_1 + ... + r_km_k = 0$  implies  $r_i = 0$  for all i.

**Exercise 3.3.** (Rank one submodule that isn't a free submodule) Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}[x]$  and let I denote the submodule/ideal (2, x). Show that any two elements are linearly dependent and no single element spans.

This sort of tomfoolery $^2$  doesn't happen when you restrict to PIDs. In particular,

**Theorem 3.4.** If R is a PID, then any submodule of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a free submodule<sup>3</sup> of rank  $\leq n$ .

**Exercise 3.5.** Prove the above theorem. (Hint: Let  $M_i := (Re_1 + ... + Re_i) \cap M$ , where M is the submodule and  $\{e_i\}$  is the standard basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . For the inductive hypothesis at step k, let I denote the set of all elements  $r_k$  that have the form  $r_1e_1 + ... + r_ke_k$  and are in M. Pick some v whose last coordinate is a generator of I, and show that  $M_k \cong M_{k-1} \oplus \mathbb{R}v$ ).

We'll use this theorem tomorrow to help us prove that there's only one quality that a module M over a PID R can have, called *torsion*, that stops M from looking like  $R^n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$ Side note. If you can think of a good adjective to describe a person that has the word "Tom" in it, I'd love to hear it. Currently there are only bad ones (e.g. "Peeping Tom")

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>It could also be the zero submodule, by the way. You can think of  $R^0$  as the zero module. But note that for a general ring,  $R^0$  isn't the *only* module of rank zero!